Review

Gut–liver axis and sterile signals in the development of alcoholic liver disease

Gyongyi Szabo^{1,*} and Jan Petrasek^{1,2}

¹Department of Medicine, University of Massachusetts Medical School, LRB 215, 364 Plantation Street, Worcester, MA 01605, USA, and ²Division of Digestive and Liver Diseases, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, 5323 Harry Hines Blvd., Dallas, TX 75390, USA

*Corresponding author: Department of Medicine, University of Massachusetts Medical School, LRB 215, 364 Plantation Street, Worcester, MA 01605, USA. Tel.: +1-508-856-5279; Fax: +1-508-856-5476; E-mail: gyongyi.szabo@umassmed.edu

Received 10 February 2017; Revised 4 April 2017; Editorial Decision 5 April 2017; Accepted 25 April 2017

Abstract

Background: Innate immunity plays a critical role in the development of alcohol-induced liver inflammation. Understanding the inter-relationship of signals from within and outside of the liver that trigger liver inflammation is pivotal for development of novel therapeutic targets of alcoholic liver disease (ALD).

Aim: The aim of this paper is to review recent advances in the field of alcohol-induced liver inflammation.

Methods: A detailed literature review was performed using the PubMed database published between January 1980 and December 2016.

Results: We provide an update on the role of intestinal microbiome, metabolome and the gut–liver axis in ALD, discuss the growing body of evidence on the diversity of liver macrophages and their differential contribution to alcohol-induced liver inflammation, and highlight the crucial role of inflammasomes in integration of inflammatory signals in ALD. Studies to date have identified a multitude of new therapeutic targets, some of which are currently being tested in patients with severe alcoholic hepatitis. These treatments aim to strengthen the intestinal barrier, ameliorate liver inflammation and augment hepatocyte regeneration.

Conclusion: Given the complexity of inflammation in ALD, multiple pathobiological mechanisms may need to be targeted at the same time as it seems unlikely that there is a single dominant pathogenic pathway in ALD that would be easily targeted using a single target drug approach.

Short summary: Here, we focus on recent advances in immunopathogenesis of alcoholic liver disease (ALD), including gut–liver axis, hepatic macrophage activation, sterile inflammation and synergy between bacterial and sterile signals. We propose a multiple parallel hit model of inflammation in ALD and discuss its implications for clinical trials in alcoholic hepatitis.

INTRODUCTION

Approximately two-thirds of the US adult population drink alcohol on a regular basis, and in up to 20% of individuals, alcohol intake is considered excessive (Mandayam *et al.*, 2004). Excessive (heavy) drinking is defined as >14 drinks per week for men and 7 drinks per week for women (Mandayam *et al.*, 2004). Up to 90% of individuals drinking alcohol in excess will develop liver steatosis, 50% will develop inflammation and fibrosis (steatohepatitis), and 25% will develop liver cirrhosis (Younossi and Henry, 2016), the final stage of alcoholic liver disease (ALD). Overall, ALD affects 5–7 million Americans, and is responsible for healthcare-associated costs of around \$185 billion per year (Saberi *et al.*, 2016). Because of the steady disease burden and lack of effective therapies, there is an urgent need for new drug development for the management of ALD and more importantly alcoholic

Table 1. Review criteria

A detailed literature review was performed using the PubMed database in December 2016 for papers published between January 1980 and December 2016, with the following search terms: 'inflammation', 'innate immunity', 'microbiome', 'inflammasome', 'alcoholic liver disease', 'steatosis' or 'hepatitis'. Relevant English-language papers were evaluated by both authors of this manuscript.

hepatitis (AH). AH is a rare but often deadly complication of ALD, with short-term mortality reaching up to 50% (Crabb *et al.*, 2016).

In this review, we will focus on liver inflammation in ALD, which is a critical step in the progression from steatosis to steatohepatitis, fibrosis and cirrhosis, and a defining feature of AH. The review criteria are detailed in Table 1. First, we will discuss mechanisms of liver inflammation triggered by bacterial components translocated from the gut to the liver. Second, we will review the emerging knowledge about distinct roles of resident liver macrophages (Kupffer cells, KC) versus infiltrating bone marrow (BM)-derived monocytes/macrophages in liver inflammation. Finally, we will discuss sterile inflammation in the liver, a concept based on the evidence that hepatocytes are damaged by alcohol release sterile pro-inflammatory signals. It is likely that inflammation in ALD results from the synergy of responses to microbial and sterile signals along with the shift from immune-tolerant KC to pro-inflammatory BM-derived monocytes/macrophages. This multiple parallel hit hypothesis will be discussed in the concluding section of this paper, along with its therapeutic implications.

GUT-LIVER AXIS IN ALCOHOL-INDUCED LIVER INFLAMMATION

Due to its unique anatomy and blood supply the liver receives blood from the intestine, exposing cells in the liver not only to nutrients but also to gut-derived microbial products. These products include bacterial components and bacterial metabolites (Wang et al., 2012; Kirpich et al., 2016). The gut mucosal epithelium serves as an interface between the vast microbiota, internal host tissues and the immune system. In normal homeostasis, a balance between gut barrier function, gut permeability and equilibrium of commensal and pathogenic microorganisms in the gut lumen is maintained that prevents harmful microbial translocation from the gut (Rao, 2009). The small amounts of gut-derived bacterial products that physiologically translocate from the gut to the liver are eliminated by KC, without triggering liver inflammation (Benacerraf et al., 1959; Nolan, 2010; David et al., 2016). This delicate balance is disturbed by alcohol at multiple levels and interconnected steps at the level of gut microbiome, gut permeability, exposure of the liver to microbial products and alteration of differentiation and activity of liver macrophages, thus resulting in a pro-inflammatory environment in the liver.

Alcohol alters the gut microbiome and gut metabolome

Increased intestinal permeability and load of bacterial products in the portal blood are common features in alcoholics and individuals with alcoholic liver cirrhosis; a phenomenon that is reproducible in animal models of ALD (Parlesak *et al.*, 2000; Bajaj *et al.*, 2014). There is evidence that alcohol intake leads to intestinal bacterial overgrowth in humans and animals and that alcohol causes changes in the taxonomic composition of the intestinal microbiome (intestinal dysbiosis) (Bode *et al.*, 1984; Yan *et al.*, 2011) and (Szabo, manuscript in preparation). Intestinal dysbiosis was correlated with the amount of alcohol consumed (Leclercq *et al.*, 2014), and the term 'cirrhosis dysbiosis ratio' indicating an imbalance of specific bacterial families has been associated with a extent of endotoxemia in patients with cirrhosis (Bajaj *et al.*, 2014). Recently, the increase in gut permeability in ALD has been related to dysbiosis-induced intestinal inflammation (Chen *et al.*, 2015), emphasizing the role for intestinal microbiota in ALD. There is an increase in pro-inflammatory mediators and innate immune cell activation particularly in the small bowel after chronic alcohol feeding in mice (Lippai *et al.*, 2014).

Alterations in gut microbiome

Intestinal dysbiosis triggers inflammation in ALD by compromising intestinal barrier and by increasing translocation of bacterial products to the liver. Metagenomic analysis showed that alcohol feeding in mice decreases diversity of intestinal microbiome and shifts the representation of bacterial phyla over time (reviewed in Engen et al., 2015; Szabo, 2015). In mice on a controled diet, the majority of intestinal bacteria were in the Bacteroides and Firmicutes phylum, whereas alcohol feeding significantly increased the presence of Actinobacteria and Proteobacteria, and increased the proportion of Firmicutes over Bacteroides (Bull-Otterson et al., 2013; Engen et al., 2015). These changes were associated with intestinal hyperpermeability and endotoxemia, and were further aggravated by feeding the alcohol-exposed mice with unsaturated fat diet (corn oil) (Kirpich et al., 2016). One of the early events in an alcohol-related shift within the gut microbiome is the reduction of Akkeremansia both in a mouse model and in humans with ALD (Szabo, manuscript in preparation and Herbert Tilg personal communication).

In humans that have been exposed to excessive amounts of alcohol, the intestinal microbial community was significantly altered, with higher abundance of Proteobacteria and the potentially pathogenic bacteria from the families Prevotellaceae, Enterobactericeae, Veillonellaceae and Strepotococcaceae, and with lower abundance of Bacteroides. The presence of dysbiotic microbiota is correlated with a high level of endotoxin in the blood (Mutlu *et al.*, 2012; Engen *et al.*, 2015).

The causality of altered intestinal dysmicrobia in the pathogenesis of ALD was demonstrated in a study by Perlemuter's group showing that alcohol-induced liver inflammation is a trait transmissible by intestinal microbiota (Llopis et al., 2016). In this study, germ-free mice fed with alcohol received transplant of fecal microbiota isolated from healthy human controls or from humans with varying severity degrees of ALD (Llopis et al., 2016). The research team found that alcohol-fed mice harboring the intestinal microbiota from patients with severe AH developed more severe liver inflammation and necrosis, associated with increased translocation of bacteria from the gut to the liver, compared to alcohol-fed mice that were transplanted with intestinal microbiota either from control individuals or alcoholics without liver disease. Furthermore, in alcohol-fed mice humanized with the intestinal microbiota from severe AH patients, a subsequent transfer of intestinal microbiota from patients with no AH improved alcohol-induced liver lesions. Key deleterious bacterial species involved in transmission of the severe AH phenotype included altered Bacteroides phylum as well as Bilophila, Alistipes, Butyricimonas, Clostridium, Proteus and Escherichia coli (Llopis et al., 2016). In contrast, intestinal microbiota from patients with severe AH showed relative lack of Faecalibacterium Prausnitzii, a bacterial species known for its anti-inflammatory and mucosal-protective properties (Sokol et al., 2008).

The decrease in intestinal bacterial diversity observed in alcoholics and in patients with ALD (Bull-Otterson *et al.*, 2013) seems

to be a general phenomenon frequently observed in patients with advanced liver disease, irrespective of etiology (Engen et al., 2015). Metagenomic analysis indicated that gene richness in intestinal microbiota was much lower in patients with liver cirrhosis than in healthy individuals, and it is thought that decreased diversity of intestinal microbiome is associated with relative lack of protective species, abundance of harmful species and decreased integrity of intestinal mucosa (Qin et al., 2014). Surprisingly, the decreased diversity of intestinal microbiota in patients with cirrhosis was associated with a relative abundance of taxa such as Veillonella, Streptococcus and Clostridia which are known to include species of oral origin, indicating that oral commensals invade the gut of patients with liver cirrhosis. Possibly, altered bile production in cirrhosis renders the gut more permissible to 'foreign' bacteria, as bile resistance may be required for survival in the human gut (Saarela et al., 2000; Merritt and Donaldson, 2009; Qin et al., 2014).

Alterations in gut metabolome

Alcohol alters the metabolic composition in the gastrointestinal content, which changes the source of nutrition for microbes (Xie et al., 2013). For example, alcohol feeding to mice resulted in a decrease in all amino acids in the gut, including the branched chain amino acids that are known to be decreased in patients with hepatic encephalopathy (Xie et al., 2013; Gluud et al., 2015; Kirpich et al., 2016). There also were changes in fecal lipid metabolites, including the decrease in short-chain fatty acids such as butyrate, valerate and propionate (Kirpich et al., 2016), all of which represent a source of energy for intestinal epithelia and are required for integrity of intestinal barrier and mucosal immune tolerance (Scheppach, 1994; Maslowski et al., 2009; Kim et al., 2013). These findings were confirmed in humans drinking alcohol (Couch et al., 2015) and were consistent with studies showing that patients with liver cirrhosis have low abundance of Coprococcus and Faecalibacterium prausnitzii, both of which contribute to gut integrity through butyrate production (Cotillard et al., 2013; Le Chatelier et al., 2013; Qin et al., 2014). In addition, a peptidomic analysis showed that Faecalibacterium prausnitzii secretes a 15-kDa protein, which has anti-inflammatory properties and is found in a 'healthy' gene-rich microbiome (Quevrain et al., 2016).

Available evidence supports a possible involvement of volatile organic compounds in pathogenesis of steatosis or liver inflammation. For example, changing the microbiota using prebiotic treatment has decreased hepatic lipogenesis and plasma triglycerides, showing a metabolic link between microbiota and liver biology in humans (Letexier *et al.*, 2003). The fermentation of prebiotics by gut microbes increases the abundance of short-chain fatty acids in the cecum and also in the portal blood, where the concentration of both acetate and propionate is doubled (Roberfroid *et al.*, 2010; Bindels *et al.*, 2012; Everard *et al.*, 2014). Published evidence suggests that propionate may contribute to reduced hepatic lipogenesis, whereas acetate is a lipogenic substrate (Demigne *et al.*, 1995; Lin *et al.*, 1995).

It is not entirely known whether intestinal metabolome changes are specific to ALD or whether they represent general phenomenon in advanced liver disease. In a recent study published in *Nature*, metabolomic changes in intestinal microbiome in advanced liver disease were put into the context with liver cirrhosis-related complications (Qin *et al.*, 2014). In that study, the microbial metabolic pathways most enriched in patients with cirrhosis included assimilation of nitrates to form ammonia, manganese transport systems and pathways of gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) synthesis and transport (Qin *et al.*, 2014). The enrichment of microbial metabolic modules for ammonia production suggests a potential role of gut dysmicrobia in hepatic encephalopathy, a complication of liver cirrhosis that is accompanied by increased levels of ammonia in blood. Manganese transport system modules enriched in patients with cirrhosis may contribute to the changes in the accumulation of manganese within the basal ganglia, which is another pathogenic mechanisms contributing to hepatic encephalopathy (Krieger *et al.*, 1995). Finally, the modules for GABA synthesis enriched in microbiota of cirrhotic patients represent another potential mechanism contributing to hepatic encephalopathy as GABA levels are increased in the blood of patients with cirrhosis (Ferenci *et al.*, 1983; Minuk *et al.*, 1985).

Although further studies are needed to clarify whether altered intestinal microbiome is the cause or consequence of advanced liver disease including ALD, it is possible that microbiome modulation may provide new therapeutic options for treatment of ALD and liver cirrhosis. Multiple randomized controlled trials addressing the therapeutic modulation of intestinal microbiota in hepatic encephalopathy, fibrosis progression, metabolic consequences of liver disease and in the outcome of severe AH are currently underway (trials number NCT02485106, NCT02862249, NCT01069133, NCT02400216, NCT02496390, NCT02424175 and NCT01968382 at www.clinicaltrials.gov).

Mechanisms of increased gut permeability in ALD

The integrity of the intestinal mucosa is determined by the protective layer of defensins on the intraluminal surface of the intestinal epithelium, tight junction proteins between enterocytes, the gut immune cells located in the submucosa and protective factors released by intestinal microflora such as short-chain fatty acids (butyrate) and anti-inflammatory peptides (Marteau, 2013; Szabo, 2015; Quevrain *et al.*, 2016).

A single administration of ethanol will cause intestinal epithelial damage only if used in a very high dose (Lippai et al., 2014). In chronic and repetitive exposure to ethanol, disruption of the intestinal barrier is explained by reduced expression of proteins involved in tight junction between enterocytes, such as occludin and zonula occludens protein ZO-1 (Dunagan et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2014). These changes are attributable to acetaldehyde, a product of ethanol oxidation, in circulating blood (Rao, 2009). Gut permeability may also be increased by tumour necrosis factor (TNF)-a derived from inflamed liver (Yajima et al., 2009) or by miR212, that was upregulated in colon biopsy samples of patients with ALD which downregulates proteins of the zonula occludens in intestinal cell culture (Tang et al., 2008). More recently, studies utilizing a mouse model of ALD showed that bacterial translocation was found even before changes in the intestinal microbiome and the bacterial translocation was associated with reduced expression of the bactericidal c-type lectins, Reg3b and Reg3g, in the small intestine (Yan et al., 2011). Mucin-2, a mucus layer protein, secreted by goblet cells of the intestine, was found to be a critical regulator of intestinal Reg3b and Reg3g in a mouse model of ALD (Hartmann et al., 2013). The decreased expression of Reg3b in the intestine of alcohol-fed mice was associated with increased expression of miR-155, and miR-155deficient mice that were protected from alcohol-induced inflammation in the small intestine (Lippai et al., 2014).

Most recent studies have demonstrated the role of bile acids in gut bacterial translocation and inflammation. Depriving the intestines of bile through bile duct ligation, or silencing the bile acid receptor farnesoid X receptor (FXR) increased bacterial overgrowth,

bowel permeability and bacterial translocation (Kalman and Goldberg, 2016). It has been hypothesized that decreased amounts of bile produced in patients with cirrhosis dysregulates intestinal microbiome and disrupts intestinal integrity. This hypothesis was confirmed in a study utilizing a rat model of liver cirrhosis induced by repetitive injections of carbon tetrachloride (Ubeda et al., 2016). In this study, treatment of cirrhotic rats with obeticholic acid (OCA), a potent agonist of the bile acid receptor, FXR, significantly reduced bacterial translocation from the intestine to the blood. Treatment with OCA stimulated FXR pathways in cirrhosis, and upregulated antimicrobial proteins angiogenin-1 and α-5 defensin, as well as tight junction proteins ZO-1 and occludin. In addition, OCA shifted the composition of intestinal microbiome toward Firmicutes (including Lactobacillus), whereas the proportion of Proteobacteria (such as E. coli and Shigella) was reduced. These changes were associated with improvement in local and systemic inflammation and subsequent improvement in hepatic fibrosis. The mechanism behind the beneficial effect of OCA is thought to be due to the reparative effect of OCA on the small intestinal barrier, OCAmediated increases in the secretion of bile acids by the liver, and by inhibitory effect of FXR signaling on hepatic fibroblasts (Kalman and Goldberg, 2016; Laleman et al., 2016; Ubeda et al., 2016).

Gut-derived bacterial products in the pathogenesis of ALD

Gut-derived bacteria contribute to the pathogenesis of ALD by their structural components (pathogen-associated molecular patterns, PAMPs) that activate innate immune cells in the liver, or by their metabolites that alter gut mucosal integrity. A host of bacterial PAMPs activates the cells of innate immune systems via binding to specific receptors, including the Toll-like receptors (TLRs) (reviewed in Pandey et al., 2014). Four bacterial PAMPs have been studied in alcohol-induced liver inflammation so far: lipopolysaccharide (LPS), an activator of TLR4, bacterial hypomethylated (CpG) DNA, an activator of TLR9, flagellin, an activator of TLR5, and lipoteichoic acid, an activator of TLR2 (reviewed in Petrasek et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2013; Roh et al., 2015). Two of these, LPS and bacterial DNA, are increased in the plasma in humans exposed to alcohol (Bala et al., 2014; Michelena et al., 2015). No studies published to date report on plasma levels of lipoteichoic acid or flagellin in the plasma of patients with ALD.

For historical reasons, mechanistic studies on the role of bacterial PAMPs in alcohol-induced liver inflammation have focused predominantly on LPS/TLR4 (discussed in detail below), whereas less attention has been paid to CpG-DNA/TLR9, flagellin/TLR5 or lipoteichoic acid/TLR2 in the development of alcohol-induced liver inflammation. For example, bacterial DNA was found in serum and ascites patients with advanced liver cirrhosis leading to increased cytokine production in peritoneal macrophages (Frances *et al.*, 2004a, 2004b). In mouse models of ALD, alcohol feedings sensitized to TLR9 ligand CpG to enhance TNF- α production (Gustot *et al.*, 2006). Mice deficient in TLR9 or TLR2 showed protection from alcohol-induced liver inflammation, but detailed mechanistic studies on the role of TLR9 and TLR2 in ALD are lacking (Roh *et al.*, 2015).

Gut-derived LPS (endotoxin) in the pathogenesis of ALD

Early in the 20th century, endotoxin (later characterized as LPS) was the first bacterial component linked with pathophysiological consequences of bacterial infections, including sepsis (Old, 1987). As reviewed by (Nolan, 2010), a causal relationship between LPS

and liver inflammation and injury has been known for at least the past 50 years, and clinical studies have demonstrated correlations of LPS levels with extrahepatic manifestations of alcoholic cirrhosis, such as the hepatorenal syndrome and clotting abnormalities (Clemente *et al.*, 1977; Michelena *et al.*, 2015). In addition, the increased levels of LPS in peripheral circulation after exposure to alcohol were consistently demonstrated in humans (Rao, 2009; Bala *et al.*, 2014; Michelena *et al.*, 2015).

Gut-derived LPS activates hepatic macrophages via TLR4

Upon entering the portal blood, LPS is recognized by the TLR4 receptor complex expressed on hepatic macrophages and other liver immune and parenchymal cells (Szabo, 2015; David *et al.*, 2016). In the normal liver, hepatic macrophages show tolerance in small amounts of gut-derived endotoxin. However, in the pathogenesis of alcohol-induced liver inflammation, hepatic macrophages lose their quiescent phenotype and become activated. Multiple lines of evidence demonstrate that activation of hepatic macrophages in ALD involves TLR4-dependent mechanism activated by gut-derived LPS (Adachi *et al.*, 1995; Enomoto *et al.*, 1998; Thurman, 1998; Nagy, 2003). While TLR4 cannot directly bind LPS its co-receptors, CD14 and MD-2, bind LPS and upon LPS-binding activate TLR4 (Park *et al.*, 2009). The association between LPS and CD14 is facilitated by LPS-binding protein (LBP), which is a soluble shuttle protein (Wright *et al.*, 1989).

TLR4, CD14 and LBP are critical in alcohol-induced liver injury. Alcoholic liver inflammation was prevented in C3H/HeJ mice (Uesugi *et al.*, 2001), which have functional mutation in the *TLR4* gene and have defective response to bacterial endotoxin (Sultzer, 1968). Prevention of alcohol-induced liver inflammation and injury in C3H/HeJ mice was associated with decreased TNF- α expression, compared to wild-type mice. Similar protection from alcoholinduced liver inflammation and injury was observed in mice deficient for LBP (Uesugi *et al.*, 2002) and CD14 (Yin *et al.*, 2001), whereas mice transgenic for human CD14 were hypersensitive to LPS (Ferrero *et al.*, 1993).

MECHANISMS LEADING TO ACTIVATION OF HEPATIC MACROPHAGES IN ALD

Gut-derived LPS is captured by hepatic macrophages within minutes from entering the portal circulation, and under baseline conditions, this process does not result in hepatic macrophage activation (David et al., 2016). Three major mechanisms, each supported by ample evidence, have been suggested to explain activation of liver macrophages in ALD. First, studies utilizing mouse models of ALD and ex vivo stimulation of human or murine mononuclear cells indicate that the switch from tolerant cells to pro-inflammatory cells (also known as loss of LPS tolerance) is a process intrinsic to KC secondary to repetitive exposure to LPS and ethanol. Second, studies utilizing cell fate-mapping strategies (Irish, 2014) suggest that rather than to KC, the pro-inflammatory activation in the liver may be attributable to BM-derived monocytes/macrophages that infiltrate the liver and further polarize following liver injury (Polarization of hepatic macrophages in ALD). Finally, studies using cell-specific knockouts, gnotobiotic approaches and ex vivo co-cultures of macrophages and hepatocytes indicate that in ALD, activation of liver macrophages is dependent on alterations of liver microenvironment secondary to the direct effect of ethanol, attributable to the release of hepatocytespecific sterile signals that sensitize liver macrophages to gut-derived LPS. This will be discussed below in the section on sterile inflammation. These mechanisms are not mutually exclusive and may play a role in concert in ALD.

Loss of LPS tolerance in hepatic macrophages in ALD

Under normal circumstances, KCs prevent gut-derived LPS from reaching the systemic circulation, without themselves being activated (David *et al.*, 2016), a phenomenon called LPS tolerance. It is important to note that hepatocytes were also shown to play a 'detoxification' role in taking up LPS delivered by the portal blood thereby contributing to liver homeostasis (Shao *et al.*, 2012). However, long-term administration of alcohol to rats sensitized KCs to secrete high levels of inflammatory cytokines after isolation and *ex vivo* exposure to LPS (Hansen *et al.*, 1994).

Induction of TLR4 signaling is dependent on the mode of LPS exposure. When LPS challenge is provided following an initial insult with LPS, induction of TNF- α (a prototypical cytokine induced by LPS/TLR4 pathway) is severely attenuated, a phenomenon called 'LPS tolerance'. Studies have demonstrated that upregulation of negative regulators of TLR signaling plays a central role in TLR tolerance (Huang et al., 1995; De Nardo et al., 2009; Piao et al., 2009). Experimental evidence suggests, however, that TLR tolerance can be broken by multiple sequential LPS administration in vivo and in vitro (Medvedev et al., 2006). When mice were injected with a single dose of LPS, a second LPS challenge failed to induce significant serum TNF-a induction compared to the initial dose demonstrating TLR4 tolerance (Dolganiuc et al., 2007). However, when LPS was given in 3-day intervals for five repeated times, the TLR tolerance was lost and serum TNF-a levels induced by the last dose of LPS were comparable to TNF-a induced by a single LPS administration (Roth et al., 1994). The bimodal effects of LPS on TNFa production are reminiscent to the opposite modulation of inflammation by acute and prolonged alcohol use.

At the molecular level, acute alcohol administration inhibited while chronic alcohol use increased production of pro-inflammatory cytokines (Messingham *et al.*, 2002). The opposing effects of acute and chronic alcohol can be partly linked to loss of a key regulator of LPS tolerance in macropahges, IRAK-M (Mandrekar *et al.*, 2009). In a study utilizing murine macrophages *in vitro* and a mouse model of acute alcohol administration, acute alcohol treatment induced TLR4/LPS tolerance through the induction of Bcl-3, a negative regulator of TNF- α transcription via its association with NF- κ B p50/p50 dimers (Bala *et al.*, 2012).

In vitro studies showed that prolonged alcohol exposure of monocytes for 4 days or longer augmented LPS-induced TNF- α production compared to alcohol-naïve cells (Mandrekar *et al.*, 2009). The involvement of the TLR4 signaling pathway was suggested by increased IKK kinase activity, increased NF-kB nuclear translocation and DNA transactivation in human monocytes (Mandrekar *et al.*, 2009). This upregulation of TLR4 signaling occurred in the presence of diminished expression of IRAK-M, a negative regulator of TLR4 signaling, in monocytes after prolonged alcohol treatment. Overexpression of IRAK-M prevented the increased LPS-induced TNF- α production in chronic alcohol-treated cells suggesting that loss of IRAK-M is likely to contribute to the loss of TLR tolerance in monocytes after prolonged alcohol exposure (Mandrekar *et al.*, 2009).

The diversity of innate immune cell populations in the liver in ALD

The hepatic environment physiologically harbors a vast population of innate immune cells such as KC (resident liver macrophages), BM-derived infiltrating monocytes/macropahges and dendritic cells. In order to accomplish critical innate immune functions, KCs are located in the sinusoidal lumen, where they constantly survey blood content, ingesting aging erythrocytes and catching pathogens, including bacterial components such as LPS, out of the bloodstream (David *et al.*, 2016). Dendritic cells are located in hepatic parenchyma but are not known to contribute to the baseline surveillance and phagocytic activity; their gene expression profile is different from that of the KC, favouring antigen processing and presentation but not phagocytosis (David *et al.*, 2016).

As discussed above, data from the past 15 years support the hypothesis that in pathogenesis of ALD, repetitive exposure to gutderived LPS converts KC from a state of immunotolerance to a state of immune activation, resulting in the expression of inflammatory cytokines and liver inflammation (Mandrekar *et al.*, 2009; Nolan, 2010; Bala *et al.*, 2012). More recent data do not contradict this notion but suggest that the scenario is more complex and that there is functional distinction between KC and infiltrating monocytes/ macrophages: whereas KC maintain tolerance, BM-derived macrophages are either pro-inflammatory (M1 macrophages) or profibrogenic (M2 macrophages) (Ju and Mandrekar, 2015; Xu *et al.*, 2015b; Saha *et al.*, 2016).

Studies have shown that KC represent only one of the multiple subpopulations of monocytes and macrophages in the liver (Yona *et al.*, 2013; Ju and Mandrekar, 2015; David *et al.*, 2016). In a study of severe liver injury induced by acetaminophen, KC removal actually augmented the extent of liver inflammation and injury, likely secondary to impaired mechanisms of tissue repair (David *et al.*, 2016). In addition, the activation of monocytes/macrophages in the liver is not entirely dependent on instructions from microbiota but requires endogenous mediators from a hepatic microenvironment as well (Szabo and Petrasek, 2015; David *et al.*, 2016). The complexity of the differential role of KCs versus BM-derived macrophages in liver inflammation needs further investigation.

Differential function of KCs versus BM-derived liver monocytes/ macrophages in alcohol-induced liver inflammation

Recent studies on the origin of KCs have shown that macrophages seed the liver during embryogenesis from yolk sac progenitors, and in the absence of liver injury, this resident pool of KCs is maintained in adulthood predominantly via self-renewal of intrahepatic precursors and, to a lesser extent, recruitment of BM-derived cells (Schulz *et al.*, 2012; Yona *et al.*, 2013; Scott *et al.*, 2016). The uptake of gut-derived LPS by KC in undamaged livers happens in a manner that prevents cell damage or inflammation (Rao, 2009). In a mouse study using intravital microscopy (David *et al.*, 2016), time-lapse imaging revealed that *E. coli* (carrying large quanta of LPS) flowing in liver hepatic sinusoids were immediately immobilized to the KC membrane and cleared from the circulation at first contact, and no major changes in KC morphology or activation were necessary for bacterial arrest (David *et al.*, 2016).

Although the pool of KC undergoes repletion from intrahepatic precursors under physiological circumstances, the situation dramatically changes if liver damage occurs. Recently published data have shown that significant liver injury is associated with depletion of KC, which generates intrahepatic niche available for BM-derived circulating monocytes to engraft in the liver. Over the period of 2–8 weeks, these newly engrafted BM-derived monocytes/macrophages gradually adopt the transcriptional profile of KC and become long-lived self-renewing cells (David *et al.*, 2016; Scott *et al.*, 2016). However, during the process of liver engraftment, immigrating BMderived monocytes/macrophages lack the tolerogenic properties of KC (David *et al.*, 2016). That translates into a temporary altered response to injury, including a transitory pro-inflammatory signature after acute liver injury, decreased LPS clearance after exposure to exogenous *E. coli*, and augmented response to LPS (David *et al.*, 2016).

Based on the cell fate-mapping studies in the liver, it cannot be fully ruled out that in the pathogenesis of liver disease, the proinflammatory phenotype historically attributed to KC is actually more pertinent to BM-derived monocytes/macrophages, although further studies will be needed to differentiate this in the context of ALD. The distinction between KC and BM-derived monocytes/ macrophages in the liver could not be made in previous studies as routine markers of KC, such as F4/80, cannot distinguish between these two sets of cells, and it has been impossible to selectively deplete only one population of tissue macrophages, without disturbing the entire mononuclear phagocyte system (David et al., 2016). Cell fate-mapping strategies (Irish, 2014) or the use of Clec4F (Ctype lectin domain family 4, member F), a recently discovered marker specific to murine KC (Scott et al., 2016), could provide a tool to specifically evaluate the contribution of individual liver macrophage subpopulations to the pathogenesis of ALD.

Although the source and differential contribution of KC versus BM-derived monocytes/macrophages to the pathogenesis of ALD await further elucidation, there is ample evidence supporting the role of activated macrophages and inflammatory cytokines in alcoholinduced liver inflammation. Treatment of alcohol-fed rats or mice with gadolinium chloride or clodronate to deplete liver macrophages almost completely protected from alcohol-induced liver inflammation (Adachi et al., 1994; Roh et al., 2015). Similarly, mice deficient in macrophage-derived inflammatory cytokines or chemokines TNF-a, interleukin (IL)-1, MCP-1, MMF (macrophage migration inhibitory factor) showed significant protection from alcohol-induced liver inflammation (summarized in Nagy, 2015). With the exception of MMF, all the above-mentioned cytokines were significantly increased in patients with severe AH and their level in the serum was associated with disease severity and survival in these patients (McClain et al., 1986; Degre et al., 2012; Michelena et al., 2015; Yeluru et al., 2016).

Polarization of hepatic macrophages in ALD

Published evidence suggests that BM-derived circulating monocytes can be recruited to the site of injury early during inflammation in the liver, where they differentiate into macrophages (Irish, 2014; Ju and Mandrekar, 2015; David et al., 2016; Scott et al., 2016). Infiltrating monocytes/macrophages alter their phenotypes and functions depending on tissue microenvironmental cues, such as growth factors, PAMPs and damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs). This process, also referred to as macrophage polarization, results in different phenotypic macrophage populations with the final extremes of M1 and M2 macrophages. However, in vivo and in dynamic environments as the alcoholic liver, macrophage phenotypes change rapidly within this spectrum and rarely show the prototypic M1 or M2 phenotype. M1 macrophages are induced by Th1 cytokines and LPS, have pro-inflammatory effects and mediate the initial defense against bacteria and viruses. In addition, they are important for the response to tissue injury. The M1 macrophages produce pro-inflammatory mediators such as IL-1, TNF-a, IFN-y, IL12, IL-18 and reactive

oxygen species (Murray and Wynn, 2011). Once the infection or tissue injury is controlled, M2 macrophages, under the influence of Th2 cytokines, render anti-inflammatory effects and promote fibrogenesis and wound healing by way of anti-inflammatory and profibrogenic mediators including IL-10, TGF- β , matrix metalloproteinases, tissue inhibitors of matrix metalloproteinases, arginase and VEGF (Ju and Mandrekar, 2015).

Both subsets of macrophages (M1 and M2) are present in the livers of patients with ALD (Lee et al., 2014) and are involved in response to tissue injury triggered by alcohol (Ju and Mandrekar, 2015). In addition, they have distinct metabolic profiles: M1 use glucose for energy through glycolysis while M2 use fatty acid oxidation (Galvan-Pena and O'Neill, 2014). An explanation for metabolic reprogramming of M1 macrophages towards glycolysis has recently been provided by Tsukamoto's group utilizing a mouse model of ALD combined with a high-fat diet (Xu et al., 2015b). The study showed that differentiation of macrophages into the M1 phenotype is dependent on NOTCH1, a transmembrane receptor that mediates cell-cell communication and that is activated by inflammatory signals related to alcohol consumption, including gut-derived LPS. The M1 macrophage polarization was induced by coupling the LPS/ NOTCH-mediated upregulation of the M1 gene transcription with reprogramming of the mitochondrial metabolism toward enhanced glucose oxidation and oxidative phoshophorylation (OXPHOS). The enhanced expression of OXPHOS genes encoded by mitochondrial DNA lead to enhanced production of mitochondrial reactive oxygen species, which in turn augmented expression of M1 genes by way of hypoxia-inducible factor-1α and NF-κB (Xu et al., 2015a). This mechanism had functional impact on alcohol-induced liver inflammation. Pharmacological inhibition or genetic deficiency of NOTCH1 significantly attenuated alcohol-induced liver inflammation and decreased oxidative stress in the liver. Cell fate-mapping experiments showed that the amelioration of hepatic inflammation seen in Notch1 knockout mice was a result of reduced migration of BM-derived monocytes to the liver and their inability of M1 differentiation. Importantly, preexisting resident KC were not involved in this process. In addition, the expression of M1 or M2 genes in resident KC was not affected by alcohol or high-fat diet feeding, supporting the role of BM-derived monocytes, but not of KC, in NOTCH1-dependent M1 polarization and inflammatory activation in ALD (Xu et al., 2015a).

In addition to inducing M1 polarization, consumption of ethanol leads to M2 polarization as well. Recent studies demonstrated that differentiation of alcohol-exposed monocytes to M2 macrophages is dependent on Kruppel-Like Factor-4, which upregulated M2 genes, and requires miR-27a, which activates the ERK signaling pathway and IL-10 secretion via targeting the ERK inhibitor, Sprouty-2 (Saha *et al.*, 2015a, 2015b). In addition, miR27 is present in extracellular vesicles derived from M2-polarized monocytes and these miR27containing extracellular vesicles signal naive monocytes to differentiate into M2 macrophages (Saha *et al.*, 2016).

Although the M1 versus M2 macrophage polarization is a novel concept in ALD, it clearly indicates that infiltrating macrophages respond to various signals through different pathways and subsequently undergo a phenotypic switch that determines their role in inflammation. From a clinical perspective, this work provides new potential therapies that require further direct evaluation of selective modulators of M1 or M2 activation. For example, DAPT, a gamma-secretase inhibitor, inhibited NOTCH1 pathway, prevented M1 differentiation and ameliorated alcohol injury in a mouse model of ALD (Xu *et al.*, 2015a) as well as liver fibrosis in an alternative

models of cirrhosis (Chen et al., 2012). No M2 macrophage-specific inhibitors have been evaluated in *in vivo* models of ALD to date.

Mechanisms of alcohol-induced liver inflammation driven by sterile signals

In addition to microbial signals, liver immune cells are commonly exposed to sterile (i.e. non-microbial) molecules derived from the host, which are released from damaged hepatocytes and other cells in the liver (known as DAMPs) (Kubes and Mehal, 2012). Under normal circumstances, DAMPs remain hidden from the extracellular environment and are released when tissues are injured (Kubes and Mehal, 2012). Several DAMPs (ATP, uric acid, cholesterol crystals, beta amyloid, calcium pyrophosphate dehydrate crystals and cytosolic DNA) are known to trigger the assembly of a cytosolic protein complex termed 'the inflammasome', which activates the serine protease Caspase-1 (CASP-1) and leads to the secretion of cytokines such as IL-1β and IL-18 (Martinon *et al.*, 2002; Ogura *et al.*, 2006; Szabo and Csak, 2012).

The role of inflammasomes in ALD

Inflammasomes are multiprotein complexes that sense danger signals via nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain receptors (commonly known as NOD-like receptors [NLRs]) (Schroder and Tschopp, 2010). NLRs contain a ligand recognition domain, a central domain that is responsible for oligomerization, and an N-terminal activation domain (Kumar et al., 2011). Following its activation by inflammatory signals, NLR forms a complex with the effector molecule, pro-CASP-1. The inflammasome can then oligomerize and activate CASP-1 that, in turn, results in the maturation of pro-inflammatory cytokines pro-IL-1ß and pro-IL-18 to IL-1β and IL-18 (Schroder and Tschopp, 2010). Among the best-characterized inflammasome-activating signals in liver diseases are ATP, uric acid, palmitic acid, cholesterol crystals and reactive oxygen species (Mariathasan et al., 2006; Csak et al., 2011; Vandanmagsar et al., 2011; Wen et al., 2011; Matsuzaka et al., 2012; Rock et al., 2013). Uric acid, ATP and reactive oxygen species have been shown to be involved in alcohol-induced liver inflammation to date, and the role of other inflammasome-activating signals in ALD remains to be elucidated.

Patients with AH have increased serum levels of IL-1, TNF- α and IL-8, elevated expression of CASP-1 and NLRP3 in the liver, neutrophilia, and activation of monocytes and macrophages (McClain *et al.*, 1986; O'Shea *et al.*, 2010; Peng *et al.*, 2014). Notably, patients with the most severe forms of AH have a substantial increase in serum levels of IL-1 β , an inflammasome-driven cytokine, compared with healthy individuals (McClain *et al.*, 1986), and increased levels of the inflammasome components NLRP3, ASC, CASP-1, correlating with the presence of Mallory–Denk bodies in liver pathology (Peng *et al.*, 2014). The presence of increased IL-1 β and neutrophilia, pathognomonic for sterile inflammation, indicates inflammasome activation (Gao and Bataller, 2011).

The key role of inflammasome activation in ALD has been confirmed in mouse models. Chronic administration of ethanol to wildtype mice has induced steatosis, liver injury and increased hepatic expression of Il-1 β as well as expression of the inflammasome components pro-Casp-1, Asc and Nlrp3 (Petrasek *et al.*, 2012). Similarly, exposure of mice to ethanol has increased Casp-1 activity in the liver, indicating inflammasome activation (Petrasek *et al.*, 2012). Mice deficient in IL-1 receptor, inflammasome activator Nlrp3, inflammasome adaptor Asc or the inflammasome executioner component Casp-1 were protected from ethanol-induced inflammasome and Il-1 β activation, and displayed attenuation of ethanolinduced liver injury and steatosis (Petrasek *et al.*, 2012, 2015). The absence of inflammasome activation also prevented accumulation of inflammatory cells in the liver. Daily injections of an Il-1 receptor antagonist (Il-1ra, Anakinra) ameliorated alcohol-induced liver inflammation with a dose-dependent decrease in steatosis and liver injury (Petrasek *et al.*, 2012). In addition, when mice were treated with Il-1ra after 2 weeks of ethanol administration, steatosis and liver injury were also attenuated, and the same protective effect was observed when Il-1ra was administered during recovery from acuteon-chronic ethanol exposure (Petrasek *et al.*, 2012).

Analysis of primary murine cells demonstrated that expression of the inflammasome components Casp-1, Asc and NLRP3 is ~20fold higher in liver immune cells than in primary hepatocytes. In further experiments, the administration of ethanol to wild-type mice induced cleavage of Casp-1 in liver immune cells but not in hepatocytes (Petrasek *et al.*, 2012). Using mice with a cell-specific deletion of Casp-1, liver macrophages were found to be the main cell types that mediate inflammasome-dependent ALD progression (Petrasek *et al.*, 2012). Collectively, the current available data indicate that the pathogenic role of the inflammasome and IL-1 in ALD is mediated by its activation in hepatic macrophages. Inhibition of IL-1 signaling in humans with severe AH is currently being tested in clinical trials (NCT01809132 and NCT01903798 at www. clinicaltrials.gov).

Activators of hepatic inflammasomes in ALD

Activators of the inflammasome in ALD have not yet been fully defined. Gut-derived LPS, which signals through TLR4 (Gao et al., 2011; Szabo, 2015), is likely to be the first signal that induces expression of pro-IL-1ß (Inokuchi et al., 2011; Petrasek et al., 2012). Experiments using mice with cell-specific deficiency of Casp-1 demonstrated that inflammasome activation and IL-1ß secretion in ALD is specific to liver macrophages (Petrasek et al., 2012). The list of second activating signals that release active IL-1β has not been fully characterized but alcohol-induced mitochondrial dysfunction is associated with changes in the metabolism of uric acid and ATP, two known activators of the NLRP3 inflammasome, suggesting the possibility that they could be the source of an inflammasomeactivating signal (Lieber et al., 1962; Hoek et al., 2002; Stiburkova et al., 2014). Indeed, individuals exposed to ethanol have increased serum levels of uric acid (Lieber et al., 1962) and treatment of alcohol-exposed rats with allopurinol, an inhibitor of uric acid synthesis, ameliorates liver inflammation, steatosis and injury (Kono et al., 2000). In our own work, we have observed a lack of alcoholinduced inflammasome activation in the livers of mice with a genetic deficiency in the ATP receptor P2X7, and in mice depleted of uric acid as a result of uricase overexpression (Iracheta-Vellve et al., 2015). Consequently, uric acid and ATP likely represent second signals for inflammasome activation in ALD, although we cannot exclude the possibility that other host-derived molecules are also involved in this process. For example, the non-histone chromosomal protein high mobility group box-1 (HMGB-1), an alarmin that is released predominantly from damaged hepatocytes and recognized by liver immune cells, is a strong pro-inflammatory signal in ALD and might activate the inflammasome (Ge et al., 2014). HMGB-1 activates TLR4 on the surface of liver immune cells which leads to the induction of inflammatory cytokines (Yu et al., 2006). This process requires the endocytosis of HMGB-1 and is dependent on CASP-1, which indicates an interaction between HMGB-1 and inflammasome signaling (Xu *et al.*, 2014).

SYNERGY BETWEEN BACTERIAL AND STERILE SIGNALS IN ALCOHOL-INDUCED LIVER INFLAMMATION

Liver inflammation in ALD was initially viewed as a linear process triggered by translocation of LPS from the gut to the liver resulting in dose-dependent activation of KC (Enomoto *et al.*, 1998; Thurman, 1998; Hoek and Pastorino, 2002). With the emergence of new data on intestinal microbiome/metabolome, diverse roles of liver macrophage populations and the role of inflammasome in liver disease, it is becoming clear that alcohol-induced inflammation in the liver results from an intricate network of multiple signals from the gut and from the liver that can vary in their timing, cellular location, intensity and duration.

For example, a recent study published by Menezes' group (David et al., 2016) demonstrated that liver-derived factors shape KC function in response to liver injury. This finding was consistent with the report of Perlemutter's group (Llopis et al., 2016) in which intestinal microbiome from humans with severe AH (sAH) was transplanted to conventional mice fed control or alcohol diet. Transplantation of sAH microbiome to mice significantly increased gut permeability and translocation of intestinal microbiota to the liver, but was not sufficient to cause liver inflammation or damage, unless mice were fed with alcohol diet. This finding was consistent with our observations that primary liver insult is required for liver inflammation to develop (Iracheta-Vellve et al., 2015; Petrasek et al., 2015), and confirmed our hypothesis that in alcohol-induced liver, there is a synergistic interaction between gut-derived bacterial signals and hepatocyte-derived sterile signals (Szabo and Petrasek, 2015).

We have previously postulated that only certain constellations of multiple signals that involve the inflammasome will enable the liver immune system to be activated in the pathogenesis of ALD (Szabo and Petrasek, 2015). A definitive answer on whether the interaction between the gut and the liver takes place parallel or consecutively will likely be provided by future studies. Previous reports on the pathogenesis of non-alcoholic steatohepatitis proposed that translocation of bacterial pathogens combined with the underlying steatosis is required to trigger liver inflammation in steatohepatitis ('two hit theory' proposed by Day and James, 1998). The data available to date support the concept that at least two signals are *required* for liver inflammation, but, at the same time, indicate that they may not be sufficient to trigger inflammation. The complete set of mechanisms that enable liver immune cells distinguish pro-inflammatory noxious signals from physiological background is likely broader and is awaiting its full elucidation. Based on available evidence, we and others believe that inflammation in ALD is determined by the presence of multiple parallel hits (Szabo and Petrasek, 2015; Mandrekar et al., 2016). This concept has been reflected in current ongoing clinical trials in AH, as will be discussed below.

THERAPEUTIC IMPLICATIONS

sAH represents one of the deadliest complications of ALD, with short-term mortality between 20 and 50% (Thursz and Morgan, 2016). sAH is an example of acute-on-chronic liver injury, in which the majority of patients have already established alcoholic cirrhosis,

and in which consumption of alcohol in binges leads to deterioration of liver function, manifesting as jaundice, encephalopathy and coagulopathy (Crabb *et al.*, 2016). Available evidence demonstrates that sAH is driven by a surge of inflammatory activity in the liver and by impairment of hepatocyte regeneration (Dubuquoy *et al.*, 2015; Louvet and Mathurin, 2015). Historically, the antiinflammatory medication prednisone was the mainstay of treatment of sAH, but its efficacy is limited and its use is complicated by opportune infections (Thursz *et al.*, 2015).

Until recently, sAH received very little attention from policy makers, pharmaceutical companies and funding agencies. Due to improved pre-clinical models on ALD (Mandrekar et al., 2016), novel data on pathobiology of liver inflammation (Yeluru et al., 2016), updated consensus on definitions for sAH (Crabb et al., 2016) and changing views on sAH this is now perceived as a major public health issue (Mandrekar et al., 2016), multicentric clinical trials have been recently initiated in the US and in Europe in which novel therapeutic targets are being tested in patients with sAH (Mandrekar et al., 2016). In line with the multiple parallel hit hypothesis discussed above, the prevailing concept embedded in these clinical trials is to target multiple pathobiological mechanisms at the same time. For example, the therapeutic approaches focus on modulation of gut microbiome by probiotics or antibiotics, prevention of gut leakage by administering zinc sulfate, inhibition of inflammatory signals including the inflammasome using IL-1 or caspase inhibitors, and promotion of hepatocyte regeneration using IL-22 (Mandrekar et al., 2016). For detailed information about specific therapies currently tested in clinical trials, we refer to recently published reviews on this topic (Arsene et al., 2016; Mandrekar et al., 2016; Petrasek and Szabo, 2016; Mitchell et al., 2017).

CONCLUSIONS

In the pathogenesis of ALD, liver inflammation represents a transition point from simple steatosis to steatohepatitis, fibrosis and cirrhosis. In the past few years, we have witnessed significant advances in the elucidation of mechanisms behind alcohol-induced inflammation in the liver, some of which are currently tested in clinical trials. With integrative approaches and new data on alcohol-induced liver inflammation, we may be approaching a point at which our understanding of inflammation in ALD will change from mere enumeration of mechanisms required for inflammation to an integrated view of signaling circuits along with their critical regulatory checkpoints that would be amenable to novel therapeutic approaches. Carefully designed clinical trials to test these targets in patients with ALD are urgently needed.

FUNDING

The study was supported by NIH funded grants U01 AA021902, AA021907, & AA021893 and R01 AA017729 to Dr Gyongyi Szabo.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

None declared.

REFERENCES

Adachi Y, Bradford BU, Gao W, et al. (1994) Inactivation of Kupffer cells prevents early alcohol-induced liver injury. *Hepatology* 20:453–60.

- Adachi Y, Moore LE, Bradford BU, *et al.* (1995) Antibiotics prevent liver injury in rats following long-term exposure to ethanol. *Gastroenterology* 108:218–24.
- Arsene D, Farooq O, Bataller R. (2016) New therapeutic targets in alcoholic hepatitis. *Hepatol Int* 10:538–52.
- Bajaj JS, Heuman DM, Hylemon PB, et al. (2014) Altered profile of human gut microbiome is associated with cirrhosis and its complications. J Hepatol 60:940–7.
- Bala S, Marcos M, Gattu A, et al. (2014) Acute binge drinking increases serum endotoxin and bacterial DNA levels in healthy individuals. PloS One 9:e96864.
- Bala S, Tang A, Catalano D, et al. (2012) Induction of Bcl-3 by acute binge alcohol results in toll-like receptor 4/LPS tolerance. J Leukoc Biol 92: 611–20.
- Benacerraf B, Sebestyen MM, Schlossman S. (1959) A quantitative study of the kinetics of blood clearance of P32-labelled *Escherichia coli* and Staphylococci by the reticuloendothelial system. J Exp Med 110:27–48.
- Bindels LB, Porporato P, Dewulf EM, et al. (2012) Gut microbiota-derived propionate reduces cancer cell proliferation in the liver. Br J Cancer 107: 1337–44.
- Bode JC, Bode C, Heidelbach R, et al. (1984) Jejunal microflora in patients with chronic alcohol abuse. *Hepatogastroenterology* **31**:30–4.
- Bull-Otterson L, Feng W, Kirpich I, et al. (2013) Metagenomic analyses of alcohol induced pathogenic alterations in the intestinal microbiome and the effect of Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG treatment. PloS One 8:e53028.
- Chen P, Torralba M, Tan J, et al. (2015) Supplementation of saturated longchain fatty acids maintains intestinal eubiosis and reduces ethanolinduced liver injury in mice. Gastroenterology 148:203–14 e16.
- Chen Y, Zheng S, Qi D, *et al.* (2012) Inhibition of Notch signaling by a gamma-secretase inhibitor attenuates hepatic fibrosis in rats. *PloS One* 7: e46512.
- Clemente C, Bosch J, Rodes J, *et al.* (1977) Functional renal failure and haemorrhagic gastritis associated with endotoxaemia in cirrhosis. *Gut* 18: 556–60.
- Cotillard A, Kennedy SP, Kong LC, et al. (2013) Dietary intervention impact on gut microbial gene richness. Nature 500:585–8.
- Couch RD, Dailey A, Zaidi F, *et al.* (2015) Alcohol induced alterations to the human fecal VOC metabolome. *PloS One* **10**:e0119362.
- Crabb DW, Bataller R, Chalasani NP, et al. (2016) Standard definitions and common data elements for clinical trials in patients with alcoholic hepatitis: recommendation from the NIAAA Alcoholic Hepatitis Consortia. *Gastroenterology* 150:785–90.
- Csak T, Ganz M, Pespisa J, *et al.* (2011) Fatty acid and endotoxin activate inflammasomes in mouse hepatocytes that release danger signals to stimulate immune cells. *Hepatology* 54:133–44.
- David BA, Rezende RM, Antunes MM, et al. (2016) Combination of mass cytometry and imaging analysis reveals origin, location, and functional repopulation of liver myeloid cells in mice. Gastroenterology 151:1176–91.
- Day CP, James OF. (1998) Steatohepatitis: a tale of two 'hits'? Gastroenterology 114:842-5.
- De Nardo D, Nguyen T, Hamilton JA, *et al.* (2009) Down-regulation of IRAK-4 is a component of LPS- and CpG DNA-induced tolerance in macrophages. *Cell Signal* 21:246–52.
- Degre D, Lemmers A, Gustot T, *et al.* (2012) Hepatic expression of CCL2 in alcoholic liver disease is associated with disease severity and neutrophil infiltrates. *Clin Exp Immunol* **169**:302–10.
- Demigne C, Morand C, Levrat MA, et al. (1995) Effect of propionate on fatty acid and cholesterol synthesis and on acetate metabolism in isolated rat hepatocytes. Br J Nutr 74:209–19.
- Dolganiuc A, Norkina O, Kodys K, et al. (2007) Viral and host factors induce macrophage activation and loss of toll-like receptor tolerance in chronic HCV infection. Gastroenterology 133:1627–36.
- Dubuquoy L, Louvet A, Lassailly G, *et al.* (2015) Progenitor cell expansion and impaired hepatocyte regeneration in explanted livers from alcoholic hepatitis. *Gut* 64:1949–60.
- Dunagan M, Chaudhry K, Samak G, et al. (2012) Acetaldehyde disrupts tight junctions in Caco-2 cell monolayers by a protein phosphatase

2A-dependent mechanism. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 303: G1356-64.

- Engen PA, Green SJ, Voigt RM, et al. (2015) The gastrointestinal microbiome: alcohol effects on the composition of intestinal microbiota. Alcohol Res 37:223–36.
- Enomoto N, Ikejima K, Bradford B, et al. (1998) Alcohol causes both tolerance and sensitization of rat Kupffer cells via mechanisms dependent on endotoxin. Gastroenterology 115:443–51.
- Everard A, Lazarevic V, Gaia N, et al. (2014) Microbiome of prebiotictreated mice reveals novel targets involved in host response during obesity. ISME J 8:2116–30.
- Ferenci P, Schafer DF, Kleinberger G, et al. (1983) Serum levels of gammaaminobutyric-acid-like activity in acute and chronic hepatocellular disease. Lancet 2:811–4.
- Ferrero E, Jiao D, Tsuberi BZ, et al. (1993) Transgenic mice expressing human CD14 are hypersensitive to lipopolysaccharide. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 90:2380–4.
- Frances R, Benlloch S, Zapater P, et al. (2004a) A sequential study of serum bacterial DNA in patients with advanced cirrhosis and ascites. *Hepatology* 39:484–91.
- Frances R, Munoz C, Zapater P, et al. (2004b) Bacterial DNA activates cell mediated immune response and nitric oxide overproduction in peritoneal macrophages from patients with cirrhosis and ascites. Gut 53:860–4.
- Galvan-Pena S, O'Neill LA. (2014) Metabolic reprograming in macrophage polarization. Front Immunol 5:420.
- Gao B, Bataller R. (2011) Alcoholic liver disease: pathogenesis and new therapeutic targets. *Gastroenterology* 141:1572–85.
- Gao B, Seki E, Brenner DA, et al. (2011) Innate immunity in alcoholic liver disease. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 300:G516–25.
- Ge X, Antoine DJ, Lu Y, et al. (2014) High mobility group box-1 (HMGB1) participates in the pathogenesis of alcoholic liver disease (ALD). J Biol Chem 289:22672–91.
- Gluud LL, Dam G, Les I, et al. (2015) Branched-chain amino acids for people with hepatic encephalopathy. Cochrane Database Syst Rev; CD001939.
- Gustot T, Lemmers A, Moreno C, et al. (2006) Differential liver sensitization to toll-like receptor pathways in mice with alcoholic fatty liver. *Hepatology* 43:989–1000.
- Hansen J, Cherwitz DL, Allen JI. (1994) The role of tumor necrosis factoralpha in acute endotoxin-induced hepatotoxicity in ethanol-fed rats. *Hepatology* 20:461–74.
- Hartmann P, Chen P, Wang HJ, et al. (2013) Deficiency of intestinal mucin-2 ameliorates experimental alcoholic liver disease in mice. *Hepatology* 58: 108–19.
- Hoek JB, Cahill A, Pastorino JG. (2002) Alcohol and mitochondria: a dysfunctional relationship. Gastroenterology 122:2049–63.
- Hoek JB, Pastorino JG. (2002) Ethanol, oxidative stress, and cytokineinduced liver cell injury. Alcohol 27:63–8.
- Huang Y, Blatt LM, Taylor MW. (1995) Type 1 interferon as an antiinflammatory agent: inhibition of lipopolysaccharide-induced interleukin-1 beta and induction of interleukin-1 receptor antagonist. J Interferon Cytokine Res 15:317–21.
- Inokuchi S, Tsukamoto H, Park E, *et al.* (2011) Toll-like receptor 4 mediates alcohol-induced steatohepatitis through bone marrow-derived and endogenous liver cells in mice. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res* **35**:1509–18.
- Iracheta-Vellve A, Petrasek J, Satishchandran A, et al. (2015) Inhibition of sterile danger signals, uric acid and ATP, prevents inflammasome activation and protects from alcoholic steatohepatitis in mice. J Hepatol 63:1147–55.
- Irish JM. (2014) Beyond the age of cellular discovery. Nat Immunol 15:1095-7.
- Ju C, Mandrekar P. (2015) Macrophages and alcohol-related liver inflammation. Alcohol Res 37:251–62.
- Kalman RS, Goldberg DS. (2016) The role of obeticholic acid in gut bacterial translocation and inflammation. *Gastroenterology* **151**:759–61.
- Kim MH, Kang SG, Park JH, et al. (2013) Short-chain fatty acids activate GPR41 and GPR43 on intestinal epithelial cells to promote inflammatory responses in mice. Gastroenterology 145:396–406 e1-10.
- Kirpich IA, Petrosino J, Ajami N, et al. (2016) Saturated and unsaturated dietary fats differentially modulate ethanol-induced changes in gut

microbiome and metabolome in a mouse model of alcoholic liver disease. *Am J Pathol* **186**:765–76.

- Kono H, Rusyn I, Bradford BU, et al. (2000) Allopurinol prevents early alcohol-induced liver injury in rats. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 293:296–303.
- Krieger D, Krieger S, Jansen O, et al. (1995) Manganese and chronic hepatic encephalopathy. Lancet 346:270–4.
- Kubes P, Mehal WZ. (2012) Sterile inflammation in the liver. Gastroenterology 143:1158–72.
- Kumar H, Kawai T, Akira S. (2011) Pathogen recognition by the innate immune system. Int Rev Immunol 30:16–34.
- Laleman W, Trebicka J, Verbeke L. (2016) Evolving insights in the pathophysiology of complications of cirrhosis: the farnesoid X receptor (FXR) to the rescue? *Hepatology* 64:1792–94.
- Le Chatelier E, Nielsen T, Qin J, et al. (2013) Richness of human gut microbiome correlates with metabolic markers. Nature 500:541–6.
- Leclercq S, Matamoros S, Cani PD, et al. (2014) Intestinal permeability, gutbacterial dysbiosis, and behavioral markers of alcohol-dependence severity. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 111:E4485–93.
- Lee J, French B, Morgan T, *et al.* (2014) The liver is populated by a broad spectrum of markers for macrophages. In alcoholic hepatitis the macrophages are M1 and M2. *Exp Mol Pathol* 96:118–25.
- Letexier D, Diraison F, Beylot M. (2003) Addition of inulin to a moderately high-carbohydrate diet reduces hepatic lipogenesis and plasma triacylglycerol concentrations in humans. *Am J Clin Nutr* 77:559–64.
- Lieber CS, Jones DP, Losowsky MS, et al. (1962) Interrelation of uric acid and ethanol metabolism in man. J Clin Invest 41:1863–70.
- Lin Y, Vonk RJ, Slooff MJ, *et al.* (1995) Differences in propionate-induced inhibition of cholesterol and triacylglycerol synthesis between human and rat hepatocytes in primary culture. *Br J Nutr* 74:197–207.
- Lippai D, Bala S, Catalano D, et al. (2014) Micro-RNA-155 deficiency prevents alcohol-induced serum endotoxin increase and small bowel inflammation in mice. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 38:2217–24.
- Llopis M, Cassard AM, Wrzosek L, et al. (2016) Intestinal microbiota contributes to individual susceptibility to alcoholic liver disease. Gut 65:830–9.
- Louvet A, Mathurin P. (2015) Alcoholic liver disease: mechanisms of injury and targeted treatment. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol* 12:231-42.
- Mandayam S, Jamal MM, Morgan TR. (2004) Epidemiology of alcoholic liver disease. *Semin Liver Dis* 24:217–32.
- Mandrekar P, Bala S, Catalano D, et al. (2009) The opposite effects of acute and chronic alcohol on lipopolysaccharide-induced inflammation are linked to IRAK-M in human monocytes. J Immunol 183:1320–7.
- Mandrekar P, Bataller R, Tsukamoto H, et al. (2016) Alcoholic hepatitis: translational approaches to develop targeted therapies. *Hepatology* 64:1343–55.
- Mariathasan S, Weiss DS, Newton K, *et al.* (2006) Cryopyrin activates the inflammasome in response to toxins and ATP. *Nature* **440**:228–32.
- Marteau P. (2013) Butyrate-producing bacteria as pharmabiotics for inflammatory bowel disease. *Gut* 62:1673.
- Martinon F, Burns K, Tschopp J. (2002) The inflammasome: a molecular platform triggering activation of inflammatory caspases and processing of proIL-beta. *Mol Cell* 10:417–26.
- Maslowski KM, Vieira AT, Ng A, *et al.* (2009) Regulation of inflammatory responses by gut microbiota and chemoattractant receptor GPR43. *Nature* **461**:1282–6.
- Matsuzaka T, Atsumi A, Matsumori R, et al. (2012) Elovl6 promotes nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. Hepatology 56:2199–208.
- McClain CJ, Cohen DA, Dinarello CA, et al. (1986) Serum interleukin-1 (IL-1) activity in alcoholic hepatitis. Life Sci 39:1479–85.
- Medvedev AE, Sabroe I, Hasday JD, *et al.* (2006) Tolerance to microbial TLR ligands: molecular mechanisms and relevance to disease. *J Endotoxin Res* **12**:133–50.
- Merritt ME, Donaldson JR. (2009) Effect of bile salts on the DNA and membrane integrity of enteric bacteria. J Med Microbiol 58:1533-41.
- Messingham KA, Faunce DE, Kovacs EJ. (2002) Alcohol, injury, and cellular immunity. Alcohol 28:137–49.
- Michelena J, Altamirano J, Abraldes JG, et al. (2015) Systemic inflammatory response and serum lipopolysaccharide levels predict multiple organ failure and death in alcoholic hepatitis. *Hepatology* 62:762–72.

- Minuk GY, Winder A, Burgess ED, et al. (1985) Serum gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) levels in patients with hepatic encephalopathy. *Hepatogastroenterology* 32:171–4.
- Mitchell MC, Friedman LS, McClain CJ. (2017) Medical management of severe alcoholic hepatitis: expert review from the clinical practice updates Committee of the AGA Institute. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 15:5–12.
- Murray PJ, Wynn TA. (2011) Protective and pathogenic functions of macrophage subsets. Nat Rev Immunol 11:723–37.
- Mutlu EA, Gillevet PM, Rangwala H, et al. (2012) Colonic microbiome is altered in alcoholism. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 302:G966–78.
- Nagy LE. (2003) Recent insights into the role of the innate immune system in the development of alcoholic liver disease. *Exp Biol Med (Maywood)* 228:882–90.
- Nagy LE. (2015) The role of innate immunity in alcoholic liver disease. *Alcohol Res* 37:237–50.
- Nolan JP. (2010) The role of intestinal endotoxin in liver injury: a long and evolving history. *Hepatology* **52**:1829–35.
- O'Shea RS, Dasarathy S, McCullough AJ. Practice Guideline Committee of the American Association for the Study of Liver, D, Practice Parameters Committee of the American College of, G. (2010) Alcoholic liver disease. *Hepatology* 51:307–28.
- Ogura Y, Sutterwala FS, Flavell RA. (2006) The inflammasome: first line of the immune response to cell stress. *Cell* **126**:659–62.
- Old LJ. (1987) Tumour necrosis factor. Another chapter in the long history of endotoxin. *Nature* 330:602–3.
- Pandey S, Kawai T, Akira S. (2014) Microbial sensing by Toll-like receptors and intracellular nucleic acid sensors. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* 7: a016246.
- Park BS, Song DH, Kim HM, et al. (2009) The structural basis of lipopolysaccharide recognition by the TLR4-MD-2 complex. Nature 458:1191–5.
- Parlesak A, Schafer C, Schutz T, et al. (2000) Increased intestinal permeability to macromolecules and endotoxemia in patients with chronic alcohol abuse in different stages of alcohol-induced liver disease. J Hepatol 32: 742–7.
- Peng Y, French BA, Tillman B, et al. (2014) The inflammasome in alcoholic hepatitis: Its relationship with Mallory–Denk body formation. Exp Mol Pathol 97:305–13.
- Petrasek J, Bala S, Csak T, et al. (2012) IL-1 receptor antagonist ameliorates inflammasome-dependent alcoholic steatohepatitis in mice. J Clin Invest 122:3476–89.
- Petrasek J, Iracheta-Vellve A, Saha B, et al. (2015) Metabolic danger signals, uric acid and ATP, mediate inflammatory cross-talk between hepatocytes and immune cells in alcoholic liver disease. J Leukoc Biol 98:249–56.
- Petrasek J, Mandrekar P, Szabo G. (2010) Toll-like receptors in the pathogenesis of alcoholic liver disease. *Gastroenterol Res Pract* 2010.
- Petrasek J, Szabo G. (2016) Treatment of alcoholic liver disease including emerging therapies, novel targets, and liver transplantation. In Chalasani N, Szabo G (eds). Alcoholic and Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. New York: Springer International Publishing, 291–311. ISBN: 978-3-319-20537-3.
- Piao W, Song C, Chen H, et al. (2009) Endotoxin tolerance dysregulates MyD88- and Toll/IL-1R domain-containing adapter inducing IFN-betadependent pathways and increases expression of negative regulators of TLR signaling. J Leukoc Biol 86:863–75.
- Qin N, Yang F, Li A, et al. (2014) Alterations of the human gut microbiome in liver cirrhosis. Nature 513:59–64.
- Quevrain E, Maubert MA, Michon C, et al. (2016) Identification of an antiinflammatory protein from *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii*, a commensal bacterium deficient in Crohn's disease. *Gut* 65:415–25.
- Rao R. (2009) Endotoxemia and gut barrier dysfunction in alcoholic liver disease. *Hepatology* 50:638–44.
- Roberfroid M, Gibson GR, Hoyles L, *et al.* (2010) Prebiotic effects: metabolic and health benefits. *Br J Nutr* **104**:S1–63.
- Rock KL, Kataoka H, Lai JJ. (2013) Uric acid as a danger signal in gout and its comorbidities. *Nat Rev Rheumatol* 9:13–23.
- Roh YS, Zhang B, Loomba R, et al. (2015) TLR2 and TLR9 contribute to alcohol-mediated liver injury through induction of CXCL1 and neutrophil infiltration. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 309:G30–41.

- Roth J, McClellan JL, Kluger MJ, *et al.* (1994) Attenuation of fever and release of cytokines after repeated injections of lipopolysaccharide in guinea-pigs. *J Physiol* **477**:177–85.
- Saarela M, Mogensen G, Fonden R, et al. (2000) Probiotic bacteria: safety, functional and technological properties. J Biotechnol 84:197–215.
- Saberi B, Dadabhai AS, Jang YY, et al. (2016) Current management of alcoholic hepatitis and future therapies. J Clin Transl Hepatol 4:113–22.
- Saha B, Bala S, Hosseini N, et al. (2015a) Kruppel-like factor 4 is a transcriptional regulator of M1/M2 macrophage polarization in alcoholic liver disease. J Leukoc Biol 97:963–73.
- Saha B, Bruneau JC, Kodys K, et al. (2015b) Alcohol-induced miR-27a regulates differentiation and M2 macrophage polarization of normal human monocytes. J Immunol 194:3079–87.
- Saha B, Momen-Heravi F, Kodys K, et al. (2016) MicroRNA cargo of extracellular vesicles from alcohol-exposed monocytes signals naive monocytes to differentiate into M2 macrophages. J Biol Chem 291:149–59.
- Scheppach W. (1994) Effects of short chain fatty acids on gut morphology and function. Gut 35:S35–8.

Schroder K, Tschopp J. (2010) The inflammasomes. Cell 140:821-32.

- Schulz C, Gomez Perdiguero E, Chorro L, et al. (2012) A lineage of myeloid cells independent of Myb and hematopoietic stem cells. Science 336:86–90.
- Scott CL, Zheng F, De Baetselier P, et al. (2016) Bone marrow-derived monocytes give rise to self-renewing and fully differentiated Kupffer cells. Nat Commun 7:10321.
- Shao B, Munford RS, Kitchens R, et al. (2012) Hepatic uptake and deacylation of the LPS in bloodborne LPS-lipoprotein complexes. *Innate Immun* 18:825–33.
- Sokol H, Pigneur B, Watterlot L, et al. (2008) Faecalibacterium prausnitzii is an anti-inflammatory commensal bacterium identified by gut microbiota analysis of Crohn disease patients. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 105:16731–6.
- Stiburkova B, Pavlikova M, Sokolova J, et al. (2014) Metabolic syndrome, alcohol consumption and genetic factors are associated with serum uric acid concentration. *PloS One* 9:e97646.
- Sultzer BM. (1968) Genetic control of leucocyte responses to endotoxin. Nature 219:1253-4.
- Szabo G. (2015) Gut-liver axis in alcoholic liver disease. Gastroenterology 148:30-6.
- Szabo G, Csak T. (2012) Inflammasomes in liver diseases. J Hepatol 57:642-54.
- Szabo G, Petrasek J. (2015) Inflammasome activation and function in liver disease. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 12:387–400.
- Tang Y, Banan A, Forsyth CB, et al. (2008) Effect of alcohol on miR-212 expression in intestinal epithelial cells and its potential role in alcoholic liver disease. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 32:355–64.
- Thurman RG. (1998) II. Alcoholic liver injury involves activation of Kupffer cells by endotoxin. Am J Physiol 275:G605–11.
- Thursz M, Morgan TR. (2016) Treatment of severe alcoholic hepatitis. Gastroenterology 150:1823-34.
- Thursz MR, Richardson P, Allison M, *et al.* (2015) Prednisolone or pentoxifylline for alcoholic hepatitis. *N Engl J Med* **372**:1619–28.
- Ubeda M, Lario M, Munoz L, *et al.* (2016) Obeticholic acid reduces bacterial translocation and inhibits intestinal inflammation in cirrhotic rats. *J Hepatol* 64:1049–57.

- Uesugi T, Froh M, Arteel GE, *et al.* (2001) Toll-like receptor 4 is involved in the mechanism of early alcohol-induced liver injury in mice. *Hepatology* 34:101–8.
- Uesugi T, Froh M, Arteel GE, et al. (2002) Role of lipopolysaccharidebinding protein in early alcohol-induced liver injury in mice. J Immunol 168:2963–9.
- Vandanmagsar B, Youm YH, Ravussin A, et al. (2011) The NLRP3 inflammasome instigates obesity-induced inflammation and insulin resistance. *Nat Med* 17:179–88.
- Wang HJ, Gao B, Zakhari S, et al. (2012) Inflammation in alcoholic liver disease. Annu Rev Nutr 32:343–68.
- Wang Y, Liu Y, Kirpich I, et al. (2013) Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG reduces hepatic TNFalpha production and inflammation in chronic alcoholinduced liver injury. J Nutr Biochem 24:1609–15.
- Wang Y, Tong J, Chang B, et al. (2014) Effects of alcohol on intestinal epithelial barrier permeability and expression of tight junction-associated proteins. Mol Med Rep 9:2352–6.
- Wen H, Gris D, Lei Y, et al. (2011) Fatty acid-induced NLRP3-ASC inflammasome activation interferes with insulin signaling. Nat Immunol 12: 408–15.
- Wright SD, Tobias PS, Ulevitch RJ, et al. (1989) Lipopolysaccharide (LPS) binding protein opsonizes LPS-bearing particles for recognition by a novel receptor on macrophages. J Exp Med 170:1231–41.
- Xie G, Zhong W, Zheng X, et al. (2013) Chronic ethanol consumption alters mammalian gastrointestinal content metabolites. J Proteome Res 12: 3297–306.
- Xu J, Chi F, Guo T, et al. (2015a) NOTCH reprograms mitochondrial metabolism for proinflammatory macrophage activation. J Clin Invest 125: 1579–90.
- Xu J, Chi F, Tsukamoto H. (2015b) Notch signaling and M1 macrophage activation in obesity-alcohol synergism. *Clin Res Hepatol Gastroenterol* 39:S24–8.
- Xu J, Jiang Y, Wang J, et al. (2014) Macrophage endocytosis of highmobility group box 1 triggers pyroptosis. Cell Death Differ 21:1229–39.
- Yajima S, Morisaki H, Serita R, et al. (2009) Tumor necrosis factor-alpha mediates hyperglycemia-augmented gut barrier dysfunction in endotoxemia. Crit Care Med 37:1024–30.
- Yan AW, Fouts DE, Brandl J, et al. (2011) Enteric dysbiosis associated with a mouse model of alcoholic liver disease. *Hepatology* 53:96–105.
- Yeluru A, Cuthbert JA, Casey L, et al. (2016) Alcoholic hepatitis: risk factors, pathogenesis, and approach to treatment. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 40: 246–55.
- Yin M, Bradford BU, Wheeler MD, et al. (2001) Reduced early alcoholinduced liver injury in CD14-deficient mice. J Immunol 166:4737–42.
- Yona S, Kim KW, Wolf Y, et al. (2013) Fate mapping reveals origins and dynamics of monocytes and tissue macrophages under homeostasis. *Immunity* 38:79–91.
- Younossi Z, Henry L. (2016) Contribution of alcoholic and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease to the burden of liver-related morbidity and mortality. *Gastroenterology* 150:1778–85.
- Yu M, Wang H, Ding A, et al. (2006) HMGB1 signals through toll-like receptor (TLR) 4 and TLR2. Shock 26:174–9.