Cite this article as: Deng H-Y, Zheng X, Zhu D-X, Zhou Q. Is surgical resection of primary tumour superior to exploratory thoracotomy without resection in treating lung cancer patients with unexpected pleural metastasis detected during operation? Interact CardioVasc Thorac Surg 2020;30:582-7.

Is surgical resection of primary tumour superior to exploratory thoracotomy without resection in treating lung cancer patients with unexpected pleural metastasis detected during operation?

Han-Yu Deng (1), Xi Zheng, Da-Xing Zhu and Qinghua Zhou*

Lung Cancer Center, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China

Corresponding author. Lung Cancer Center, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, 37 Guoxue Alley, Chengdu 610041, China. Tel: +86-189-80606202; fax: +86-28-86298139; e-mail: zhouqh135@163.com (Q. Zhou).

Received 30 April 2019; received in revised form 19 November 2019; accepted 19 December 2019

Summary

A best evidence topic in thoracic surgery was written according to a structured protocol. The question addressed was 'In lung cancer patients with unexpected pleural metastasis detected during operation, is surgical resection of primary tumour superior to exploratory thoracotomy without resection in improving long-term survival?'. Altogether, 1443 papers were found using the reported search, of which 1 meta-analysis and 10 retrospective observational cohort studies represented the best evidence to answer the clinical question. The authors, journal, date and country of publication, patient group studied, study type, relevant outcomes and results of these papers were tabulated. One meta-analysis and 9 cohort studies found that surgical resection of the primary tumour, on the discovery of pleural metastases, yielded a better overall survival than exploratory thoracotomy alone, while 1 cohort study showed no difference. Six studies found that main tumour resection was an independent favourable prognostic factor for overall survival in lung cancer patients with unexpected pleural metastasis detected during operation, while 3 cohort studies also showed improved progression-free survival over exploratory thoracotomy. Therefore, we conclude that surgical resection of the primary tumour is superior to exploratory thoracotomy in treating lung cancer patients with unexpected pleural metastasis detected during operation.

Keywords: Lung cancer • Pleural metastasis • Intraoperatively detected • Surgical resection

INTRODUCTION

A best evidence topic was constructed according to a structured protocol. This is fully described in the ICVTS [1].

THREE-PART QUESTION

In [lung cancer patients with unexpected pleural metastasis detected during operation] is [surgical resection of primary tumour] superior to [exploratory thoracotomy without resection in improving long-term survival]?

CLINICAL SCENARIO

A 69-year-old male patient with clinical early-stage lung cancer without evidence of metastasis on preoperative staging investigations was taken to surgery in your clinic. However, during the operation, pleural metastatic nodules (pM1a disease) were discovered. Whether surgical resection of the primary lung cancer should continue, or the operation abandoned, was immediately discussed at the table. The planned lung resection was

completed, but you resolved to check the literature to assure yourself you made the right decision.

SEARCH STRATEGY

We searched MEDLINE by using the PubMed interface from 1950 to August 2019 with the following search terms: (lung cancer[Title/Abstract] OR lung carcinoma[Title/Abstract] OR lung tumour[Title/Abstract] OR lung neoplasm[Title/Abstract] OR lung adenocarcinoma[Title/Abstract] OR lung squamous cell carcinoma[Title/Abstract]) AND (malignant pleural disease OR pleural metastasis OR pleural metastases OR pleural spread OR pleural seeding OR pleural dissemination OR pleural nodule OR carcinomatous pleuritis) AND (surgery OR surgical OR resection OR lobectomy OR sublobar resection OR pneumonectomy).

SEARCH OUTCOME

A total of 1443 papers were found using the reported search terms from MEDLINE. From these papers, 1 meta-analysis and 10

Author, date, journal and country	Patient group	Outcomes	Key results	Comments
Study type level of evidence)				
Ichinose <i>et al</i> . (2000), Surg Today, Japan [2] Cohort study (level 3)	227 NSCLC patients from 1985 to 1994 from the Japanese Clinical Oncology group	Follow-up	NA	
		3-Year OS rate	Surgical resection group: 28.8% Exploratory group: 10.9% (P = 0.047)	
	Surgical resection group: 193 patients	5-Year OS rate	Surgical resection group: 14.9% Exploratory group: 0%(P = 0.047)	
	Lobectomy/pneumonectomy/ limited resection: 139/29/25	Prognostic factors	Histology, cN stage and residual tumour	
	Exploratory group: 34 patients		tarnoui	
	Reason for exploratory thoracotomy: NA			
Fukuse <i>et al</i> . (2001), Lung Cancer, Japan [3]	49 NSCLC patients from 1981 to 1997 from Otsu Red-Cross	Follow-up	Mean: 60 months	Limited sample size
Cohort study (level 3)	Hospital Surgical resection group: 39	Median OS	Surgical resection group: Lobectomy: 37.8 months Limited resection: 23.2 months	
	patients Lobectomy/pneumonectomy/ limited resection: 27/5/7	Prognostic factors	Exploratory group: 6.2 months Pleural dissemination and tumour invasion	
	Exploratory group: 10 patients		mvasion	
	Reason for exploratory thoraco- tomy: NA			
Sawabata <i>et al</i> . (2002), Ann Thorac Surg, Japan [4]	43 NSCLC patients from 1980 to 1994 from Japan National Chest Hospital Study Group for Lung Cancer	Follow-up	>5 Years	Surgical resection might decrease local relapse
Cohort study (level 3)		5-Year OS rate	Surgical resection group: Complete resection: 9% Incomplete resection: 10%	Limited sample size
	Surgical resection group: 25 patients		Exploratory group: 0% (P > 0.05)	
	Complete resection/incomplete resection: 11/14	Median OS	Surgical resection group: Complete resection: 13 months	
	Exploratory group: 18 patients		Incomplete resection: 34 months Exploratory group: 17 months	
	Reason for exploratory thoraco- tomy: pleural dissemination or unresectable		(<i>P</i> > 0.05)	
		Local relapse	Surgical resection group: Complete resection: 2/11 Incomplete resection: 2/14	
			Exploratory group: 5/18	
Mordant <i>et al</i> . (2011), Eur J Cardiothorac Surg,	70 NSCLC patients from 1983 to 2006 from Georges Pompidou	Follow-up	Mean: 72.8 months	Relatively high peri- operative risk
France [5]	European Hospital	Morbidity rate	Surgical resection group: 34% Exploratory group: 0%	- F
Cohort study (level 3)	Surgical resection group: 32 patients	Mortality rate	Surgical resection group: 16% Exploratory group: 0%	
	Lobectomy/pneumonectomy: 23/9	5-Year OS rate	Surgical resection group: 16%	
	Exploratory group: 38 patients	Median OS	Exploratory group: 0% Surgical resection group: 15 months Exploratory group: 13 months	

Author, date, journal and country Study type level of evidence)	Patient group	Outcomes	Key results	Comments
	Reason for exploratory thoraco- tomy: diffuse unresectable pleu- ral dissemination	Death from cancer	Surgical resection group: 57% Exploratory group: 93%	
Wang <i>et al.</i> (2011), J Surg Res, China [6] Cohort study (level 3)	138 NSCLC patients from 1990 to 2008 from Taipei Veterans General Hospital Surgical resection group: 90	Follow-up	Median: 19.9 months	
		3-Year OS rate	Surgical resection group: 34.2% Exploratory group: 13.2% (P < 0.001)	
	patients	5-Year OS rate	Surgical resection group: 23.0% Exploratory group: 5.3% (<i>P</i> < 0.001)	
	Standard resection/limited resection: 60/30	Prognostic factors	Main tumour resection: HR 3.066 (95% CI 2.038-4.612) and pN stage	
	Exploratory group: 48 patients		· ·	
	Reason for exploratory thoracotomy: surgeon's preference			
Ren <i>et al.</i> (2015), nteract CardioVasc Thorac	83 NSCLC patients from 2005 to 2013 from Shanghai Pulmonary	Follow-up	Median: 34 months	
Surg, China [7]	Hospital	3-Year OS rate	Surgical resection group: 45.8% Exploratory group: 11.8% (P = 0.001)	
Cohort study (level 3)	Surgical resection group: 62 patients	Median OS	Surgical resection group: 37.3 months	
	Lobectomy/bilobectomy/limited resection: 43/11/8		Lobectomy: 35.0 months Limited resection: 37.1 months	
	Exploratory group: 21 patients		Exploratory group: 17.4 months (<i>P</i> = 0.001)	
	Reason for exploratory thoraco- tomy: surgeon's preference	Prognostic factors	Main tumour resection: HR 3.678 (95% CI 1.308–10.347), pleural effusion, histology and N stage	
Yun <i>et al</i> . (2018), Гhorac Cardiovasc Surg,	78 NSCLC patients from 2000 to 2011 from Seoul Asian Medical	Follow-up	Mean: 28.7 months	Surgical resection im- proved both OS and loco-
orea [8]	Center Surgical resection group: 36	3-Year OS rate	Surgical resection group: 66.7% Exploratory group: 41.1% ($P = 0.012$)	regional PFS
Cohort study (level 3)	patients	5-Year OS rate	Surgical resection group: 42.7% Exploratory group: 15.2% (P = 0.012)	
	Lobectomy/pneumonectomy/ limited resection: 21/3/12	Median OS	Surgical resection group: 52 months Exploratory group: 33 months	
	Exploratory group: 42 patients		(P = 0.012)	
	Reason for exploratory thoraco- tomy: diffuse unresectable	3-Year local PFS rate	Surgical resection group: 71.3% Exploratory group: 12.6% (P < 0.001)	
	pleural dissemination	3-Year regional PFS rate	Surgical resection group: 17.6% Exploratory group: 0% (P = 0.03)	
		Prognostic factors	Main tumour resection: HR 0.472 (95% CI 0.266-0.837)	
Ku <i>et al.</i> (2016), Thorac Dis, China [9]	9 cohort studies with 861 NSCLC patients (only 5 studies were	Follow-up	NA	
J Thorac Dis, China [9] Meta-analysis (level 1)	pooled for survival analysis)	OS	Surgical resection group versus exploratory group: HP 0.442: 95% CI	
	Surgical resection group: 245		ploratory group: HR 0.443; 95% CI 0.344-0.571; <i>P</i> < 0.001	
	patients	Prognostic factors	Main tumour resection, gender and	

Author, date, journal and country Study type (level of evidence)	Patient group	Outcomes	Key results	Comments
	Exploratory group: 167 patients			
	Reason for exploratory thoracotomy: NA			
i et al. (2017), Thorac Dis, China [10]	110 NSCLC patients from 2006 to 2015 from Peking University	Follow-up	NA	
Cohort study (level 3)	Cancer Hospital	3-Year OS rate	Surgical resection group: 69.4% Exploratory group: 41.7% (P = 0.037)	
Conort study (level 3)	Surgical resection group: 62 patients	5-Year OS rate	Surgical resection group: 31.7% Exploratory group: 19.5% (<i>P</i> = 0.037)	
	Lobectomy/limited resection: 18/44	Median OS	Surgical resection group: 49.0	
	Exploratory group: 48 patients		months Exploratory group: 29.4 months (P = 0.037)	
	Reason for exploratory thoracotomy: NA		•	
Li <i>et al.</i> (2018), Thorac Cardiovasc Surg,	43 NSCLC patients from 2006 to 2014 from National Taiwan	Follow-up	Mean: 28 months	Surgical resection improved both OS and PFS
China [11]	University Hospital	Morbidity rate	Surgical resection group: 6.7% Exploratory group: 7.7%	Limited sample size
Cohort study (level 3)	Surgical resection group: 30 patients	Mortality rate	Surgical resection group: 0% Exploratory group: 0%	
	Lobectomy/limited resection: 13/17	3-Year OS rate	Surgical resection group: 82.9%	
	Exploratory group: 13 patients		Exploratory group: 38.5% (<i>P</i> = 0.013)	
	Reason for exploratory thoracotomy: surgeon's preference	Median OS	Surgical resection group: 64 months Exploratory group: 35 months $(P = 0.013)$	
		3-Year PFS rate	Surgical resection group: 44.5% Exploratory group: 0% (<i>P</i> = 0.009)	
		Median PFS time	Surgical resection group: 35 months Exploratory group: 19 months (P = 0.009)	
		Prognostic factors	Main tumour resection: OS: HR 0.239 (95% CI 0.066-0.873); PFS: HR 0.340 (95% CI 0.134-0.861)	
Chiang <i>et al.</i> (2018), Oncotarget, China [12]	134 NSCLC patients from 1990 to 2012 from Taipei Veterans	Follow-up	NA	
Cohort study (level 3)	General Hospital	Median OS	Surgical resection group: 35.3 months	
	Surgical resection group: 87 patients		Exploratory group: 17.0 months (<i>P</i> < 0.001)	
	Lobectomy/limited resection: 58/29	Prognostic factors	Main tumour resection: HR 0.484 (95% CI 0.273–0.859) and postopera-	
	Exploratory group: 47 patients		tive epidermal growth factor recep- tor-tyrosine kinase inhibitor use	
	Reason for exploratory thoraco- tomy: surgeon's preference			

CI: confidence interval; HR: hazard ratio; NA: not available; NSCLC: non-small-cell lung cancer; OS: overall survival; PFS: progression-free survival.

retrospective observational cohort studies comparing surgical resection of the primary tumour with exploratory thoracotomy without resection of the primary tumour were identified, which provided the best evidence to answer the question. These are presented in Table 1.

RESULTS

Ichinose et al. [2] explored the role of surgical resection of the primary tumour in treating lung cancer patients with unexpected pleural metastasis detected during operation by comparing the survival of patients receiving surgical resection and that of patients receiving exploratory thoracotomy without resection of the primary tumour. They included a total of 227 patients who had no pleural metastasis on preoperative radiographic examination but were pathologically confirmed with pleural metastasis (pM1a) intraoperatively (193 patients receiving surgical resection and 34 patients receiving exploratory thoracotomy). They found that the surgical resection group yielded a significantly higher 3year (28.8% vs 10.9%) and 5-year (14.9% vs 0%) overall survival (OS) rate than the exploratory group (P = 0.047). Moreover, freedom from macroscopic residual tumour after surgical resection was found to be an independent favourable prognostic factor for those patients [hazard ratio (HR) 0.680, 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.466-0.992; P = 0.045]. Fukuse et al. [3] found that the median OS of the patients receiving lobectomy, limited resection and exploratory thoracotomy was 37.8, 23.2 and 6.2 months, respectively. Sawabata et al. [4] found that the 5-year OS rate of the patients receiving complete resection, incomplete resection and exploratory thoracotomy was 9%, 10% and 0%, respectively and the median OS of those patients was 13, 34 and 17 months, respectively (P > 0.05). However, the local relapse rate of pleural metastasis was numerically higher in patients receiving exploratory thoracotomy (27.8%) than those receiving complete resection (18.2%) and incomplete resection (14.3%). Mordant et al. [5] found that the surgical resection group had a high rate of postoperative morbidity (34%) and mortality (16%) but it yielded a 5-year OS rate of 16% while there was no patient living beyond 5 years in the exploratory group even though the median OS of the 2 groups was similar. Moreover, there were more deaths from cancer in the exploratory group than in the surgical resection group (93% vs 57%). Wang et al. [6] also found that the surgical resection group yielded a significantly higher 3-year (34.2% vs 13.2%) and 5-year (23.0% vs 5.3%) OS rate than the exploratory group (P < 0.001). In addition, main tumour resection was found to be an independent favourable prognostic factor for those patients (HR 3.066, 95% CI 2.038-4.612; P < 0.001). Similarly, Ren et al. [7] also found that the surgical resection group yielded a significantly higher 3-year (34.2% vs 13.2%) OS rate and longer median OS (37.3 vs 17.4 months) than the exploratory group (P = 0.001) and main tumour resection was also found to be an independent favourable prognostic factor for those patients (HR 3.678, 95% CI 1.308-10.347; P=0.014). Yun et al. [8] also found that the surgical resection group yielded a significantly higher 3year (66.7% vs 41.1%) and 5-year (42.7% vs 15.2%) OS rate as well as longer median OS (52 vs 33 months) than the exploratory group (P = 0.012). Moreover, the surgical resection group also yielded a significantly higher 3-year local (71.3% vs 12.6%, P < 0.001) and regional (17.6% vs 0%, P = 0.03) progression-free survival (PFS) rate than the exploratory group. Furthermore, the main tumour resection was again found to be an independent favourable prognostic factor for those patients (HR 0.472, 95% CI 0.266–0.837; P = 0.01). In 2016, a meta-analysis by Xu et~al. [9] included 5 of the above cohort studies [4–8] for survival analysis and found that the surgical resection group yielded a significantly better OS than the exploratory group (HR 0.443; 95% CI 0.344–0.571: P < 0.001).

Recently, Li et al. [10] in their study included 32 patients receiving surgical resection and 38 patients receiving exploratory thoracotomy. Again, they found that the surgical resection group yielded a significantly higher 3-year (69.4% vs 41.7%) and 5-year (31.7% vs 19.5%) OS rate as well as longer median OS (49.0 vs 29.4 months) than the exploratory group (P = 0.037). Another study by Li et al. [11] found that there was no significant difference in morbidity and mortality between the surgical resection group and the exploratory group, but the surgical resection group yielded a significantly high 3-year OS rate (82.9% vs 38.5%) as well as longer median OS (64 vs 35 months) than the exploratory group (P = 0.013). Moreover, the surgical resection group yielded a significantly higher 3-year PFS rate (44.5% vs 0%) as well as longer median PFS time (35 vs 19 months) than the exploratory group (P = 0.009). Furthermore, main tumour resection was confirmed to be an independent favourable prognostic factor of OS (HR 0.239, 95% CI 0.066-0.873; P = 0.03) and PFS (HR 0.340, 95% CI 0.134–0.861; P = 0.023) for those patients. Chiang et al. [12] also found that the surgical resection group yielded a significantly longer median OS (35.3 vs 17.0 months) than the exploratory group (P < 0.001) and main tumour resection was also found to be an independent favourable prognostic factor in those patients (HR 0.484, 95% CI 0.273-0.859; P = 0.013).

CLINICAL BOTTOM LINE

The available evidence showed that in lung cancer patients with unexpected pleural metastasis detected during operation, surgical resection of the primary tumour yields better OS and PFS than exploratory thoracotomy alone, and is an independent favourable prognostic factor for these patients. We conclude that surgical resection of the primary tumour is superior to exploratory thoracotomy in treating lung cancer patients with unexpected pleural metastasis detected during operation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to thank Ralph William White from the James Cook University Hospital, Middlesbrough, UK.

Funding

This work was supported by Sino-Italy joint study on the key techniques of early diagnosis and individual precision treatment of lung cancer (grant No. 2016YFE0103400).

Conflict of interest: none declared.

REFERENCES

- Dunning J, Prendergast B, Mackway JK. Towards evidence-based medicine in cardiothoracic surgery: best BETS. Interact CardioVasc Thorac Surg 2003;2:405–9.
- [2] Ichinose Y, Tsuchiya R, Koike T, Kuwahara O, Nakagawa K, Yamato Y et al. The prognosis of patients with non-small cell lung cancer found to have carcinomatous pleuritis at thoracotomy. Surg Today 2000;30: 1062-6.
- [3] Fukuse T, Hirata T, Tanaka F, Wada H. The prognostic significance of malignant pleural effusion at the time of thoracotomy in patients with non-small cell lung cancer. Lung Cancer 2001;34:75–81.
- [4] Sawabata N, Matsumura A, Motohiro A, Osaka Y, Gennga K, Fukai S et al. Malignant minor pleural effusion detected on thoracotomy for patients with non-small cell lung cancer: is tumor resection beneficial for prognosis? Ann Thorac Surg 2002;73:412–15.
- [5] Mordant P, Arame A, Foucault C, Dujon A, Le Pimpec Barthes F, Riquet M. Surgery for metastatic pleural extension of non-small-cell lung cancer. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2011;40:1444–9.
- [6] Wang BY, Wu YC, Hung JJ, Hsu PK, Hsieh CC, Huang CS et al. Prognosis of non-small-cell lung cancer with unexpected pleural spread at thoracotomy. J Surg Res 2011;169:e1–5.

- [7] Ren YJ, She YL, Dai CY, Jiang GN, Fei K, Chen C. Primary tumour resection showed survival benefits for non-small-cell lung cancers with unexpected malignant pleural dissemination. Interact CardioVasc Thorac Surg 2016;22:321–6.
- [8] Yun JK, Kim MA, Choi CM, Choi SH, Kim YH, Kim DK et al. Surgical outcomes after pulmonary resection for non-small cell lung cancer with localized pleural seeding first detected during surgery. Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2018;66:142–9.
- [9] Xu Y, Chen N, Wang Z, Zhang Y, Mei J, Liu C et al. Should primary tumor be resected for non-small cell lung cancer with malignant pleural disease unexpectedly found during operation?—a systemic review and metaanalysis. I Thorac Dis 2016:8:2843–52.
- [10] Li S, Zhang S, Huang M, Ma Y, Yang Y. Management of occult malignant pleural disease firstly detected at thoracotomy for non-small cell lung cancer patients. J Thorac Dis 2017;9:3851–8.
- [11] Li C, Kuo SW, Hsu HH, Lin MW, Chen JS. Lung adenocarcinoma with intraoperatively diagnosed pleural seeding: is main tumor resection beneficial for prognosis? J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2018;155:1238-49.e1.
- [12] Chiang CL, Wang LC, Ho HL, Tsai CM, Yeh YC, Hsu WH et al. Effect of postoperative systemic therapy on pulmonary adenocarcinoma with unexpected pleural spread detected during thoracotomy or thoracoscopy. Oncotarget 2018;9:5435–44.