

Endocardial pacing is not haemodynamically superior to trans-coronary sinus epicardial pacing in cardiac resynchronization therapy: the Endo-Epi CRT study

Okafor O.¹; Zegard A.¹; Stegemann B.¹; Arif S.²; De Bono J.²; Marshall H.²; Leyva F.¹

¹Aston University, Aston Medical Research Institute, Birmingham, United Kingdom of Great Britain & Northern Ireland

²Queen Elizabeth Hospital Birmingham, Department of Cardiology, Birmingham, United Kingdom of Great Britain & Northern Ireland

Funding Acknowledgements: Type of funding sources: Private grant(s) and/or Sponsorship. Main funding source(s): Medtronic

Background: Cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT) conventionally involves trans-coronary sinus, epicardial left ventricular (LV) pacing. Some studies have suggested that endocardial LV pacing may be superior to epicardial LV pacing.

Objectives: To compare the acute haemodynamic effects of CRT when delivered from endocardial (Endo-CRT) and epicardial LV stimulation sites (Epi-CRT).

Methods and results: Sixteen CRT recipients (aged 70.4 ± 10.1 years [mean \pm SD], 62.5% male, QRS: 156.5 ± 16.1 ms, LBBB in 13 [81.3%]) in sinus rhythm underwent intra-procedural measurements of the rate of rise of LV pressure (dP/dt_{max}) during Endo- and Epi-CRT (RADI pressure wire). Epi-CRT was delivered in basal, mid and apical positions. The Endo-CRT pacing site was chosen using iterative, bi-plane fluoroscopic views, to target the same position as the Epi-CRT site on the endocardium (see Figure A). Compared to AAI pacing (10 beats per minute above intrinsic rate), both Endo-CRT and Epi-CRT led to an increase in LV dP/dt_{max} ($6.52 \pm 8.90\%$ and $6.15 \pm 7.97\%$ respectively, both $p < 0.001$). There were no significant differences in the change in LV dP/dt_{max} (Δ LV dP/dt_{max}) between Endo-CRT and Epi-CRT at basal ($p = 0.54$), mid ($p = 0.78$) or apical LV stimulation sites ($p = 0.12$) [Figure B].

Conclusions: Endo-CRT is not haemodynamically superior to Epi-CRT.

Abstract Figure.

