INTERACTIVE CARDIOVASCULAR AND THORACIC SURGERY

Interactive CardioVascular and Thoracic Surgery 10 (2010) 92-96

www.icvts.org

Best evidence topic - Thoracic non-oncologic

In patients undergoing thoracic surgery is paravertebral block as effective as epidural analgesia for pain management?

Marco Scarci*, Abhishek Joshi, Rizwan Attia

Department of Thoracic Surgery, Guy's and St Thomas Hospital, Great Maze Pond, London, SE1 9RT, UK
Received 5 September 2009; received in revised form 5 October 2009; accepted 5 October 2009

Abstract

A best evidence topic in cardiac surgery was written according to a structured protocol. The question addressed was: in patients undergoing thoracic surgery is paravertebral block (PVB) as effective as epidural analgesia for pain management? Altogether > 184 papers were found using the reported search, seven of which represented the best evidence to answer the clinical question. All studies agreed that PVB is at least as effective as epidural analysesia for pain control post-thoracotomy. In one paper, the visual analyses pain score (VAS) at rest and on cough was significantly lower in the paravertebral group (P=0.02 and 0.0001, respectively). Pulmonary function, as assessed by peak expiratory flow rate (PEFR), was significantly better preserved in the paravertebral group. The lowest PEFR as a fraction of preoperative control was 0.73 in the paravertebral group in contrast with 0.54 in the epidural group (P < 0.004). Oximetric recordings were better in the paravertebral group (96%) compared to the epidural group (95%) (P=0.0001). Another article reported that statistically significant differences (forced vital capacity 46.8% for PVB and 39.3% for epidural group P < 0.05; forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV₁) 48.4% in PVB group and 35.9% in epidural group, P < 0.05) were reached in day 2 and continued until day 3. Plasma concentrations of cortisol, as marker of postoperative stress, increased markedly in both groups, but the increment was statistically different in favour of the paravertebral group (P=0.003). Epidural block was associated with frequent side-effects [urinary retention (42%), nausea (22%), itching (22%) and hypotension (3%) and, rarely, respiratory depression (0.07%)]. Additionally, it prolonged operative time and was associated with technical failure or displacement (8%). Epidurals were also related to a higher complication rate (atelectasis/pneumonia) compared to the PVB (2 vs. 0). PVB was found to be of equal efficacy to epidural anaesthesia, but with a favourable side effect profile, and lower complication rate. The reduced rate of complication was most marked for pulmonary complications and is accompanied by quicker return to normal pulmonary function. We conclude intercostal analgesia, in the form of PVB, can be at least as effective as epidural analgesia. © 2010 Published by European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Intercostal analgesia; Epidural analgesia

1. Introduction

A best evidence topic was constructed according to a structured protocol. This is fully described in the ICVTS [1].

2. Three-part question

In [patients undergoing thoracic surgery] is [paravertebral block] as effective as [epidural analgesia] for [pain management]?

3. Clinical scenario

You are in theatre about to perform a procedure requiring a thoracotomy. The anaesthetist wants to insert an epidural catheter for analgesia but you are worried about possible complications and would prefer a paravertebral block (PVB). He contends that epidural remains the gold standard to compare other pain relief modalities. You resolve to check the literature yourself.

4. Search strategy

Medline 1950 to August 2009 using OVID interface [postthoracotomy.mp OR thoracotomy.mp] AND [paravertebral.mp] AND [epidural.mp].

5. Search outcome

One hundred and eighty-four papers were found using the reported search. From these seven papers were identified, that provided the best evidence to answer the question. These are presented in Table 1.

6. Results

Joshi et al. [2] conducted a systematic review of data between 1966 and May 2004. Seven articles specifically dealt with paravertebral analgesia techniques vs. epidural analgesia. Scatter-plot analysis of pain scores among studies demonstrated that, overall, continuous PVB was as effective as thoracic epidural analgesia with local anaesthetic (both with or without opioid) at day 1, whereas when opiod was added to local anaesthetic in either or

^{*}Corresponding author. Tel.: +44-751-5542899.

E-mail address: marco.scarci@mac.com (M. Scarci).

^{© 2010} Published by European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery

Table 1 Best evidence papers

Author, date and country Study type (level of evidence)	Patient group	Outcomes	Key results	Comments
Joshi et al., (2008), Anaesthe Analg, USA, [2]	64 randomised trials were included in the review	Primary outcome measures were postoperative pain scores, analgesic	Continuous PVB was as effective as thoracic epidural analgesia with local anaesthetic but was associated with a	Thoracic epidural analgesia with LA plus opioid or continuous PVB with LA can be recommended
Systematic review (level 1a)	171 patients in 7 trials specifically looked to evaluate paravertebral analgesia compared to	use and complication rate	reduced incidence of hypotension PVB reduced the	
	epidural analgesia		incidence of pulmonary complications	
Davies et al., (2006), Br J Anaesth, Australia, [3] Meta-analysis of randomised trials (level 1a)	520 patients from 10 trials	Pain scores	There was no significant difference between paravertebral and epidural groups for pain scores at 4–8, 24 or 48 h, weighted mean difference 0.37 (95% CI: -0.5, 121), 0.05 (-0.6, 0.7), -0.04 (-0.4, 0.3), respectively	Paravertebral and epidural analgesia provide comparable pain relief after thoracic surgery, but paravertebral analgesia has a better side-effectorofile and is associated with a reduction in pulmonary complications
		Pulmonary complications	Pulmonary complications occurred less often with paravertebral analgesia, OR 0.36 (0.14, 0.92)	
		Complications	Urinary retention, OR 0.23 (0.10, 0.51), nausea and vomiting, OR 0.47 (0.24, 0.53), and hypotension, OR 0.23 (0.11, 0.48), were less common with paravertebral analgesia. Rates of failed block were lower in paravertebral analgesia group, OR 0.28 (0.2, 0.6)	
Detterbeck, (2005), Ann Thorac Surg, USA, [4]	619 patients from 17 trials	Pain control	It was as good if not better in the paravertebral group	PVB is as good as epidural analgesia if not better, with fewer side effects
Systematic review (level 1a)		Respiratory function preservation	All studies except one found a better preservation of the FEV ₁	
		Complications	Epidural block was associated with frequent side-effects [urinary retention (42%), nausea (22%), itching (22%) and hypotension (3%) and rarely respiratory depression (0.07%)]. Additionally, it prolonged operative time and was associated with technical failure or displacement (8%)	
Richardson et al., (1999), Br J Anaesth, UK, [5] Prospective	100 adult patients	Pain control	The VAS at rest and on cough was significantly lower in the paravertebral group ($P=0.02$ and 0.0001, respectively)	PVB is as effective as epidural and better in terms of pulmonary function, neuroendocrine stress response and side effects
randomized trial (level 1b)		Pulmonary function	Pulmonary function, as assessed by PEFR, was significantly better preserved in the paravertebral group. The lowest PEFR as a fraction of preoperative control was 0.73 in the paravertebral group in contrast with 0.54 in the epidural group ($P < 0.004$)	

Table 1 (Continued)

Author, date and country	Patient group	Outcomes	Key results	Comments
Study type (level of evidence)	, actions 3, oup	C d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d	ney , count	
		Oximetry	Oximetric recording were better in the paravertebral group (96%) compared to the epidural group (95%) (P =0.0001)	
		Plasma cortisol concentration	Plasma concentrations of cortisol increased markedly in both groups, but the increment was statistically different in favour of the paravertebral group $(P=0.003)$	
		Hypotension	Seven patients in the epidural group became hypotensive compared to 0 in the paravertebral group	
		Length of stay	Mean hospital stay was 6.7 days (range 4–11) for the paravertebral group and 6.7 (range 3–16) (<i>P</i> =NS) for the epidural group	
Kaiser et al., (1998), Ann Thorac Surg,	30 patients were evaluated for extra-	Pain control	PVB was superior to epidural in the first 24 h postoperatively	Extrapleural intercostal analgesia is a valuable alternate to epidural analgesia
Switzerland, [6] Prospective randomized trial (level 1b)	pleural vs. epidural analgesia post- thoracotomy	Recovery in ventilatory function	Statistically significant differences (FVC 46.8% for PVB and 39.3% for epidural group $P < 0.05$; FEV, 48.4% in PVB group and 35.9% in epidural group, $P < 0.05$) were reached in day 2 and continued until day 3	to epidurat analgesia
		Pulmonary complications	Epidurals were related to a higher complication rate (atelectasis/pneumonia) compared to the PVB (2 vs. 0)	
Casati et al., (2006), Eur J Anaesth, Italy, [7] Prospective randomized trial (level 1b)	42 patients undergoing lung resection	Area under the curve of the VAS during coughing (AUCVAS). The aim was to detect a 96-cm h^{-1} difference in the AUCVAS during the 48 h observation period with an expected S.D. ranging between 60 and 85 cm h^{-1} accepting a two-tailed α -error of 5% and a β -error of 5%, for a final power of 95%	The AUCVAS over time was 192 (60–444) cm h ⁻¹ in the epidural group and 228 (72–456) cm h ⁻¹ in the PVB group (P =0.29)	PVB is as effective as epidural blockade in controlling post-thoracotomy pain, but is associated with less haemodynamic effects
		Rescue morphine analgesia	It was required in four patients of epidural group (19%) and five patients of PVB group (23%) (P =0.99)	
		Reduction in PaO ₂ /FiO ₂	The PaO ₂ /FiO ₂ ratio reduced significantly from baseline values in both groups without between-group differences	
		Reduction in systolic blood pressure	The median percentage reduction of systolic arterial pressure from baseline was -9 (0 to -9)% in group PVB and	

Table 1 (Continued)				
Author, date and country Study type (level of evidence)	Patient group	Outcomes	Key results	Comments
			-17 (0 to -38)% in epidural group (P=0.02); while clinically relevant hypotension (systolic arterial pressure decrease <30%	
			of baseline) was observed	in

CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio; PVB, paravertebral block; FEV, forced expiratory volume in 1 s; VAS, visual analogue pain score; PEFR, peak expiratory flow rate; AUCVAS, area under the curve of the VAS during coughing; S.D., standard deviation; LA, local anesthetics.

four patients of epidural group only (19%) (P=0.04)

both groups, PVB tended to be associated with higher pain scores in the early postoperative period. There was no statistically significant difference between the two modalities. PVB was associated with a reduced incidence of hypotension and pulmonary complications.

Davies et al. [3] conducted a meta-analysis of 10 randomized control trials (RCTs) between 1989 and 2005 including 520 patients. There was no significant difference in paravertebral and epidural groups for pain scores [95% confidence interval (CI): -0.5, 121], P < 0.05 at 8, 24, 48 h. PVB was associated with fewer pulmonary complications [odds ratio (OR) 0.36 [0.14, 0.92]], urinary retention (OR 0.23 [0.10, 0.51]), nausea and vomiting OR 0.47 ([0.24, 0.53]) and hypotension, (OR 0.28 [0.2, 0.6]). Thus, PVB provided equally effective analgesia to epidural but with a better side-effect profile.

Detterbeck [4] reviewed 619 patients from 17 trials. Pain control was as good if not better in the paravertebral group. All studies except one found a better preservation of the forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV₁). Epidural block was associated with frequent side-effects (urinary retention (42%), nausea (22%), itching (22%) and hypotension (3%) and rarely respiratory depression (0.07%). Additionally, it prolonged operative time and was associated with technical failure or displacement (8%). PVB provided most effective pain-relief with less side-effects.

Richardson et al. [5] conducted a prospective randomized study between thoracic epidural and paravertebral bupivacaine in 100 adult patients. The visual analogue pain score (VAS) at rest and on cough was significantly lower in the paravertebral group (P=0.02 and 0.0001, respectively). Pulmonary function, as assessed by peak expiratory flow rate (PEFR), was significantly better preserved in the paravertebral group. The lowest PEFR as a fraction of preoperative control was 0.73 in the paravertebral group in contrast with 0.54 in the epidural group (P<0.004). Oximetric recordings were better in the paravertebral group (96%) compared to the epidural group (95%) (P=0.0001).

Plasma concentrations of cortisol increased markedly in both groups, but the increment was statistically different in favour of the paravertebral group (P=0.003). Seven patients in the epidural group became hypotensive compared to 0 in the paravertebral group. Mean hospital stay was 6.7 days (range 4–11) for the paravertebral group and 6.7 (range 3–16) (P=NS) for the epidural group. PVB is as effective as epidural and better in terms of pulmonary function, neuroendocrine stress response and side effects.

Kaiser et al. [6] looked at pain control, recovery of ventilatory function and pulmonary complications in 30 thoracic surgery patients undergoing lung resection. PVB was superior to epidural in the first 24 h postoperatively. Statistically significant differences (FVC 46.8% for PVB and 39.3% for epidural group P < 0.05; FEV₁ 48.4% in PVB group and 35.9% in epidural group, P < 0.05) were reached in day 2 and continued until day 3. Epidurals were related to a higher complication rate (atelectasis/pneumonia) compared to the PVB (2 vs. 0).

Casati et al. [7] conducted a prospective, randomized, blinded study comparing the efficacy of the PVB vs. epidural analgesia in 42 patients undergoing lung resection. The main outcome variable was expressed as the area under the curve of the VAS during coughing (AUCVAS). The aim was to detect a 96-cm h⁻¹ difference in the AUCVAS during the 48 h observation period with an expected standard deviation (S.D.) ranging between 60 and 85 cm h^{-1} accepting a two-tailed α -error of 5% and a β -error of 5%, for a final power of 95%. With these assumptions, the AUCVAS over time was 192 (60-444) cm h^{-1} in the epidural group and 228 (72–456) cm h^{-1} in the PVB group (P = 0.29). Rescue morphine analgesia was required in four patients of epidural group (19%) and five patients of PVB group (23%) (P=0.99). The PaO₂/FiO₂ ratio reduced significantly from baseline values in both groups without between-group differences. The median percentage reduction of systolic arterial pressure from baseline was -9 (0 to -9)% in group PVB and -17 (0 to -38)% in epidural group (P=0.02); while clinically relevant hypotension (systolic arterial pressure decrease <30% of baseline) was observed in four patients of epidural group only (19%) (P=0.04). Thus, PVB is as effective as epidural blockade in controlling post-thoracotomy pain, but is associated with less haemodynamic effects.

7. Clinical bottom line

We conclude that PVB can be at least as effective as epidural analgesia. It also has a better side-effect profile and a lower complication rate than epidural analgesia.

References

- [1] Dunning J, Prendergast B, Mackway-Jones K. Towards evidence-based medicine in cardiothoracic surgery: best BETS. Interact CardioVasc Thorac Surg 2003;2:405–409.
- [2] Joshi GP, Bonnet F, Shah R, Wilkinson RC, Camu F, Fisher B, Neugebauer EAM, Rawal N, Schug SA, Simanski C, Kehlet H. A systemic review of

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/icvts/article/10/1/92/754948 by guest on 20 April 2024

- randomized trials evaluating regional techniques for postthoracotomy analgesia. Anesth Analg 2008;107:1026–1040.
- [3] Davies RG, Myles PS, Graham JM. A comparison of analgesic efficacy and side-effects of paravertebral vs epidural blockade for thoracotomy. A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised trials. Br J Anaesth 2006;96:418–426.
- [4] Detterbeck FC. Efficacy of methods of intercostal nerve blockade for pain relief after thoracotomy. Ann Thorac Surg 2005;80:1550-1559.
- [5] Richardson J, Sabanathan S, Jones J, Shah R, Cheema S, Mearns AJ. A prospective, randomized comparison of preoperative and continuous balanced epidural or paravertebral bupivacaine on post-thoracotomy
- pain, pulmonary function and stress response. Br J Anaesth 1999;83: 387–392.
- [6] Kaiser AM, Zollinger A, De Lorenzi D, Largiadèr F, Weder W. Prospective, randomized comparison of extrapleural versus epidural analgesia for postthoracotomy pain. Ann Thorac Surg 1998;66:367–372.
- [7] Casati A, Alessandrini P, Nuzzi M, Tosi M, Iotti E, Ampollini L, Bobbio A, Rossini E, Fanelli G. A prospective, randomized, blinded comparison between continuous thoracic paravertebral and epidural infusion of 0.2% ropivacaine after lung resection surgery. Eur J Anaesth 2006; 23:999-1004.