Atrial Fibrillation (AF) - Prevention

## The Atrial Fibrillation Better Care (ABC) pathway in atrial fibrillation: a systematic review and meta-analysis of 285,000 patients

Romiti GF.1; Pastori D.1; Rivera-Caravaca JM.2; Ding WY.3; Gue YX.3; Menichelli D.1; Gumprecht J.4; Koziel M.4; Yang PS.5; Guo Y.6; Lip GYH3: Proietti M.7

<sup>1</sup>Sapienza University of Rome, Rome, Italy <sup>2</sup>University of Murcia, Murcia, Spain

<sup>3</sup>University of Liverpool, Liverpool Centre for Cardiovascular Sciences, Liverpool, United Kingdom of Great Britain & Northern Ireland
 <sup>4</sup>Silesian Center for Heart Diseases (SCHD), Department of Cardiology, Medical University of Silesia, Zabrze, Poland
 <sup>5</sup>CHA University, Department of Cardiology, CHA Bundang Medical Center, Seongnam, Korea (Republic of)
 <sup>6</sup>Chinese PLA General Hospital, Medical School of Chinese PLA, Department of Cardiology, Beijing, China
 <sup>7</sup>University of Milan, Department of Clinical Sciences and Community Health, Milan, Italy

Funding Acknowledgements: Type of funding sources: None.

Background: The 'Atrial Fibrillation Better Care' (ABC) pathway has been recently proposed as a holistic approach for the comprehensive management of patients with Atrial Fibrillation (AF), standing on three main pillars: 'A' Avoid stroke (with Anticoagulants); 'B' Better symptom management; 'C' Cardiovascular and Comorbidity management. The ABC pathway is now recommended in several clinical guidelines, including the recent European Society of Cardiology (ESC) AF management guidelines. We performed a systematic review of the current evidence for use of the ABC pathway on clinical outcomes.

**Methods:** We performed a systematic review and meta-analysis according to PRISMA Guidelines. Pubmed and EMBASE were searched for studies reporting the prevalence of ABC pathway adherent management in AF patients, and its impact on clinical outcomes (all-cause death, cardiovascular death, stroke, and major bleeding). Metanalysis of odds ratio (OR) was performed with random-effect models; subgroup analysis and meta-regression were performed to account for heterogeneity; a CHA2DS2-VASc-stratified sensitivity analysis was also performed.

Results: Among 2862 records retrieved from the literature search, 8 studies were included. The pooled prevalence of ABC adherent management was 21% (95% confidence intervals (CI), 13-34%), with a high grade of heterogeneity; in a multivariable meta-regression model, adherence to each criteria of the ABC pathway explained most part of the heterogeneity (R2 = 98.9%). Patients treated according to the ABC pathway showed a lower risk of all-cause death (OR:0.42, 95%CI 0.31-0.56), cardiovascular death (OR:0.37, 95%CI 0.23-0.58), stroke (OR:0.55, 95%CI 0.37-0.82) and major bleeding (OR:0.69, 95%CI 0.51-0.94), with moderate heterogeneity. Meta-regressions showed that the increasing prevalence of diabetes mellitus, coronary artery disease, chronic heart failure and history of stroke were associated with a reduced effectiveness of the ABC pathway for all-cause and cardiovascular death; each comorbidity was able to explain a significant proportion of heterogeneity at univariate meta-regression. Conversely, longer follow-up time was associated with more effectiveness of the ABC pathway for all outcomes. Adherence to ABC pathway was associated with a progressively greater reduction of the all-cause death risk amongst patients with higher CHA2DS2-VASc scores; no difference in ABC pathway effectiveness was found across CHA2DS2-VASc strata for CV death and stroke occurrence.

**Conclusions:** Adherence to the ABC pathway was suboptimal, being adopted in 1 in every 5 patients. Adherence to the ABC pathway was associated with a reduction in the risk of major adverse outcomes. Our data supports extensive application of the ABC pathway for the management of AF.

Abstract Figure.

A Avoid Stroke

B Better Symptoms Management

C Cardiovascular and Comorbidity Management

The 'Atrial Fibrillation Better Care' (ABC) Pathway in Atrial Fibrillation: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of 285,000 Patients



