

The large-scale magnetic field of Proxima Centauri near activity maximum

Baptiste Klein¹,[★] Jean-François Donati¹,¹ Élodie M. Hébrard,¹ Bonnie Zaire¹,¹ Colin P. Folsom,¹ Julien Morin,² Xavier Delfosse³ and Xavier Bonfils³

¹Université de Toulouse, CNRS, IRAP, 14 av. Belin, F-31400 Toulouse, France

²LUPM, Université de Montpellier, CNRS, Place Eugène Bataillon, F-34095 Montpellier, France

³CNRS, IPAG, Université Grenoble Alpes, F-38000 Grenoble, France

Accepted 2020 October 23. Received 2020 October 23; in original form 2020 July 12

ABSTRACT

We report the detection of a large-scale magnetic field at the surface of the slowly rotating fully convective (FC) M dwarf Proxima Centauri. 10 circular polarization spectra, collected from 2017 April to July with the HARPS-Pol spectropolarimeter, exhibit rotationally modulated Zeeman signatures suggesting a stellar rotation period of 89.8 ± 4.0 d. Using Zeeman–Doppler Imaging, we invert the circular polarization spectra into a surface distribution of the large-scale magnetic field. We find that Proxima Cen hosts a large-scale magnetic field of typical strength 200 G, whose topology is mainly poloidal, and moderately axisymmetric, featuring, in particular, a dipole component of 135 G tilted at 51° to the rotation axis. The large-scale magnetic flux is roughly $3\times$ smaller than the flux measured from the Zeeman broadening of unpolarized lines, which suggests that the underlying dynamo is efficient at generating a magnetic field at the largest spatial scales. Our observations occur ~ 1 yr after the maximum of the reported 7 yr-activity cycle of Proxima Cen, which opens the door for the first long-term study of how the large-scale field evolves with the magnetic cycle in an FC very low mass star. Finally, we find that Proxima Cen’s habitable zone planet, Proxima-b, is likely orbiting outside the Alfvén surface, where no direct magnetic star–planet interactions occur.

Key words: techniques: polarimetric – stars: individual: Proxima Centauri – stars: low-mass – stars: magnetic field – stars: rotation.

1 INTRODUCTION

Late M dwarfs are primary targets in the quest for Earth twins (Kasting, Whitmire & Reynolds 1993). Their small masses and radii, as well as close-in habitable zones (HZ), make the detection of temperate Earth-like planets around them easier than around solar-like stars. As a result, the most favourable planets to further investigate habitability with forthcoming telescopes like the *JWST* and ELTs orbit stars with spectral type later than M4 (e.g. Bert-Thompson et al. 2015; Anglada-Escudé et al. 2016; Astudillo-Defru et al. 2017b; Dittmann et al. 2017; Gillon et al. 2017). However, these stars exhibit strong magnetic activity (e.g. West et al. 2011), whose manifestations, such as high-energy winds or frequent flaring events, are likely to affect the properties of the planets in their HZ. Therefore, understanding the processes underlying activity phenomena is a major prerequisite to study the conditions of habitability around low-mass stars (Lammer et al. 2007; France et al. 2016).

Late M dwarfs are fully convective (FC; Baraffe et al. 1998). Their underlying dynamo processes remain mysterious, despite recent advances in the explanation of observations by numerical models (Yadav et al. 2015, 2016). Spectropolarimetric observations of FC stars have revealed a bimodal distribution of their magnetic properties with either strong axisymmetric dipoles, or weaker non-axisymmetric complex fields (Donati et al. 2006a; Morin et al. 2008,

2010; Kochukhov & Lavail 2017). The origin of this bimodality, tentatively explained by bistability in the dynamo process (Morin et al. 2011; Gastine, Duarte & Wicht 2012; Gastine et al. 2013), or a single oscillatory dynamo process (Kitchatinov, Moss & Sokoloff 2014), is still debated in the literature. However, most of the observational results currently available involve very active stars whose dynamo lies in the so-called saturated regime, i.e. on the plateau of the activity–Rossby number¹ relationship (typically, Rossby number $Ro \lesssim 0.1$ that corresponds to a rotation period of roughly 10 d for a mid-M dwarf; Pizzolato et al. 2003; Kiraga & Stepien 2007; Astudillo-Defru et al. 2017a; Shulyak et al. 2017; Wright et al. 2018; See et al. 2019). Hence, the interest in observing the magnetic field of FC M dwarfs in the unsaturated dynamo regime like Proxima Centauri (rotation period of ~ 90 d), whose large-scale magnetic properties are still unconstrained.

Spectropolarimetry is the best way to model the large-scale topologies of stellar magnetic fields, and thereby the underlying dynamo processes powering magnetic activity. At the photospheric level, magnetic fields produce circularly polarized Zeeman signatures that can be inverted into a map of the large-scale magnetic field using Zeeman–Doppler imaging (ZDI; Donati & Landstreet 2009). Spectropolarimetric monitoring of FC M dwarfs is thus expected to bring new constraints on the nature of the dynamo processes

¹The Rossby number is defined as the stellar rotation period normalized to the convective turnover time, set to $\sim 143_{-22}^{+31}$ d for Proxima Cen using Wright et al. (2018) empirical relationship.

* E-mail: baptiste.klein@irap.omp.eu

operating in their interiors. Moreover, the large-scale magnetic geometry is an essential ingredient for modelling stellar winds, known to play a major role in star–planet interactions (SPI; Vidotto et al. 2014; Strugarek et al. 2015).

Our closest neighbour, Proxima Centauri, is an active FC M5.5 dwarf whose dynamo lies in the unsaturated regime ($Ro = 0.63$, $\log L_X/L_{\text{bol}} = -3.94$; Wright et al. 2018). Proxima Cen hosts an HZ Earth-mass planet, Proxima-b (Anglada-Escudé et al. 2016), whose habitability conditions have been widely studied in the literature (e.g. Barnes et al. 2016; Ribas et al. 2016; Turbet et al. 2016; Meadows et al. 2018). This planet orbits at less than 0.05 au from its host star, and its atmospheric and surface properties are likely affected by stellar magnetic activity (e.g. Garraffo, Drake & Cohen 2016; Garcia-Sage et al. 2017). Moreover, the star exhibits a 7 yr-photometric activity cycle (Suárez Mascareño, Rebolo & González Hernández 2016; Wargelin et al. 2017), interpreted as resulting from an $\alpha\Omega$ -dynamo at work in its convective interior (Yadav et al. 2016). Spectropolarimetric observations of Proxima Cen can guide theoretical dynamo models and provide key inputs to investigate SPIs with the close-in planet.

In this study, we present the first reconstruction of Proxima Cen’s large-scale magnetic topology from a set of 10 spectropolarimetric observations collected with HARPS-Pol from 2017 April to July, around the activity maximum. In Section 2, we present our spectropolarimetric observations and detection of magnetic field. We then detail in Section 3, the modelling of the Zeeman signatures and their inversion into the large-scale magnetic topology of Proxima Cen. Finally, we discuss the implications of our results regarding the activity and magnetic cycle of the star, and its extended magnetosphere, in Section 4.

2 OBSERVATIONS

Spectropolarimetric observations of Proxima Cen were collected using the spectropolarimetric mode of the High Accuracy Radial velocity Planet Searcher velocimeter (HARPS-POL; Mayor et al. 2003; Snik et al. 2011, resolving power: $\sim 115\,000$, wavelength domain: 378–691 nm). From 2017 April to July, 10 sequences of circular polarization spectra were obtained (ESO program 099.C-0334(A), PI: Hébrard), each sequence consisting of four individual spectra taken in different configurations of the retarder. The data reduction is carried out using ESPaDOnS’s nominal data reduction pipeline LIBRE-ESPRIT (e.g. Donati et al. 2006b), inspired by the reduction process described in Donati et al. (1997), and adapted to HARPS-Pol spectra (see Hébrard et al. 2016). The four subexposures within each spectropolarimetric sequence are combined to extract Stokes I (unpolarized) and Stokes V (circularly polarized) spectra in a way to remove systematics and correct for spurious polarization signatures to the first order in circular polarization spectra (Donati et al. 1997). The peak signal-to-noise ratios (S/N) per pixel (0.85 km s^{-1} velocity bin) of the extracted spectra range from 78 to 165, with a median value of 118. The full journal of observations is given in Table 1.

We apply least-squares deconvolution (LSD; Donati et al. 1997) to compute average Stokes I and Stokes V profiles for our 10 spectra. This is done using a mask of atomic lines computed from an ATLAS9 local thermodynamical equilibrium model assuming an effective temperature of 3000 K and a surface gravity of 5.0 (Kurucz 1993; see the stellar properties listed in Table 2). The final mask contains ~ 4000 moderate-to-strong lines (i.e. with depth larger than 40 per cent as advocated in Donati et al. 1997) covering the entire HARPS domain. The resulting LSD profiles feature a central wavelength of 625 nm, an effective Landé factor of 1.25, and a mean relative depth with respect

Table 1. List of HARPS-Pol spectropolarimetric observations of Proxima Cen (ESO program 099.C-0334(A), PI: Hébrard). All polarization sequences consist of four individual subexposures of 1200 s each. Columns 1–3 indicate the observation dates, BJDs (at mid-exposure), and peaks of S/N (per 0.85 km s^{-1} velocity bin), respectively. Columns 4 and 5 list the rms noise level relative to the unpolarized continuum level per pixel bin in each Stokes V spectrum and the estimated longitudinal magnetic field B_ℓ (with 1σ error bars). The stellar rotation phase, computed from the reference time BJD = 2457862 and a rotation period of 89.8 d, is given in column 6.

Date	BJD (2457000+)	S/N	σ_V ($10^{-4}I_C$)	B_ℓ (G)	Phase
2017-4-18	862.7525	130	4.5	3.2 ± 8.2	0.008
2017-4-28	872.7921	154	3.8	-27.7 ± 6.6	0.120
2017-5-4	878.7772	157	3.7	-73.5 ± 6.9	0.187
2017-5-13	887.8047	78	7.0	-36.7 ± 18.8	0.287
2017-5-15	889.8118	91	6.4	-52.0 ± 15.1	0.310
2017-6-18	923.6802	105	5.4	5.8 ± 12.5	0.687
2017-6-29	934.5817	96	5.7	12.7 ± 14.9	0.808
2017-7-2	937.6045	145	4.0	5.2 ± 8.0	0.842
2017-7-9	944.6375	165	3.5	19.4 ± 6.7	0.920
2017-7-14	949.5841	106	5.0	-10.6 ± 2.5	0.975

Table 2. Stellar parameters of Proxima Cen used in this study.

Parameter	Value	Reference
Spectral type	M5.5	Bessell (1991)
Distance	$1.3012 \pm 0.0003\text{ pc}$	Gaia Collaboration (2018)
T_{eff}	$2980 \pm 80\text{ K}$	Ribas et al. (2017)
$\log g$	5.02 ± 0.18	Passegger, Wende-von Berg & Reiners (2016)
M_S	$0.120 \pm 0.003\text{ M}_\odot$	Ribas et al. (2017)
R_S	$0.146 \pm 0.007\text{ R}_\odot$	Ribas et al. (2017)
$\langle B_\ell \rangle$	$600 \pm 150\text{ G}$ (3σ)	Reiners & Basri (2008)
i	$47^\circ \pm 7^\circ$	This work
P_{rot}	$89.8 \pm 4.0\text{ d}$	This work
$v \sin i$	$0.06 \pm 0.01\text{ km s}^{-1}$	This work
Ro	$0.63^{+0.14}_{-0.10}$	Wright et al. (2018)

to the continuum of 0.686. Zeeman signatures of full amplitude up to 0.3 per cent of the unpolarized continuum level are most of the time detected in the Stokes V spectra. We observe a modulation of the Zeeman signatures, with a sign switch occurring at mid-time throughout the run. In order to ensure that the LSD profiles are not affected by the high level of noise in the bluest part of the spectra (S/N typically of 10–20 for the orders centred on wavelengths lower than 400 nm), we also extracted the LSD profiles using only the mask lines redder than 400 nm. As the resulting line profiles and magnetic analysis were marginally impacted by the process, we kept using the LSD profiles computed using the full atomic line mask.

3 MAGNETIC ANALYSIS

3.1 Longitudinal field

For each observation, we compute the line-of-sight projection of the magnetic field, B_ℓ , by integrating our Stokes I and VLSD profiles on a 23 km s^{-1} -wide window² using the method detailed in Donati et al. (1997). B_ℓ is a simple proxy of the field geometry, and its temporal

²The integration window is chosen to include the full width of the observed circularly polarized Zeeman signatures (see the left-hand panel of Fig. 2).




Figure 1. From top to bottom: Time series of the longitudinal field B_ℓ , and $H\alpha$, $He I$, and $Na D$ equivalent widths. In each panel, we show the data points as black dots (with $\pm 1\sigma$ error bars), and a simple sine wave linearly fitted to the data points using a least-squares estimator assuming $P_{\text{rot}} = 89.8$ d in grey dashed lines. Error bars from photon and detector noise on the equivalent widths of the activity proxies (three lower panels) were respectively scaled up by a factor of about 100, 5, and 10 to tentatively account for their intrinsic variability. In each panel, the grey bands indicate the $\pm 1\sigma$ error bands of the fit. In the top panel, the horizontal dotted line indicates the zero level. The chromospheric indices are 35 percent to 85 percent larger than the median values of the time series at phase 0.187, which is interpreted by a stellar flare occurring shortly before the observation. This measurement was not included in the sine-wave fit to the chromospheric indices and is not displayed here for clarity purposes. As a precautionary measure, we also discarded the B_ℓ value at phase 0.187 in the fitting procedure, even though it only marginally impacts the results of the fit.

fluctuations are known to provide reliable information on the stellar rotation period, P_{rot} (Donati et al. 2006c; Hébrard et al. 2016). The longitudinal field values, are given in Table 1 and range typically from -73 to 20 G with a median 1σ -uncertainty of 10 G. We model the B_ℓ time series using a simple sine wave and use χ^2 statistics to estimate P_{rot} . We find that the B_ℓ time series is modulated at $P_{\text{rot}} = 90 \pm 6$ d. The best fit to the B_ℓ time series is shown in the top panel of Fig. 1 (reaching a reduced- χ^2 , denoted hereafter χ_r^2 , of 1.0).

3.2 Magnetic reconstruction of Proxima Cen

We use ZDI (Semel 1989; Brown et al. 1991; Donati & Brown 1997) to invert the Stokes V LSD profiles into a map of the large-scale magnetic field at the surface of Proxima Cen. ZDI exploits the rotational modulation of Zeeman signatures to retrieve the poloidal and toroidal components of the large-scale magnetic field, both expressed as weighted sums of spherical harmonics (Donati et al. 2006c). For a given magnetic map, the stellar surface is sampled into a dense grid of $\sim 10\,000$ cells, for each of which ZDI computes local Stokes I and V profiles using analytical expressions from the Unno–Rachkovski’s solution of the radiative transfer equation, assuming a plane-parallel Milne–Eddington atmosphere (Unno 1956). The width and depth of the local profiles are chosen in a way to minimize the χ_r^2 between the synthetic intrinsic profile and the median observed one. The local profiles are Doppler-shifted to the projected rotational velocity and weighted depending on limb darkening (assuming a linear law of coefficient 0.8; Claret, Hauschildt & Witte 2012), stellar inclination, and local brightness, before being combined together into global Stokes I and V profiles. The time series of the synthetic profiles




Figure 2. Left-hand panel: Time series of the observed circularly polarized Zeeman signatures of Proxima Cen (black lines) and maximum-entropy reconstruction (red lines) in the stellar rest frame. Right-hand panel: Median-subtracted Stokes I LSD profiles (RI; black solid lines) and predictions using the magnetic topology presented in Section 3.2, assuming f_V/f_V of 1 (red dashed lines), 3 (red solid lines), and 5 (red dotted lines, see the text for a definition of f_V and f_I). The $\pm 1\sigma$ error bars on each LSD line are indicated on the left side of each profile in both panels, while the corresponding stellar rotation phase, defined assuming $P_{\text{rot}} = 89.8$ d and the initial date BJD = 2457862.0, are written on the right side of the right-hand panel.

are iteratively compared to the observed LSD profiles until reaching the maximum entropy solution for a given level of χ_r^2 .

Using ZDI, we perform magnetic reconstructions of the stellar surface at a given level of entropy for different values of the stellar rotation period P_{rot} . By fitting a paraboloid to the resulting distribution of χ^2 , we find $P_{\text{rot}} = 89.8 \pm 4.0$ d, in good agreement with the value of the rotation period obtained from the B_ℓ time series (see Section 3.1). A similar process allows to find a stellar inclination of $i = 47 \pm 7^\circ$ for Proxima Cen. In what follows, the phase of the star is defined assuming $P_{\text{rot}} = 89.8$ d and the initial date BJD = 2457862, corresponding to the beginning of our observations. From P_{rot} , i , and the stellar radius R_S , we find a projected rotational velocity, $v \sin i$, of 0.06 ± 0.01 km s^{-1} and use this value in the ZDI reconstruction. Given the low $v \sin i$ of Proxima Cen, we limit the spherical harmonic expansion to a degree $\ell = 5$. Similarly to Morin et al. (2008), we introduce a filling factor f_V defined as the fraction of each cell (constant over the stellar surface) that contributes to polarized Zeeman signatures, yielding an integrated flux of the large-scale field equal to B_V over the cell. We find that $f_V = 0.1$ minimizes the χ_r^2 of the fit to both Stokes V and Stokes I LSD profiles (see Section 3.3).

The best fit to the observed Stokes V LSD profiles is shown in the left-hand panel of Fig. 2. We reach a χ_r^2 of 1.55 from an initial value of 3.7. The Stokes V time series cannot be fit to a lower χ_r^2 level, possibly due to the intrinsic variability of the large-scale magnetic field over




Figure 3. Surface distribution of the radial (left-hand panel), azimuthal (middle panel), and meridional (right-hand panel) components of the large-scale magnetic field of Proxima Cen. The star is described as a flattened polar view, where the circles indicate the equator (solid line) and -30° , 30° , and 60° parallels (dashed lines). Ticks around the star mark the observations. Magnetic fields are expressed in G. Note that each cell features a filling factor of $f_V = 0.1$.

our observing run or to a slight underestimation of the assumed error bars. The resulting magnetic topology is shown in Fig. 3. The average reconstructed large-scale magnetic field is $\langle B_V \rangle = B \times f_V = 200$ G, meaning that B , the typical field strength present on $f_V = 10$ per cent of the stellar surface, is equal to ~ 2 kG. The magnetic topology is mainly poloidal (92 per cent of the magnetic energy budget), with a dominant dipolar contribution (60 per cent of the poloidal energy) featuring a dipole of 135 G, tilted at 51° to the rotation axis towards phase 0.28. We also note a significant quadrupolar component (22 per cent of the poloidal energy), confirming the complex topology of the large-scale field. Moreover, we find a moderate fraction of magnetic energy in axisymmetric modes, $f_{\text{axi}} = 44$ per cent. Finally, no information on the stellar differential rotation can be inferred from the Stokes V spectra, as the total timespan of the observations barely covers a single stellar rotation.

3.3 Zeeman broadening in unpolarized line profiles

We observe a modulation of Zeeman broadening in the Stokes I LSD profiles. In particular, the profiles appear significantly broadened around phase 0.3, when the magnetic pole points towards the observer. We generate a time series of synthetic Stokes I profiles from the magnetic topology obtained in Section 3.2. As for the modelling of Stokes V spectra, we introduce a filling factor f_I , also constant over the stellar surface and defined as the fractional area of each cell containing a small-scale magnetic field of strength $B_I = B_V/f_V$, i.e. assumed to be distributed as the large-scale field B_V (see Morin et al. 2008, for a more extensive definition of f_I). The difference between f_I and f_V is explained by the fact that circular polarization signatures and Zeeman broadening probe different scales of the magnetic field. On small scales, regions with opposite magnetic polarities produce circularly polarized signals that almost completely cancel out, which is not the case for the Zeeman broadening in Stokes I spectra. We compare the Stokes I LSD profiles to the synthetic ones assuming various values for f_I and find that $f_I \sim 3f_V$ minimizes the χ^2 of the residuals in the central regions of the Stokes I profiles (i.e. within ± 6 km s^{-1} of the line centre) where the modulation of the Zeeman broadening is largest (see the left-hand panel of Fig. 2). We, however, caution that being based on the simplifying approximation that B_I is distributed as B_V , our measurement of f_I/f_V is likely no more than a rough estimate. Using $f_I = 3f_V$, we find an unsigned magnetic flux density $\langle B_I \rangle f_I = \langle B_V \rangle f_I/f_V \sim 600$ G, consistent with the flux density measured from the Zeeman broadening of unsigned lines in Reiners & Basri (2008). The ratio $f_V/f_I \sim 0.33$ is apparently higher

than that typically measured for FC M dwarfs when this ratio was shown to reach 0.15 when the dynamo is saturated (Reiners & Basri 2009; Morin et al. 2010; See et al. 2019). The reasonably good agreement between the large- and small-scale magnetic topologies and the high ratio f_V/f_I suggests that the underlying dynamo process is apparently efficient at injecting the magnetic field into the largest spatial scales. Finally, assuming larger values for f_V in the magnetic reconstruction yields poorer fits to the modulation of the Zeeman broadening in the Stokes I LSD profiles, confirming that $f_V = 0.1$ is a good estimate of the filling factor (with a typical uncertainty of 0.03).

4 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

In this study, we report the first detection of a large-scale magnetic field at the surface of Proxima Centauri. 10 spectropolarimetric observations, obtained with HARPS-Pol from 2017 April to July, exhibit Zeeman signatures whose modulation indicates a stellar rotation period of 89.8 ± 4.0 d and suggests a stellar inclination of $47 \pm 7^\circ$. We find a large-scale magnetic field of ~ 200 G, whose topology is mainly poloidal, and features a dipole component of 135 G tilted at 51° to the rotation axis. Due to the low $v \sin i$ of the star, we mostly access the largest scales of the magnetic field (i.e. $\ell \leq 5$). Our resulting magnetic topology is thus expected to be no more than weakly affected by the gap in our observations between phases 0.310 and 0.687. The circularly polarized magnetic flux density is only $\sim 3\times$ smaller than the flux measured from the Zeeman broadening of unsigned lines, which suggests that the underlying dynamo process is efficient at injecting magnetic energy in the largest spatial scales. As illustrated in the rotation period–mass diagram shown in Fig. 4, Proxima Cen’s magnetic properties appear similar in term of field strength, fraction of poloidal energy, and degree of axisymmetry, to those of the group of FC M dwarfs with saturated dynamo exhibiting multipolar large-scale fields (Morin et al. 2010). However, Proxima Cen has the highest ratio $\langle B_V \rangle / \langle B_I \rangle$ reported so far, whereas this ratio is generally significantly weaker for stars in the multipolar regime (~ 6 per cent) than for stars in the dipole dominated group (~ 15 per cent). These results, if confirmed by new spectropolarimetric observations of Proxima Cen and of other slowly rotating FC stars, challenge our understanding of dynamo generation in M dwarfs. We now discuss the implications of the magnetic analysis on the chromospheric activity and magnetic cycle of the star, and on its extended magnetospheric structure.




Figure 4. Rotation period–mass diagram of FC M dwarfs with reconstructed magnetic topology. The size of the symbols is proportional to the strength of the magnetic field, their shapes represent their degree of axisymmetry (decagons and stars with f_{axi} of 1 and 0, respectively), and their colours refer to the fraction of poloidal field of the reconstruction (blue and red standing, respectively, for pure toroidal and poloidal fields). Contours of constant Rossby numbers of 0.1 and 0.01, computed using Wright et al. (2018) empirical relationship, are plotted in grey solid lines. The horizontal line indicates the theoretical full-convection limit ($\sim 0.35 M_{\odot}$; Baraffe et al. 1998). Except for Proxima Cen, the data originate from the magnetic analyses presented in Morin et al. (2008, 2010, 2011), Phan-Bao et al. (2009), Kochukhov & Lavail (2017), and Moutou et al. (2017).

4.1 Magnetic activity and cycle of Proxima Cen

Optical chromospheric activity indicators are known to be well coupled to bright and dark features in the case of the Sun. Comparing their temporal evolution with the magnetic topology recovered with ZDI provides us with valuable information on the magnetic connections between the photosphere and the chromosphere. We compute the equivalent width of $H\alpha$ (6562.808 Å), He I D3 (5875.62 Å), and Na I D1 and D2 (resp. 5895.92 and 5889.95 Å) chromospheric lines.³ The integration windows are those defined in Gomes da Silva et al. (2011) for late-type stars.

As shown in Fig. 1, the time series of chromospheric activity indicators appear modulated at P_{rot} . The chromospheric emission in the He I line varies in phase with the magnetic field, reaching its minimum at phase 0.29 ± 0.06 , when the negative pole comes closest to the observer (i.e. at phase 0.34 ± 0.03), and its maximum when the magnetic equator gets closer to the line of sight (phase ~ 0.8). The emissions in the cores of $H\alpha$ and Na D lines are shifted by ~ 0.2 in phase with respect to the magnetic field, with minimums of emission, respectively, reached at phases 0.17 ± 0.07 and 0.17 ± 0.08 (consistent at 2σ with the phasing of the magnetic field). This shift is most likely attributable to (i) a complex distribution of the chromospheric material which might be differently probed in He I and $H\alpha$ /Na D lines or (ii) to intrinsic stellar variability (e.g. flares or slow temporal evolution of the stellar chromosphere). The observed modulation of the chromospheric indices may reflect the coronal hole (associated with open field lines and presumably darkest at the chromospheric level) going in and out of view as the star rotates,

³Note that, due to the very low S/N in the bluest parts of the spectra, we could not reliably estimate the equivalent width of Ca H and K lines from our observations.

and being best and least visible at phases 0.3 and 0.8, respectively. A full radiative transfer computation would be needed to confirm this suggestion.

Our spectropolarimetric observations take place near Proxima Cen’s activity maximum (Suárez Mascareño et al. 2016; Wargelin et al. 2017). If, as suggested in the literature, Proxima Cen undergoes a 7 yr-solar-like activity cycle powered by an $\alpha\Omega$ -dynamo process (e.g. Yadav et al. 2016), one would expect the magnetic field to oscillate from a nearly axisymmetric dipole, at the activity minimum, to a weaker less axisymmetric multipolar field, at activity maximum (Kitchatinov et al. 2014). In particular, the total fractions of axisymmetric and poloidal magnetic energies reconstructed by ZDI seem to be excellent proxies of the magnetic cycle for partly convective stars (see Lehmann et al. 2020). Hence, the interest to keep monitoring Proxima Cen’s magnetic properties throughout the activity cycle.

Another major interest of future spectropolarimetric observations is to constrain the stellar differential rotation and its evolution with the activity cycle. Our measurement of P_{rot} , although significantly higher than the average photometric rotation period (e.g. 83.10 ± 0.05 d in Wargelin et al. 2017), is consistent with the period of 90.1 d measured by Wargelin et al. (2017) in 2009, i.e. around the previous activity maximum. The authors do not find any correlation between the photometric P_{rot} and the cycle phase, which suggests that the photometric period is not so good proxy of the activity cycle for proxima Cen. On the other hand, the variation of P_{rot} measured from circularly polarized Zeeman signatures might be a good indicator of the magnetic cycle, as the large-scale magnetic field is directly linked to the underlying dynamo processes.

Finally, near-infrared spectroscopic observations of Proxima Cen with upcoming instruments like NIRPS (Bouchy et al. 2017; Wildi et al. 2017) will complement the analysis by allowing the mea-




Figure 5. Potential field extrapolated from the reconstructed magnetic topology at phase 0.8 (around the maximum $H\alpha$ emission). Open and close field lines are, respectively, shown in black and yellow solid lines. The colour scale depicts the strength of the radial component of the large-scale magnetic field at the stellar surface. In order to better visualize the variations of the radial field at the surface of the star (and then more directly compare it with the potential field extrapolation), we show the star as seen from a distance of $5 R_S$. The source surface at which the magnetic field lines are radial is set to $25 R_S$ for this plot.

surement of other activity indicators (e.g. based on the emission flux in the core of the He I triplet at 1083 nm, and Paschen β), and by constraining the ratio $\langle B_I \rangle / \langle B_V \rangle$ thanks to the larger Zeeman broadening in the near-infrared than in the optical domain.

4.2 Extended magnetosphere and implications for Proxima-b

Given that the corotation radius of proxima Cen is $\approx 285 R_S$, field lines are not expected to break under the effect of centrifugal forces, but rather under that of the stellar wind. We estimate the typical radius of the spherical Alfvén surface, R_A , above which field lines open under stellar wind ram pressure. We first compute the magnetic confinement parameter, η , defined in ud-Doula & Owocki (2002) as the ratio between magnetic and wind kinetic energy densities, assuming a magnetic field of 135 G. Using a stellar mass-loss rate of $2 \times 10^{-15} M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}$ and a stellar wind terminal velocity of 400 km s^{-1} (Wood et al. 2001), we obtain a confinement parameter as large as $\eta \sim 3.9 \times 10^5$. At large distance from the star (typically a few stellar radii), the extended magnetic field of Proxima Cen is mainly dipolar. Moreover, Proxima Cen features a corona likely reaching the MK level, which would be enough to entirely ionize the stellar wind (as demonstrated for a few M dwarfs of earlier types; e.g. Vidotto, Feeney & Groh 2019; Mesquita & Vidotto 2020). Under these conditions, ud-Doula & Owocki (2002) semi-analytical relations provide us with a first-order estimate of the equatorial Alfvén radius of $\sim 25 R_S$. At this distance, the magnetic field of Proxima Cen is mostly dipolar, as evidenced by the extrapolation of the potential field lines shown at phase 0.8 in Fig. 5, computed using the field-extrapolation technique described in Jardine et al. (1999). Proxima-b, that orbits at a distance of $\sim 70 R_S > R_A$ from its host star, is thus expected to lie in the super-Alfvénic regime, where no direct star–planet magnetic connection occurs (Strugarek et al. 2015). We, however, caution

that magnetohydrodynamical simulations are needed to validate this conclusion (e.g. in a way similar to Strugarek et al. 2015; Vidotto & Donati 2017; Folsom et al. 2018, 2020; Strugarek et al. 2019). Finally, constraining the evolution of the size of the magnetosphere with the stellar activity cycle will help unveiling potential SPIs that may affect the magnetosphere of Proxima-b.

As discussed in Vidotto et al. (2014), the value f_{axi} of the ZDI reconstruction correlates with the flux of Galactic cosmic rays received by the planet (based on Solar system observations studied in Wang, Sheeley & Rouillard 2006). The moderate value of f_{axi} for Proxima Cen indicates that its close-in planet is relatively well shielded from galactic cosmic rays at the time of the observations, which has implications for habitability condition studies (Rimmer & Helling 2013; Sadovskii, Struminsky & Belov 2018). Our analysis confirms the strength of the large-scale field assumed in Ribas et al. (2016), which implies that Proxima-b could likely sustain a magnetosphere of $\sim 2\text{--}3$ planetary radii under a wind terminal velocity similar to that assumed to compute the Alfvén radius of the star. Moreover, extended non-axisymmetric magnetic fields tend to favour axisymmetric distributions of the wind mass flux (Vidotto et al. 2014). This suggests that the size of Proxima-b’s magnetosphere undergoes little variations at the time of observations, contrary to what was predicted by recent studies based on a different magnetic topology (e.g. Garraffo et al. 2016, who assume a GJ 51-like magnetic geometry whose maximum strength is rescaled to 600 G).

More generally, our study confirms that the large-scale magnetic topology of slowly rotating FC M dwarfs can be retrieved with ZDI. Our results open up promising prospects for the study of the magnetic field of FC M dwarfs and their interactions with close-in planets. In particular, new generation near-infrared spectropolarimeters like SPIRou at the Canada–France–Hawaii Telescope (Donati et al. 2020) and its upcoming twin, SPIP, at the Pic du Midi Observatory, may bring decisive constraints on the dynamo processes operating at the lower end of the main sequence.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This project was funded by the European Research Council (ERC) under the H2020 research & innovation programme (grant agreements #740651 NewWorlds). This work is based on observations made with ESO Telescopes at the La Silla Paranal Observatory. We thank the referee for valuable comments and suggestions which helped us improving an earlier version of the manuscript.

DATA AVAILABILITY

This paper includes data collected by the HARPS-Pol spectropolarimeter, which is publicly available from the ESO Science Archive Facility (program ID: 099.C-0334).

REFERENCES

- Anglada-Escudé G. et al., 2016, *Nature*, 536, 437
 Astudillo-Defru N., Delfosse X., Bonfils X., Forveille T., Lovis C., Rameau J., 2017a, *A&A*, 600, A13
 Astudillo-Defru N. et al., 2017b, *A&A*, 602, A88
 Baraffe I., Chabrier G., Allard F., Hauschildt P. H., 1998, *A&A*, 337, 403
 Barnes R. et al., 2016, preprint (arXiv:1608.06919)
 Berta-Thompson Z. K. et al., 2015, *Nature*, 527, 204
 Bessell M. S., 1991, *AJ*, 101, 662
 Bouchy F. et al., 2017, *Messenger*, 169, 21
 Brown S. F., Donati J. F., Rees D. E., Semel M., 1991, *A&A*, 250, 463
 Claret A., Hauschildt P. H., Witte S., 2012, *A&A*, 546, A14

- Dittmann J. A. et al., 2017, *Nature*, 544, 333
- Donati J.-F., et al. 2020, *MNRAS*, 498, 5684
- Donati J.-F., Forveille T., Collier Cameron A., Barnes J. R., Delfosse X., Jardine M. M., Valenti J. A., 2006a, *Science*, 311, 633
- Donati J. F., Brown S. F., 1997, *A&A*, 326, 1135
- Donati J. F., Catala C., Landstreet J. D., Petit P., 2006b, in Casini R., Lites B. W., eds, ASP Conf. Ser. Vol. 358, Solar Polarization 4. Astron. Soc. Pac., San Francisco, p. 362
- Donati J. F., Landstreet J. D., 2009, *ARA&A*, 47, 333
- Donati J. F., Semel M., Carter B. D., Rees D. E., Collier Cameron A., 1997, *MNRAS*, 291, 658
- Donati J. F. et al., 2006c, *MNRAS*, 370, 629
- Folsom C. P., Fionnagáin D. Ó., Fossati L., Vidotto A. A., Moutou C., Petit P., Dragomir D., Donati J. F., 2020, *A&A*, 633, A48
- Folsom C. P. et al., 2018, *MNRAS*, 481, 5286
- France K. et al., 2016, *ApJ*, 820, 89
- Gaia Collaboration, 2018, *A&A*, 616, A1
- Garcia-Sage K., Gloer A., Drake J. J., Gronoff G., Cohen O., 2017, *ApJ*, 844, L13
- Garraffo C., Drake J. J., Cohen O., 2016, *ApJ*, 833, L4
- Gastine T., Duarte L., Wicht J., 2012, *A&A*, 546, A19
- Gastine T., Morin J., Duarte L., Reiners A., Christensen U. R., Wicht J., 2013, *A&A*, 549, L5
- Gillon M. et al., 2017, *Nature*, 542, 456
- Gomes da Silva J., Santos N. C., Bonfils X., Delfosse X., Forveille T., Udry S., 2011, *A&A*, 534, A30
- Hébrard É. M., Donati J. F., Delfosse X., Morin J., Moutou C., Boisse I., 2016, *MNRAS*, 461, 1465
- Jardine M., Barnes J. R., Donati J.-F., Collier Cameron A., 1999, *MNRAS*, 305, L35
- Kasting J. F., Whitmire D. P., Reynolds R. T., 1993, *Icarus*, 101, 108
- Kiraga M., Stepien K., 2007, *Acta Astron.*, 57, 149
- Kitchatinov L. L., Moss D., Sokoloff D., 2014, *MNRAS*, 442, L1
- Kochukhov O., Lavail A., 2017, *ApJ*, 835, L4
- Kurucz R. L., 1993, SYNTHES Spectrum Synthesis Programs and Line Data, Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory, Cambridge, MA, CD-ROM No.13
- Lammer H. et al., 2007, *Astrobiology*, 7, 185
- Lehmann L. T., Hussain G. A. J., Vidotto A. A., Jardine M. M., Mackay D. H., 2020, *MNRAS*, in press, preprint ([arXiv:2010.10214](https://arxiv.org/abs/2010.10214))
- Mayor M. et al., 2003, *Messenger*, 114, 20
- Meadows V. S. et al., 2018, *Astrobiology*, 18, 133
- Mesquita A. L., Vidotto A. A., 2020, *MNRAS*, 494, 1297
- Morin J., Donati J. F., Petit P., Delfosse X., Forveille T., Jardine M. M., 2010, *MNRAS*, 407, 2269
- Morin J., Dormy E., Schrunner M., Donati J. F., 2011, *MNRAS*, 418, L133
- Morin J. et al., 2008, *MNRAS*, 390, 567
- Moutou C. et al., 2017, *MNRAS*, 472, 4563
- Passegger V. M., Wende-von Berg S., Reiners A., 2016, *A&A*, 587, A19
- Phan-Bao N., Lim J., Donati J.-F., Johns-Krull C. M., Martín E. L., 2009, *ApJ*, 704, 1721
- Pizzolato N., Maggio A., Micela G., Sciortino S., Ventura P., 2003, *A&A*, 397, 147
- Reiners A., Basri G., 2008, *A&A*, 489, L45
- Reiners A., Basri G., 2009, *A&A*, 496, 787
- Ribas I., Gregg M. D., Boyajian T. S., Bolmont E., 2017, *A&A*, 603, A58
- Ribas I. et al., 2016, *A&A*, 596, A111
- Rimmer P. B., Helling C., 2013, *ApJ*, 774, 108
- Sadovskii A. M., Struminsky A. B., Belov A., 2018, *Astron. Lett.*, 44, 324
- See V. et al., 2019, *ApJ*, 876, 118
- Semel M., 1989, *A&A*, 225, 456
- Shulyak D., Reiners A., Engeln A., Malo L., Yadav R., Morin J., Kochukhov O., 2017, *Nat. Astron.*, 1, 0184
- Snik F. et al., 2011, in Kuhn J. R. et al., eds, ASP Conf. Ser. Vol. 437, The HARPS Polarimeter, Solar Polarization 6, Astron. Soc. Pac., San Francisco, p. 237
- Strugarek A., Brun A. S., Donati J.-F., Moutou C., Réville V., 2019, *ApJ*, 881, 136
- Strugarek A., Brun A. S., Matt S. P., Réville V., 2015, *ApJ*, 815, 111
- Suárez Mascareño A., Rebolo R., González Hernández J. I., 2016, *A&A*, 595, A12
- Turbet M., Leconte J., Selsis F., Bolmont E., Forget F., Ribas I., Raymond S. N., Anglada-Escudé G., 2016, *A&A*, 596, A112
- ud-Doula A., Owocki S. P., 2002, *ApJ*, 576, 413
- Unno W., 1956, *PASJ*, 8, 108
- Vidotto A. A., Donati J. F., 2017, *A&A*, 602, A39
- Vidotto A. A., Feeney N., Groh J. H., 2019, *MNRAS*, 488, 633
- Vidotto A. A., Jardine M., Morin J., Donati J. F., Opher M., Gombosi T. I., 2014, *MNRAS*, 438, 1162
- Wang Y. M., Sheeley N. R. J., Rouillard A. P., 2006, *ApJ*, 644, 638
- Wargelin B. J., Saar S. H., Pojmański G., Drake J. J., Kashyap V. L., 2017, *MNRAS*, 464, 3281
- West A. A. et al., 2011, *AJ*, 141, 97
- Wildi F. et al., 2017, in Shaklan S., ed., Proc. SPIE Conf. Ser. Vol. 10400, Techniques and Instrumentation for Detection of Exoplanets VIII. SPIE, Bellingham, p. 1040018
- Wood B. E., Linsky J. L., Müller H.-R., Zank G. P., 2001, *ApJ*, 547, L49
- Wright N. J., Newton E. R., Williams P. K. G., Drake J. J., Yadav R. K., 2018, *MNRAS*, 479, 2351
- Yadav R. K., Christensen U. R., Morin J., Gastine T., Poppenhaeger K., Wolk S. J., 2015, *ApJ*, 813, L31
- Yadav R. K., Christensen U. R., Wolk S. J., Poppenhaeger K., 2016, *ApJ*, 833, L28

This paper has been typeset from a $\text{\TeX}/\text{\LaTeX}$ file prepared by the author.