

The spectra of IceCube neutrino candidate sources – I. Optical spectroscopy of blazars

Simona Paiano^{1,2,3}★, Renato Falomo^{4,5}★, Aldo Treves^{6,7}, Paolo Padovani^{8,9,10,11}★, Paolo Giommi^{9,10,11}★ and Riccardo Scarpa^{12,13}

¹INAF - Osservatorio Astronomico di Roma, via Frascati 33, I-00040 Monteporzio Catone, Italy

²INAF - IASF Milano, via Corti 12, I-20133 Milano, Italy

³INAF - IASF Palermo, via Ugo La Malfa, 153, I-90146 Palermo, Italy

⁴INAF - Osservatorio Astronomico di Padova, vicolo dell'Osservatorio 5, I-35122 Padova, Italy

⁵Università dell'Insubria, via Valsoglio, I-22100 Como, Italy

⁶INAF - Osservatorio Astronomico di Brera, via Bianchi 46, I-23807 Merate (Lecco), Italy

⁷European Southern Observatory, Karl-Schwarzschild-Str 2, D-85748 Garching bei München, Germany

⁸Associated to INAF - Osservatorio di Astrofisica e Scienza dello Spazio, Via Piero Gobetti 93/3, I-40129 Bologna, Italy

⁹Institute for Advanced Study, Technische Universität München, Lichtenbergstrasse 2a, D-85748 Garching bei München, Germany

¹⁰Associated to Agenzia Spaziale Italiana, ASI, via del Politecnico s.n.c., I-00133 Roma, Italy

¹¹ICRANet, Piazzale della Repubblica 10, I-65122 Pescara, Italy

¹²Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, C/O Via Lactea, s/n, E-38205 La Laguna (Tenerife), Spain

¹³Dpto. Astrofísica, Universidad de La Laguna, s/n, E-38206 La Laguna (Tenerife), Spain

Accepted 2021 April 7. Received 2021 March 18; in original form 2020 December 18

ABSTRACT

There is mounting evidence that ultra-energetic neutrinos of astrophysical origin may be associated with blazars. Here, we investigate a unique sample of 47 blazars, ~ 20 of which could be new neutrino sources. In particular, we focus on 17 objects of yet unknown redshift, for which we present optical spectroscopy secured at the Gran Telescopio Canarias and the ESO Very Large Telescope. We find all sources but one (a quasar) to be BL Lac objects. For nine targets we are able to determine the redshift ($0.09 < z < 1.6$), while for the others we set a lower limit on it, based on either the robust detection of intervening absorption systems or on an estimation derived from the absence of spectral signatures of the host galaxy. In some spectra, we detect forbidden and semiforbidden emission lines with luminosities in the range 10^{40} – 10^{41} erg s $^{-1}$. We also report on the spectroscopy of seven blazars possibly associated with energetic neutrinos that partially meet the criteria of our sample and are discussed in the Appendix. These results represent the starting point of our investigation into the real nature of these objects and their likelihood of being neutrino emitters.

Key words: galaxies: active – galaxies: high-redshift – BL Lacertae objects: general – gamma-rays: galaxies – neutrino.

1 INTRODUCTION

The IceCube Neutrino Observatory at the South Pole¹ has detected tens of high-energy neutrinos of likely astrophysical and extragalactic origin reaching beyond the PeV (10^{15} eV) range (e.g. Schneider 2019; Stettner 2019; Aartsen et al. 2020, and references therein). These neutrinos are thought to be generated when very high-energy (VHE) cosmic rays (CRs) interact with matter or radiation creating charged and neutral mesons, which then decay into neutrinos, γ -rays, and other particles. At variance with CRs, neutrinos and γ -rays are the ‘messengers’ that can travel cosmological distances undeflected. However, γ -ray spectra are softened by pair-production interactions with the extragalactic background light (EBL) at $E \gtrsim 100$ GeV in an energy- and redshift-dependent fashion (see e.g.

Biteau et al. 2020; Abdalla et al. 2021, and references therein). This means that the extragalactic photon sky is almost completely dark at the energies sampled by IceCube ($\gtrsim 60$ TeV). Therefore, only neutrinos can provide information on the VHE physical processes that generated them.

So far, only one astronomical object has been significantly associated (at the 3σ – 3.5σ level) in space and time with IceCube neutrinos, i.e. the powerful blazar TXS 0506 + 056 at $z = 0.3365$ (IceCube Collaboration 2018a,b; Padovani et al. 2018; Paiano et al. 2018). Other possible associations include 3HSP J095507.9 + 355101, an extreme blazar with synchrotron peak frequency² $\nu_{\text{peak}}^S \gtrsim 5 \times 10^{17}$ Hz, $z = 0.557$, and well within the neutrino event error region of IceCube-

* E-mail: simona.paiano@inaf.it (SP); renato.falomo@inaf.it (RF); ppadovan@eso.org (PP)

¹<http://icecube.wisc.edu>

²From a spectral energy distribution (SED) point of view, blazars are divided based on the rest-frame frequency of the low-energy (synchrotron) hump (ν_{peak}^S) into low- [LBL/LSP: $\nu_{\text{peak}}^S < 10^{14}$ Hz (< 0.41 eV)], intermediate- [IBL/ISP: $10^{14} < \nu_{\text{peak}}^S < 10^{15}$ Hz (0.41 – 4.1 eV)], and high-energy [HBL/HSP: $\nu_{\text{peak}}^S > 10^{15}$ Hz (> 4.1 eV)] peaked sources, respectively

200107A, which exhibited a very hard X-ray flare at the time of the IceCube event (Paiano et al. 2020a; Giommi et al. 2020b). The case for some blazars being neutrino sources is mounting (see e.g. Righi, Tavecchio & Inoue 2019).

Blazars are a rare type of active galactic nuclei (see Falomo, Pian & Treves 2014; Padovani et al. 2017, for reviews) characterized by strong and highly variable radiation across the entire electromagnetic spectrum. This is produced by energetic charged particles moving in a magnetic field within a relativistic jet that is seen at a small angle with respect to the line of sight (Urry & Padovani 1995; Padovani et al. 2017).

From an optical spectroscopy point of view, blazars have always been divided in two classes, i.e. flat-spectrum radio quasars (FSRQs) and BL Lac objects (henceforth, BLL). FSRQs display strong, quasar-like broad emission lines, while BLL sometimes exhibit only absorption features, in some cases show at most weak (a few Å) emission lines, and often are totally featureless (Urry & Padovani 1995). Note that most FSRQs are LBLs, with a small number of them being IBLs.

Several studies reported hints of a correlation between blazars and the arrival direction of astrophysical neutrinos (e.g. Padovani et al. 2016; Lucarelli et al. 2019 and references therein). Very recently some of the authors of this paper have extended the detailed dissection of the region around the IceCube-170922A event related to TXS 0506 + 056 carried out by Padovani et al. (2018) to all the 70 public IceCube high-energy neutrinos that are well reconstructed (so-called tracks; Giommi et al. 2020a). This provided a 3.2σ (post-trial³) correlation excess of γ -ray detected IBLs and HBLs with IceCube neutrinos. No excess was found for LBLs. Given that TXS 0506+056, as 3HSP J095507.9 + 355101, is also a blazar of the IBL/HBL type (Padovani et al. 2019) this result, together with previous findings, consistently points to a growing evidence for a connection between some IceCube neutrinos and IBL and HBL blazars. Moreover, this means that out of the 47 IBLs and HBLs in table 5 of Giommi et al. (2020a) ≈ 20 could be new neutrino sources waiting to be identified (see their table 6).

To make further progress at this point we need optical spectra, which are required to determine the redshift, and hence the luminosity involved, measure the main properties of the spectral lines, and possibly estimate the mass of the central black hole.

In this paper, we present the spectroscopy of a fraction of the 47 objects selected by Giommi et al. (2020a), which together with results taken from the literature cover ~ 80 per cent of the sample. The paper is the first of a series of publications arising from the project ‘The spectra of IceCube Neutrino candidate sources’ whose purpose is to (1) determine the nature of the sources, e.g. are they EHBs like 3HSP J0955 or are they ‘masquerading BLLs’? Padovani et al. (2019), in fact, have shown that, despite appearances, TXS 0506 + 056 is *not* a blazar of the BLL type but instead a masquerading BLL, i.e. intrinsically an FSRQ with hidden broad lines. In other words, its extremely weak emission lines are due to a very bright, Doppler-boosted jet continuum, which is washing out the lines. Are they extreme blazars as 3HSP J095507.9 + 355101? (2)

model their SEDs using all available multiwavelength data, including the spectral slopes and the nucleus-to-host ratios (when measured) derived in this paper, starting with the objects with available redshift to determine the physical parameters, which affect the efficiency of neutrino production, and subsequently the expected neutrino emission from each blazar; (3) determine the likelihood of a physical connection between the neutrino and the blazar, as some of us did in Petropoulou et al. (2015; see also, e.g. Petropoulou et al. 2020 for 3HSP J095507.9 + 355101), and derive important insight on the physical parameters of the blazar jet, such as its proton content. All of the above will provide fundamental information to study the neutrino – blazar connection.

This paper is also a continuation of the ongoing systematic study of the properties of *Fermi* BLLs, which some of the present authors have carried out in the last decade (e.g. Paiano et al. 2017a,b,c; Landoni et al. 2018; Paiano et al. 2019a, 2020b). This study has provided measurements of the redshifts and line properties (if detected) of γ -ray blazars, which are useful to address several related research questions, namely their evolution, their connection with ultrahigh-energy CRs, and the EBL and Galactic and extragalactic magnetic fields.

This paper is structured as follows. Section 2 describes the sample we used, while Section 3 deals with the observations and data reduction. Section 4 discusses our methods and results, while Section 5 provides notes on individual sources. Finally, Section 6 summarizes our conclusions.

We use a Λ CDM cosmology with Hubble constant $H_0 = 70 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$, matter density $\Omega_{m,0} = 0.3$, and dark energy density $\Omega_{\Lambda,0} = 0.7$.

2 THE SAMPLE

Our sample includes all 47 IBLs and HBLs in table 5 of Giommi et al. (2020a) plus M87. These are all γ -ray sources and have by selection $|b_{\text{II}}| > 10^\circ$ and $\nu_{\text{peak}}^S > 10^{14} \text{ Hz}$. These sources are matched to 30 neutrino events out of 70 with reasonably well-defined IceCube positions (i.e. with an area of the error ellipse smaller than that of a circle with radius $r = 3^\circ$). In various cases more than one blazar is within the IceCube error ellipse; the source list is reported in Table 1.

A literature scan shows that for 19 of them a redshift is known (see Table 1) and in three cases it is rather uncertain. Of the remaining 28 objects, we performed spectroscopy and present the spectra for 17. Combining these observations with the literature results, one has a coverage of 36 of the 47 objects in Giommi et al. (2020a), which corresponds to ~ 80 per cent. The 11 objects left are targets of current ongoing observations by our group and will be discussed in a future publication. A journal of the observations of the 17 sources is given in Table 2. Additional spectroscopic observations of observed sources of still unknown redshift are planned with different instrument configuration.

We also observed some targets included in a preliminary version of the Giommi et al. (2020a)’s list. These are still γ -ray-emitting blazars without a redshift determination, which turned out not to fulfil all the final criteria adopted by those authors, especially regarding the size of the IceCube error ellipse and the ν_{peak}^S cut. These seven additional targets are discussed in Appendix A.

3 OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

The optical spectra were collected using two different instruments. For seven sources in the Northern hemisphere, we used the 10.4 m Gran Telescopio Canarias (GTC) at the Roque de Los Muchachos

(Padovani & Giommi 1995; Abdo et al. 2010). Extreme blazars are characterized by $\nu_{\text{peak}}^S > 2.4 \times 10^{17} \text{ Hz}$ ($> 1 \text{ keV}$; Biteau et al. 2020).

³A trial correction for the ‘Look Elsewhere Effect’ is needed (e.g. Patrignani & Particle Data Group 2016). This stems from a simple fact: in the ideal case of 20 completely independent tests, for example, a result more significant than $\sim 2\sigma$ will be observed simply by chance. The final p-value can in this case be trial-corrected by multiplying it by the number of tests carried out.

Table 1. The sample of 47 neutrino candidate blazars. In boldface are the targets considered in this paper.

Object Name	Counterpart	IC event	Sep. (°)	RA (J2000)	Dec. (J2000)	Mag. g	ν_{peak}^S	z	z Ref.
4FGL J0103.5 + 1526	5BZG J0103 + 1526	IC-160331A	0.32	01:03:26	+ 15:26:24	18.4	15.0	0.2461	SDSS
4FGL J0158.8 + 0101	5BZU J0158 + 0101	IC-090813A	0.28	01:58:52	+ 01:01:32	21.4	14.1	0.4537	ZBLLAC
4FGL J0224.2 + 1616	VOU J022411 + 161500	IC-111216A	2.89	02:24:12	+ 16:15:00	19.6	14.5	?	*
4FGL J0232.8 + 2018	3HSP J023248.6 + 201717	IC-111216A	1.91	02:32:48	+ 20:17:17	17.2	18.5	0.1390	NED
4FGL J0239.5 + 1326	3HSP J023927.2 + 13273	IC-161103A	1.27	02:39:27	+ 13:27:39	21.2	15.0	?	*
4FGL J0244.7 + 1316	CRATES J024445 + 132002	IC-161103A	0.80	02:44:46	+ 13:20:07	18.9	14.5	?	*
4FGL J0339.2-1736	3HSP J033913.7-17360	IC-141109A	1.24	03:39:14	−17:36:01	16.8	15.6	0.07	6dFGS
4FGL J0344.4 + 3432	3HSP J034424.9 + 34301	IC-150831A	1.20	03:44:25	+ 34:30:17	18.9	15.7	?	*
4FGL J0509.4 + 0542	TXS 0506 + 056	IC-170922A	0.07	05:09:26	+ 05:42:21	15.4	14.5	0.3365	ZBLLAC
4FGL J0525.6-2008	CRATES J052526-201054	IC-150428A	0.86	05:25:28	−20:10:48	17.6	14.5	?	*
3FGL J0627.9-1517	3HSP J062753.3-151957	IC-170321A	1.30	06:27:53	−15:19:57	19.4	17.3	0.3102	ZBLLAC
4FGL J0649.5-3139	3HSP J064933.6-31392	IC-140721A	0.78	06:49:34	−31:39:20	19.3	17.0	> 0.563	ZBLLAC
4FGL J0854.0 + 2753	3HSP J085410.1 + 27542	IC-150904A	0.44	08:54:10	+ 27:54:22	20.1	16.1	0.4937?	SDSS
4FGL J0946.2 + 0104	3HSP J094620.2 + 010451	IC-190819A	2.24	09:46:20	+ 01:04:52	20.0	>18.0	0.576	SDSS
4FGL J1003.4 + 0205	3HSP J100326.6 + 02045	IC-190819A	2.35	10:03:27	+ 02:04:56	19.7	15.8	?	SDSS
4FGL J1040.5 + 0617	GB6 J1040 + 0617	IC-141209A	0.37	10:40:32	+ 06:17:22	20.3	14.5	0.735?	SDSS
4FGL J1043.6 + 0654	5BZB J1043 + 0653	IC-141209A	0.94	10:43:24	+ 06:53:10	19.8	14.5	?	*
4FGL J1055.7-1807	VOU J105603-180929	IC-171015A	2.6	10:56:03	−18:09:30	20.5	14.1	?	*
4FGL J1117.0 + 2013	3HSP J111706.2 + 201407	IC-130408A	2.02	11:17:06	+ 20:14:07	16.8	16.5	0.138	SDSS
4FGL J1124.0 + 2045	3HSP J112405.3 + 204553	IC-130408A	3.61	11:24:05	+ 20:45:53	18.8	15.3	?	SDSS
4FGL J1124.9 + 2143	3HSPJ112503.6 + 21430	IC-130408A	3.48	11:25:03	+ 21:43:00	18.5	15.8	?	SDSS
4FGL J1230.8 + 1223	M87	IC-141126A	0.93	12:30:49	+ 12:23:30	11.6	-	0.004	NED
4FGL J1231.5 + 1421	3HSP J123123.1 + 14212	IC-141126A	1.06	12:31:24	+ 14:21:24	17.7	16.0	0.2558	SDSS
3FGL J1258.4 + 2123	3HSP J125821.5 + 21235	IC-151017A	3.2	12:58:21	+ 21:23:51	20.5	16.7	0.6265	ZBLLAC
4FGL J1258.7-0452	3HSP J125848.0-04474	IC-150926A	0.54	12:58:48	−04:47:45	19.1	17.0	?	*
4FGL J1300.0 + 1753	3HSP J130008.5 + 17553	IC-151017A	3.45	13:00:09	+ 17:55:38	20.1	14.5	?	SDSS
4FGL J1314.7 + 2348	5BZB J1314 + 2348	IC-151017A	3.68	13:14:44	+ 23:48:26	17.1	≥14	0.15 ?	M14
4FGL J1321.9 + 3219	5BZB J1322 + 3216	IC-120515A	1.54	13:22:47	+ 32:16:09	19.3	14.5	?	5BZCAT
4FGL J1359.1-1152	VOU J135921-115043	IC-120123A	1.75	13:59:21	−11:50:44	19.8	14.0	?	*
4FGL J1404.8 + 6554	3HSP J140449.6 + 65543	IC-140216A	1.66	14:04:50	+ 65:54:32	19.1	16.0	0.362	SDSS
4FGL J1439.5-2525	VOU J143934-252458	IC-170506A	1.81	14:39:35	−25:24:58	19.0	14.0	0.16	NED
4FGL J1440.0-2343	3HSP J143959.4-23414	IC-170506A	2.83	14:39:59	−23:41:40	18.9	16.2	?	*
4FGL J1447.0-2657	3HSP J144656.8-26565	IC-170506A	0.95	14:46:57	−26:56:58	19.4	17.6	?	*
4FGL J1507.3-3710	VOU J150720-370902	IC-181014A	2.0	15:07:21	−37:09:03	17.5	14.5	?	*
4FGL J1528.4 + 2004	3HSP J1528 + 2004	IC-110521A	3.23	15:28:36	+ 20:04:20	20.2	16.2	?	*
4FGL J1533.2 + 1855	3HSP J153311.2 + 18542	IC-110521A	2.47	15:33:11	+ 18:54:29	18.6	17.0	0.307	SDSS
4FGL J1554.2 + 2008	3HSP J155424.1 + 20112	IC-110521A	2.82	15:54:24	+ 20:11:25	18.1	17.3	0.2223	SDSS
4FGL J1808.2 + 3500	CRATES J180812 + 350104	IC-110610A	0.55	18:08:11	+ 35:01:19	15.4	14.5	?	deMe20
4FGL J1808.8 + 3522	3HSP J180849.7 + 35204	IC-110610A	0.21	18:08:50	+ 35:20:43	15.8	15.0	0.141	ZBLLAC
4FGL J2030.5 + 2235	3HSP J203031.6 + 22343	IC-100710A	1.31	20:30:32	+ 22:34:39	20.3	16.2	?	*
4FGL J2030.9 + 1935	3HSP J203057.1 + 19361	IC-100710A	1.82	20:30:57	+ 19:36:12	18.6	15.8	?	*
4FGL J2133.1 + 2529c	3HSPJ213314.3 + 25285	IC-150714A	2.18	21:33:14	+ 25:28:59	18.8	15.2	0.294	5BZCAT
4FGL J2223.3 + 0102	3HSP J222329.5 + 01022	IC-140114A	1.33	22:23:30	+ 01:02:26	18.6	15.5	?	SDSS
4FGL J2227.9 + 0036	5BZB J2227 + 0037	IC-140114A	0.64	22:27:58	+ 00:37:06	18.4	14.5	?	SDSS
4FGL J2326.2 + 0113	CRATES J232625 + 011147	IC-160510A	1.31	23:26:26	+ 01:12:09	20.5	14.0	?	SDSS

Table 1 – continued

Object Name	Counterpart	IC event	Sep. (°)	RA (J2000)	Dec. (J2000)	Mag. g	$\nu_{\text{peak}}^{\text{syn}}$	z	z Ref.
4FGL J2350.6-3005	3HSPJ235034.3-30060	IC-190104A	3.32	23:50:34	−30:06:03	18.1	15.7	0.2328	6dFGS
4FGL J2351.4-2818	IC 5362	IC-190104A	1.07	23:51:36	−28:21:53	16.3	14.5	0.0276	NED
4FGL J2358.1-2853	CRATES J235815-285341	IC-190104A	2.47	23:58:17	−28:53:34	19.5	14.0	?	*

Note. Column 1: *Fermi* name of the target in the 4FGL (or 3FGL in two cases) catalogue; Column 2: Counterpart name of the γ -ray source; Column 3: IceCube track; Column 4: Angular separation (degrees) between the target and the centroid of the IceCube track; Columns 5–6: Right ascension and declination of the optical counterpart; Column 7: g magnitude from SDSS survey and PANSTARRS; Column 8: Frequency of the synchrotron peak ($\nu_{\text{peak}}^{\text{syn}}$); Column 9: Redshift from the literature; Column 10: Reference for the redshift [SDSS: SDSS Data Release 16 (DR16): Ahumada et al. (2020)]; ZBLAC: Data base of BL Lac objects spectra - <http://www.oapd.inaf.it/zblac/>; NED: NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Data base; 6dFGS: 6dF Galaxy Survey Data base - Final Data Release (DR3): Jones et al. (2009); M14: Massaro et al. 2014; deMe20: de Menezes et al. (2020). VOU: Giommi et al. (2020a); 5BZCAT: Massaro et al. (2015); an asterisk means that no redshift or optical spectra are found in the literature.

Table 2. The sample of 17 neutrino candidate blazars and journal of the observations.

Object name	$E(B - V)$	Telescope	Instrument	Date	t_{Exp} (s)	Seeing (arcsec)	Air mass
4FGL J0224.2 + 1616	0.20	GTC	OSIRIS	22 December 2019	7200	1.7	1.30
4FGL J0239.5 + 1326	0.10	GTC	OSIRIS	05 October 2019	7200	1.8	1.05
4FGL J0244.7 + 1316	0.09	GTC	OSIRIS	09 October 2019	4500	0.7	1.04
4FGL J0344.4 + 3432	0.27	GTC	OSIRIS	10 October 2019	3000	0.7	1.47
4FGL J0525.6-2008	0.04	VLT	FORS2	23 October 2019	2400	0.8	1.06
4FGL J0649.5-3139	0.10	VLT	FORS2	23 October 2019	5400	1.0	1.07
4FGL J0854.0 + 2753	0.03	GTC	OSIRIS	21 October 2019	6600	1.4	1.25
4FGL J1040.5 + 0617	0.02	GTC	OSIRIS	15 March 2019	7200	1.6	1.22
4FGL J1043.6 + 0654	0.03	VLT	FORS2	26 December 2019	5400	0.5	1.35
4FGL J1258.7-0452	0.02	VLT	FORS2	18 February 2020	5400	0.5	1.15
4FGL J1300.0 + 1753	0.03	GTC	OSIRIS	02 April 2019	9000	1.7	1.31
4FGL J1359.1-1152	0.08	VLT	FORS2	28 February 2020	2700	2.0	1.14
4FGL J1440.0-2343	0.10	VLT	FORS2	24 March 2020	2700	1.9	1.08
4FGL J1447.0-2657	0.11	VLT	FORS2	24 March 2020	5400	1.3	1.00
4FGL J2223.3 + 0102	0.06	VLT	FORS2	04 October 2019	5400	0.9	1.14
4FGL J2227.9 + 0036	0.05	VLT	FORS2	03 October 2019	2700	0.8	1.35
4FGL J2326.2 + 0113	0.03	VLT	FORS2	03 October 2019	5400	0.7	1.17

Note. Column 1: Name of the target ; Column 2: $E(B - V)$ taken from the NASA/IPAC Infrared Science Archive (<https://irsa.ipac.caltech.edu/applications/DUST/>); Column 3: Telescope used for the observation; Column 4: Date of the observation; Column 5: Total integration time (sec); Column 6: Average seeing during the observation (arcsec), Column 6: Air mass during the observation.

(La Palma) with the spectrograph OSIRIS (Cepa et al. 2003). For the other 10 targets the 8 m Very Large Telescope (VLT) at Paranal equipped with FORS2 (Appenzeller et al. 1998) was utilized. In the former case, the instrument was configured with the grism R1000B (slit width of 1.2 arcsec) that covers the spectral range 4100–7750 Å at a resolution $R \sim 600$. In the latter case, grism GRIS_300V + 10 was used (slit width of 1.3 arcsec) covering 4700–600 Å at $R \sim 200$.

Data reduction of the GTC observations was performed adopting standard IRAF procedures (Tody 1986, 1993) for long slit spectroscopy following the same scheme given in Paiano et al. (2017a). For FORS2 data, we adopted the reduction pipeline provided by the EsoReflex environment (Freudling et al. 2013) including extraction of 1D spectra.

In both cases, the accuracy of the wavelength calibration based on the scatter of the polynomial fit (pixel versus wavelength) is ~ 0.1 Å over the whole observed spectral range.

In order to perform an optimal correction of CRs and other artifacts, the observation of each source was divided in at least three individual exposures. This procedure allows us also to check for possible spurious features. The final spectrum results from the combination of all individual exposures.

Spectro-photometric standard stars were secured for each night in order to perform the relative flux calibration. In addition, we

assessed the absolute flux calibration from the measured magnitude of the source (see Table 3) as derived from the acquisition images obtained during the observation. For each acquisition image, we measure the observed magnitude of a number of stars with known magnitude from SDSS and/or Pan-STARRS catalogues and derive the calibration constant of the frame. In all cases the accuracy is better than 0.1 mag. We note that for about ~ 50 percent of the observed sources we found a difference of ~ 0.5 –1 mag between the present observations and previous epochs (see Section 5 and Tables 1 and 3).

Finally, all spectra were dereddened applying the extinction law of Cardelli, Clayton & Mathis (1989) and assuming the value of Galactic extinction $E(B - V)$ derived from the NASA/IPAC Infrared Science Archive⁴ (Schlafly & Finkbeiner 2011).

4 RESULTS

All flux calibrated and dereddened spectra of the 17 neutrino blazar candidates are displayed in Fig. 1. They are also available in the

⁴<https://irsa.ipac.caltech.edu/applications/DUST/>

Table 3. Properties of the optical spectra of the 17 neutrino candidate blazars studied in this work.

Object name	g	S/N	EW _{min} (Å)	z	Line type	α
4FGL J0224.2 + 1616	19.8 ± 0.1	70	0.40	>0.5	h	0.80 ± 0.2
4FGL J0239.5 + 1326	20.6 ± 0.2	45	0.50	>0.7	h	0.55 ± 0.15
4FGL J0244.7 + 1316	18.9 ± 0.1	110	–	0.9846 ± 0.0005	e	1.0 ± 0.2
4FGL J0344.4 + 3432	19.7 ± 0.2	45	0.55	>0.25	h	0.90 ± 0.2
4FGL J0525.6-2008	17.6 ± 0.1	200	–	0.0913 ± 0.0005	e,g	1.00 ± 0.2
4FGL J0649.5-3139	20.3 ± 0.2	210	0.20	>0.7	h	1.15 ± 0.2
4FGL J0854.0 + 2753	19.9 ± 0.1	15	–	0.4930 ± 0.0005	g	0.80 ± 0.2
4FGL J1040.5 + 0617	19.4 ± 0.1	120	–	0.740 ± 0.001	e	0.75 ± 0.15
4FGL J1043.6 + 0654	19.7 ± 0.1	150	0.20	>0.7	h	1.15 ± 0.2
4FGL J1258.7-0452	18.8 ± 0.1	170	–	0.4179 ± 0.0006	g	1.6 ± 0.3
4FGL J1300.0 + 1753	20.1 ± 0.2	40	0.7	>0.6	h	1.3 ± 0.3
4FGL J1359.1-1152	19.4 ± 0.1	65	–	0.242 ± 0.001	g	0.80 ± 0.1
4FGL J1440.0-2343	18.6 ± 0.1	90	–	0.309 ± 0.001	e,g	1.0 ± 0.2
4FGL J1447.0-2657	19.4 ± 0.1	80	–	0.3315 ± 0.0004	g	1.30 ± 0.2
4FGL J2223.3 + 0102	19.3 ± 0.1	150	0.15	>0.7	h	0.8 ± 0.10
4FGL J2227.9 + 0036	19.3 ± 0.1	105	–	>1.0935	i	0.7 ± 0.10
4FGL J2326.2 + 0113	19.9 ± 0.2	120	–	1.595 ± 0.001	e,i	0.25 ± 0.05

Note. Column 1: Name of the target; Column 2: Magnitude (g) measured from the acquisition image; Column 3: Median S/N of the spectrum; Column 4: Minimum equivalent width (EW_{min}) derived in the 5500–6500 Å range (the measure is provided only in case of featureless spectrum); Column 5: Redshift. The error is evaluated as combination of the uncertainty of the centroid of the features with the overall accuracy of the wavelength calibration; Column 6: Type of detected line to estimate the redshift: *e* = emission line, *g* = galaxy absorption line, *i* = intervening absorption assuming Mg II 2800 Å identification, *h* = lower limit derived on the lack of detection of host galaxy absorption lines assuming a BLL elliptical host galaxy with $M(R) = -22.9$. Since the distribution of BLL host galaxies has a dispersion (1σ) of ~ 0.5 mag, these limits may be change by 0.05–0.1 depending on the redshift limit (see details in Paiano et al. 2017a). Column 7: Spectral index α of the continuum described by a power law $F_\lambda \sim \lambda^{-\alpha}$

online data base ZBLAC⁵ (Landoni et al. 2020). For each spectrum, we evaluate the signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) in a number of spectral regions and the averaged value is given in Table 3.

From the spectroscopic point of view, we find that all observed targets but one, 4FGL J0244.7 + 1316, which has a quasar-like spectrum, can be classified as BLL. Their spectra are characterized by a power-law emission and in some cases also the signature of the host galaxy stellar population is present.

The spectra were carefully inspected in order to identify emission and/or absorption features. When a feature was found, we checked its reliability verifying that it is present in the individual exposures. For 9 of 17 targets, we are able to detect absorption and/or emission lines that allow us to determine a firm redshift, which ranges between 0.09 and 1.6 (see Table 3).

For six objects the optical spectrum is characteristic of BLL where both the non-thermal component and the host galaxy are clearly visible (Falomo et al. 2014). In Table 4, we report the EW of the main absorption features of the host galaxies. For these targets, we have decomposed the observed spectrum into a power law and a template for the host galaxy (Mannucci et al. 2001). The decomposition was obtained from a best fit of the two components with free parameters (nucleus-to-host ratio, spectral slope of the non-thermal component). In all above cases, the decomposition is a good representation of the observed spectrum (see Fig. 3). We find that the ratio (evaluated at 6500 Å) of these two components, the nucleus-to-host ratio (N/H), ranges from 0.3 to 5 (see also Section 5).

It is of interest to search for narrow emission lines as signature of recent star formation and/or nuclear activity in the BLL (Bressan et al. 2006; Paiano et al. 2017a). We measure the equivalent width (EW) of these features by integrating the flux of the line above the local linear continuum and estimated the dominant error by assuming slightly different continua (based on the 1σ level difference from the assumed continuum). In five objects, we detected weak and narrow emission lines due to [O II] (EW = 0.6 - 1.0 Å), [O III] (EW = 0.4–1.7 Å), [N II] (EW ~ 1.3 Å) and [S II] and [Ne V]. For three sources of known redshift no emission lines of [O II] and [O III] are detected and we set an upper limit of the line luminosity based on the estimated minimum EW at the expected wavelength of the line. Three sources exhibit broad emission lines due to [Mg II, C III] and [C II]. The properties of these emission lines are summarized in Table 5. For 4FGL J2227.9 + 0036 only absorption systems due to an intervening medium are observed and therefore only a lower limit to the redshift can be derived.

Finally, for the remaining seven sources the optical spectrum appears featureless and well described by a power-law continuum. For these objects, we evaluate the minimum detectable EW from which a lower limit of the redshift (0.25–0.7, see also Table 3) is derived following the procedure described in Paiano et al. (2017a). Briefly, it is assumed that the optical spectrum is the superposition of a non-thermal component described by a power law ($F_\lambda \sim \lambda^\alpha$) and the starlight component of an elliptical host galaxy of $M(R) = -22.9$ (Sbarufatti et al. 2005). Under the above assumption, the visibility of the stellar features depends on the nucleus-to-host ratio and therefore from the observed magnitude of the source and the minimum EW of the spectrum a lower limit to the redshift can be estimated. Since the distribution of the absolute magnitude of the host galaxies of

⁵<http://web.oapd.inaf.it/zblac/>





Figure 1. Flux calibrated and dereddened spectra of the neutrino candidate blazars obtained at GTC and VLT. The main telluric bands are indicated by \oplus , the absorption features from interstellar medium of our galaxies are labelled as IS (interstellar).

Figure 1. – *continued***Table 4.** Equivalent width of the main host galaxy absorption lines.

Object name	Redshift	Ca II (Å)	G band (Å)	Mg I 5175 (Å)	Na I 5892 (Å)
4FGL J0525.6-2008	0.0913	*	8.0 ± 1	25 ± 5	6 ± 1
4FGL J0854.0 + 2753	0.4930	18 ± 3	6 ± 2	*	*
4FGL J1258.7-045	0.4179	2.5 ± 0.5	1 ± 0.3	*	*
4FGL J1359.1-115	0.242	15 ± 1	6 ± 0.5	9 ± 1	*
4FGL J1440.0-234	0.309	3.5 ± 0.5	1.3 ± 0.2	3 ± 0.7	*
4FGL J1447.0-265	0.3315	6.5 ± 0.7	2.5 ± 0.8	18 ± 3	*

Note. Column 1: Name of the target; Column 2: Redshift; Column 3: EW of the doublet CaII 3934, 3968 Å; Column 4: EW of G-band 4304 Å; Column 5: EW of Mg I 5175 Å blend; Column 6: EW of Na I 5892 Å. EW measurements and errors follow the procedure outlined in Section 4 for the emission lines.

Table 5. Properties of the emission lines.

Object name	z	λ (Å)	EW (Å)	Line ID	L (line) (erg s ⁻¹)
4FGL J0244.7 + 1316	0.9846	5557	124 ± 3.0	Mg II 2800	1.5 × 10 ⁴⁴
		6795	0.65 ± 0.2	[Ne V] 3426	3.8 × 10 ⁴¹
		7396	0.90 ± 0.2	[O II] 3727	4.2 × 10 ⁴¹
4FGL J0525.6-2008	0.0913	5464	1.70 ± 0.3	[O III] 5007	2.2 × 10 ⁴⁰
		7184	1.35 ± 0.3	[N II] 6584	1.9 × 10 ⁴⁰
		7340	1.20 ± 0.2	[S II] 6716-6731	1.6 × 10 ⁴⁰
4FGL J1040.5 + 0617	0.740	4874	4.69 ± 0.5	Mg II 2800	1.1 × 10 ⁴²
		6468	0.58 ± 0.2	[O II] 3727	1.1 × 10 ⁴¹
4FGL J1258.7-0452	0.4179	5284	<0.3	[O II] 3727	≤ 3.0 × 10 ⁴⁰
		7099	<0.3	[O III] 5007	≤ 2.0 × 10 ⁴⁰
4FGL J1359.1-1152	0.242	6218	<0.6	[O III] 5007	≤ 2.1 × 10 ⁴⁰
4FGL J1440.0-2343	0.309	4878	0.98 ± 0.3	[O II] 3727	7.9 × 10 ⁴⁰
		6553	0.36 ± 0.2	[O III] 5007	2.8 × 10 ⁴⁰
4FGL J1447.0-2657	0.3315	4962	<0.6	[O II] 3727	≤ 2.8 × 10 ⁴⁰
		6667	<0.5	[O III] 5007	≤ 2.5 × 10 ⁴⁰
4FGL J2326.2 + 0113	1.595	4953	2.67 ± 0.6	C III] 1909	2.6 × 10 ⁴²
		6041	0.82 ± 0.3	C II] 2326	7.4 × 10 ⁴¹
		7272	0.81 ± 0.3	Mg II 2800	7.1 × 10 ⁴¹

Note. Column 1: Name of the target; Column 2: Redshift; Column 3: Barycentre of the detected line; Column 4: Measured equivalent width of the line; Column 5: Line identification; Column 6: Line luminosity.

BLLs has a width of ~ 1 mag (see Sbarufatti et al. 2005), the redshift lower limits given in Table 3 represent the most probable value. If the host galaxy of a source is 0.5 mag lower or higher than the assumed average value, the redshift limit will be greater or smaller by ~ 0.05 – 0.1 (depending on the redshift), respectively.

5 NOTES ON INDIVIDUAL SOURCES

4FGL J0224.2 + 1616: We obtained a high-quality S/N (~ 70) spectrum of the object ($g = 19.8$). The spectrum is characterized by a featureless continuum that is described by a power law ($\alpha \sim -0.80$) taking into account a significant reddening [$E(B - V) = 0.20$]. On the basis of the minimum EW (~ 0.4 Å) a lower limit of the redshift $z > 0.5$ can be set due to the lack of absorption by the starlight of the host galaxy. Under the above condition, in the spectral range from 5500 to 6500 Å we can set an upper limit to the flux for possible narrow emission lines $\lesssim 5 \times 10^{-17}$ erg cm⁻² s⁻¹.

4FGL J0239.5 + 1326: We secured a spectrum (S/N ~ 45) of the object (with a magnitude $g = 20.6$), characterized by a featureless continuum and described by a power law ($\alpha \sim -0.55$) considering the reddening with $E(B - V) = 0.10$. The upper limit on the EW for the lines is 0.5 Å and from the non-detection of absorption lines from the host galaxy, we set a lower limit of the redshift of $z > 0.7$. This condition implies a line flux $\lesssim 2.0 \times 10^{-17}$ erg cm⁻² s⁻¹ for possible narrow emission lines at the spectral range 5500–6500 Å.

4FGL J0244.7 + 1316: Our optical spectrum exhibits a prominent (EW ~ 124 Å, FWHM ~ 74 Å) emission line at 5557 Å identified as Mg II 2800 at $z = 0.9846$. Two additional narrow emission features attributed to [Ne V] and [O II] are clearly detected (see Table 5 and Fig. 2) at the same redshift. The object has a clear quasar-like appearance.

4FGL J0344.4 + 3432: Our spectrum of the target ($g = 19.7$) is featureless and described by a power law ($\alpha \sim -0.90$) with a

minimum detectable EW ~ 0.9 Å. This allows us to set a lower limit to the redshift $z > 0.25$ considering an elliptical host galaxy for the BLL. Under the above assumption, we set an upper limit line flux of $\lesssim 1.3 \times 10^{-16}$ erg cm⁻² s⁻¹ for possible narrow emission lines in the spectral range 5500–6500 Å.

4FGL J0525.6-2008: The optical spectrum shows the typical absorption features (G band, $H\beta$, Mg I, Ca + Fe, Na I) of the old stellar population characteristic of elliptical galaxies at $z = 0.0913$. Indeed from the images (see e.g. the Pan-STARRS images), the target appears as an elliptical galaxy of $g = 17.1$ (from aperture photometry of radius 2.7 arcsec). In addition to the absorption features, we also detect weak emission lines of [O III] 5007 (see Fig. 2), [N II] 6548, 6584, and [S II] 6716–6731 (see Table 5) at the same redshift. From the spectral decomposition (see Fig. 3), we derive a nucleus-to-host ratio $N/H \sim 4.5$ and $\alpha = -1.0$ for the nuclear power-law component.

4FGL J0649.5-3139: From the optical spectrum obtained by Peña-Herazo et al. (2017; and reported in the ZBLAC data base), an absorption feature at ~ 4400 Å was found. The identification with Mg II from intervening absorption sets a lower limit to the redshift $z \geq 0.563$. We obtain a better quality (S/N ~ 210) optical spectrum to search for possible emission lines in order to determine a firm redshift. Our spectrum is featureless and exhibits a continuum power law ($\alpha \sim -1.15$). No emission lines are present with EW > 0.20 Å corresponding to an upper limit of line flux of $\lesssim 9 \times 10^{-18}$ erg cm⁻² s⁻¹ for possible narrow emission lines in the spectral range 5500–6500 Å. We cannot confirm the absorption feature at ~ 4400 Å because it is outside our spectral range.

4FGL J0854.0 + 2753: A noisy SDSS spectrum suggests a redshift $z = 0.4937$. We obtained a moderate S/N spectrum for which we clearly detect the absorption features of Ca II (at 5873, 5925 Å) and G band (at 6426 Å), obtaining $z = 0.4930$.

4FGL J1040.5 + 0617: The tentative redshift $z = 0.735$ was proposed by Maselli et al. (2015) on the basis of a single weak




Figure 2. Some examples of close-ups around the detected spectral lines of the spectra of the neutrino candidate blazars obtained at GTC and VLT. Main telluric bands are indicated by \oplus ; spectral lines are marked by line identification. The red solid line for the source 4FGL J0525.6-2008 is the fit of the spectrum as combination of an elliptical galaxy spectrum and a power-law continuum (see Fig. 3): this allows us to highlight the detection of the [O III] 5007 emission line.




Figure 3. Spectral decomposition of the observed optical spectrum (the black line) of some target of our sample into a power law (the green-dashed line) and a template of elliptical for the host galaxy (the blue line). The fit is given by the red solid line (see text in Section 4 for details). On each panel the nucleus to host ratio is given.

emission line from a SDSS spectrum. We obtained a high quality $S/N \sim 120$ spectrum that allows us to clearly detect a broad emission line ($EW = 4.69 \pm 0.5 \text{ \AA}$) attributed to $Mg \text{ II } 2800$ at 4874 \AA and a narrow line due to $[\text{O II}] 3727$ at 6468 \AA (see the close up in Fig. 2). The redshift is $z = 0.740$.

4FGL J1043.6 + 0654: We obtain a high quality S/N (~ 150) of the source ($g = 19.4$). The spectrum is characterized by a featureless continuum and well described by a power law with $\alpha = -1.15$. No emission or absorption lines are detected down to $EW \sim 0.20 \text{ \AA}$ that yields a lower limit of the redshift of $z > 0.7$ and a line flux

$\lesssim 1.2 \times 10^{-17} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for possible narrow emission lines at the spectral range $5500\text{--}6500 \text{ \AA}$.

4FGL J1258.7-0452: In our spectrum the Ca II absorption doublet is apparent at $5576, 5627 \text{ \AA}$ (see Fig. 2) and, together with the G band absorption line at 6103 \AA , yields $z = 0.4179$. No emission lines are present with $EW > 0.3 \text{ \AA}$. This corresponds to an $[\text{O II}]$ and an $[\text{O III}]$ line luminosity $\lesssim 3.0 \times 10^{40} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ and $\lesssim 2 \times 10^{40} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$, respectively. The decomposition of the spectrum (see Fig. 3) provides a $N/H \sim 5$, and $\alpha = -1.6$ for the nuclear power-law component.

4FGL J1300.0 + 1753: A noisy SDSS spectrum does not display any significant features. Our spectrum ($S/N \sim 40$) is dominated by a power-law-continuum emission with $\alpha \sim -1.30$. No features are detected down to $EW = 0.7 \text{ \AA}$, corresponding to a lower limit of $z > 0.6$ based on the non-detection of the absorption features from the starlight of the elliptical host galaxy. We can set an upper limit line flux of $\sim 2.5 \times 10^{-17} \text{ erg cm}^{-2}$ for narrow emission lines in the spectral range 5500–6500 \AA .

4FGL J1359.1-1152: Our spectrum is characterized by the typical absorption lines (Ca II, G band, H β Mg I, Ca + Fe, Na I, and H α) due to old stellar population of the host galaxy at the redshift $z = 0.242$. No emission line are detected down to $EW = 0.6 \text{ \AA}$. This corresponds to an [O III] line luminosity $\lesssim 2.1 \times 10^{40} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$. From the decomposition into template galaxy and a non-thermal emission (see Fig. 3), we find an $N/H \sim 0.5$, and $\alpha = -0.8$ for the nuclear power-law component.

4FGL J1440.0-2343: The spectrum is characterized by a non-thermal continuum with the signature of Ca II doublet and G -band absorption features (see Fig. 2) from the host galaxy at $z = 0.309$. In addition we detect emission lines at 4878 \AA ($EW = 1.0 \text{ \AA}$) and 6553 \AA ($EW = 0.4 \text{ \AA}$), which we identify with [O II] and [O III] at the same redshift. The host galaxy is well detected in the decomposition (see Fig. 3) where $N/H = 2.5$ and the power-law index $\alpha \sim -1$ of the nuclear component are estimated.

4FGL J1447.0-2657: The spectrum shows the absorption lines due to Ca II, G band, and H β attributed to the stellar population of the host galaxy at $z = 0.3315$. No emission lines are detected in the spectrum. The upper limit on the EW is $\sim 0.5 \text{ \AA}$ that corresponds to an [O II] and [O III] line flux of $\lesssim 7.8$ and $6.5 \times 10^{-17} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and a line luminosity upper limit $\lesssim 2.8$ and $2.5 \times 10^{40} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$. From the decomposition (see Fig. 3), the spectrum is characterized by a non-thermal power-law continuum with $\alpha \sim -1.3$ and we find an $N/H = 1.5$.

4FGL J2223.3 + 0102: There are two spectra secured by the SDSS survey. In both cases, no convincing absorption or emission features are apparent. We obtained a higher quality spectrum of the target ($g \sim 19.3$). The continuum is well described by a power-law index of $\alpha = -0.80$. In spite of the much higher S/N (~ 150) no spectral features are detected with $EW > 0.15 \text{ \AA}$ and this implies an upper limit to the line flux $\lesssim 1.5 \times 10^{-17} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for possible narrow emission lines in the spectral range 5500–6500 \AA . From the non-detection of the absorption lines of the host galaxy, we set a redshift lower limit of $z > 0.7$.

4FGL J2227.9 + 0036: The spectrum is dominated by a non-thermal continuum well described by a power law ($\alpha = -0.70$). We detect an absorption doublet at 5853, 5868 \AA and $EW = 1.30, 0.80 \text{ \AA}$ (see Figs 1 and 2) that corresponds to a Mg II intervening absorption system at $z = 1.0935$. This feature is also present in a medium-quality SDSS spectrum, but it was not correctly identified because such features are not searched for by the SDSS pipeline. Two additional absorption lines are found at 4988 \AA ($EW = 0.70 \text{ \AA}$) and 5443 \AA ($EW = 0.30 \text{ \AA}$) corresponding to Fe II (2382, 2600) at the same redshift. Therefore, the target is at $z > 1.0935$. No emission lines are detected in the spectrum. Taking into account the redshift lower limit, the [O II] emission lines can be located at $\gtrsim 7800 \text{ \AA}$ where

the minimum EW is 0.5 \AA . This corresponds to a line flux $\lesssim 3.5 \times 10^{-17} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$.

4FGL J2326.2 + 0113: The SDSS spectrum shows some emission lines, which suggest a redshift $z = 1.59$. We obtained a better S/N (~ 120) spectrum that is characterized by a power-law continuum ($\alpha \sim -0.25$). We clearly detect emission lines of [C III], [C II], and Mg II at $z = 1.597$ (see Fig. 1 and Table 5). In addition, we also detect an Mg II intervening absorption system at $z = 1.084$.

6 SUMMARY AND FUTURE PERSPECTIVES

We presented optical spectroscopy of 17 extragalactic sources that are candidate for being the astronomical counterparts of neutrino events. Apart from one target, which has a quasar-like spectrum (4FGL J0244.7 + 1316), all of the remaining objects have a spectral shape that is well consistent with a BLL classification. We clearly detected absorption and/or emission features in the spectra of 10 targets. For nine of them, we are able to determine a firm redshift ($0.09 < z < 1.6$) from absorption lines due to the old stellar population of their host galaxies and in five cases also from the emission lines. For one source (4FGL J2227.9 + 0036), we set a spectroscopic lower limit to the redshift, based on the detection of intervening absorption systems attributed to Mg II and Fe II. Five sources show in their optical spectra the clear modulation due to the flux from the host galaxy (see Fig. 3). The closest object ($z = 0.0913$) in our sample is 4FGL J0525.6-2008 and its optical spectrum is fully dominated by the host galaxy. Despite the good quality of the spectra in seven objects, we do not detect any spectral feature as is expected for this class of sources. Nevertheless for these, we can estimate a lower limit to the redshift from the absence of the absorption lines of their host galaxy. Only for five sources, the spectrum exhibits emission features (see Table 5). These are forbidden lines attributed to [O II], [O III], and [N II] of luminosity $(1-4) \times 10^{41}$, $\sim 3 \times 10^{40}$, and $\sim 2 \times 10^{41} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$, respectively. Moreover, semiforbidden lines of [C III] and [C II] are revealed in the high redshift ($z = 1.595$) BLL 4FGL J2326.2 + 0113 and a broad emission line of Mg II 2800 \AA is found in the spectra of two targets. Overall, the spectral properties of the objects in this study are similar to those of a sample of 55 hard γ -ray BLL investigated by Paiano et al. (2020b) both as regards the continuum shape and redshift range of the objects. In both samples, when weak [O III] 5007 emission line is detected, their luminosity is comparable (in the range $L_{[\text{O III}]} = (2 \text{ erg s}^{-1})$). These issues will be fully discussed in the second paper of this series.

We will be using the results of this paper to carry out a detailed investigation of the nature of these blazars. In particular, following Padovani et al. (2019) and based on the continuum and line powers, and the overall SEDs, we will be assessing if any of these blazars can be classified as ‘masquerading’, as is the case for TXS 0506+056, or extreme BLL as 3HSP J095507.9 + 355101. Moreover, to study in depth the neutrino – blazar connection with a statistically meaningful sample, a model of their photon, and neutrino SEDs using a leptohadronic code (e.g. Petropoulou et al. 2020) will be explored.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We acknowledge helpful discussions and suggestions by Maria Petropoulou and Foteini Oikonomou. This work is based on observations collected at the European Southern Observatory under ESO programme 0104.B-0032(A) and Gran Telescopio Canarias under the programme GTC24-19B. Funding for the Sloan Digital Sky

Survey IV has been provided by the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation, the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science, and the Participating Institutions. Sloan Digital Sky Survey-IV acknowledges support and resources from the Center for High Performance Computing at the University of Utah. The Sloan Digital Sky Survey website is www.sdss.org. Sloan Digital Sky Survey-IV is managed by the Astrophysical Research Consortium for the Participating Institutions of the Sloan Digital Sky Survey Collaboration including the Brazilian Participation Group, the Carnegie Institution for Science, Carnegie Mellon University, Center for Astrophysics | Harvard & Smithsonian, the Chilean Participation Group, the French Participation Group, Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, The Johns Hopkins University, Kavli Institute for the Physics and Mathematics of the Universe (IPMU) / University of Tokyo, the Korean Participation Group, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Leibniz Institut für Astrophysik Potsdam (AIP), Max-Planck-Institut für Astronomie (MPIA Heidelberg), Max-Planck-Institut für Astrophysik (MPA Garching), Max-Planck-Institut für Extraterrestrische Physik (MPE), National Astronomical Observatories of China, New Mexico State University, New York University, University of Notre Dame, Observatório Nacional / MCTI, The Ohio State University, Pennsylvania State University, Shanghai Astronomical Observatory, United Kingdom Participation Group, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, University of Arizona, University of Colorado Boulder, University of Oxford, University of Portsmouth, University of Utah, University of Virginia, University of Washington, University of Wisconsin, Vanderbilt University, and Yale University. SP dedicates this work to her newborn son Michele.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The flux-calibrated and dereddened spectra are available in our online data base ZBLAC, at <http://web.oapd.inaf.it/zblac/>

REFERENCES

- Aartsen M. G. et al., 2020, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 124, 051103
 Abdo A. A. et al., 2010, *ApJ*, 716, 30
 Ahumada R. et al., 2020, *ApJS*, 249, 3
 Appenzeller I. et al., 1998, *ESO Messenger*, 94, 1
 Aublin J., 2019, *ICRC*, 36, 840
 Bateau J. et al., 2020, *Nat. Astron.*, 4, 124
 Bressan A., Falomo R., Valdés J. R., Rampazzo R., 2006, *ApJ*, 645, L101
 Cardelli J. A., Clayton G. C., Mathis J. S., 1989, *ApJ*, 345, 245
 Cepa J. et al., 2003, in Iye M., Moorwood A. F. M., eds, *Proc. SPIE Conf. Ser.*, Vol. 4841, *Instrument Design and Performance for Optical/Infrared Ground-based Telescopes*. SPIE, Bellingham, p. 1739
 Abdalla H., et al., 2021, *JCAP*, 2021, 048
 de Menezes R. et al., 2020, *Ap&SS*, 365, 12
 Falomo R., Pian E., Treves A., 2014, *A&AR*, 22, 73
 Freudling W. et al., 2013, *A&A*, 559, A964
 Giommi P., Glauch T., Padovani P., Resconi E., Turcati A., Chang Y. L., 2020a, *MNRAS*, 497, 865
 Giommi P., Padovani P., Oikonomou F., Glauch T., Paiano S., Resconi E., 2020b, *A&A*, 640, L4
 Hook I. M., Shaver P. A., Jackson C. A., Wall J. V., Kellermann K. I., 2003, *A&A*, 399, 469
 IceCube Collaboration, 2018, *Science*, 361, 147
 IceCube Collaboration, 2018, *Science*, 361, eaat1378
 Jones D. H. et al., 2009, *MNRAS*, 399, 683
 Landoni M., Paiano S., Falomo R., Scarpa R., Treves A., 2018, *ApJ*, 861, 130
 Landoni M., Falomo R., Paiano S., Treves A., 2020, *ApJS*, 250, 37
 Lucarelli F. et al., 2019, *ApJ*, 870, 136

- Mannucci F., Basile F., Poggianti B. M., Cimatti A., Daddi E., Pozzetti L., Vanzi L., 2001, *MNRAS*, 326, 745
 Maselli A., Massaro F., D'Abrusco R., Cusumano G., La Parola V., Segreto A., Tosti G., 2015, *Ap&SS*, 357, 141
 Massaro F., Masetti N., D'Abrusco R., Paggi A., Funk S., 2014, *AJ*, 148, 66
 Massaro E., Maselli A., Leto C., Marchegiani P., Perri M., Giommi P., Piranomonte S., 2015, *Ap&SS*, 357, 75
 Massaro F. et al., 2015, *A&A*, 575, A124
 Padovani P., Giommi P., 1995, *ApJ*, 444, 567
 Padovani P., Resconi E., Giommi P., Arsioli B., Chang Y. L., 2016, *MNRAS*, 457, 3582
 Padovani P. et al., 2017, *A&AR*, 25, 2
 Padovani P., Giommi P., Resconi E., Glauch T., Arsioli B., Sahakyan N., Huber M., 2018, *MNRAS*, 480, 192
 Padovani P., Oikonomou F., Petropoulou M., Giommi P., Resconi E., 2019, *MNRAS*, 484, L104
 Paiano S., Landoni M., Falomo R., Treves A., Scarpa R., Righi C., 2017, *ApJ*, 837, 144
 Paiano S., Landoni M., Falomo R., Treves A., Scarpa R., 2017, *ApJ*, 844, 120
 Paiano S., Falomo R., Franceschini A., Treves A., Scarpa R., 2017, *ApJ*, 851, 135
 Paiano S., Falomo R., Treves A., Scarpa R., 2018, *ApJ*, 854, L32
 Paiano S., Falomo R., Treves A., Franceschini A., Scarpa R., 2019, *ApJ*, 871, 162
 Paiano S., Padovani P., Falomo R., Giommi P., Scarpa R., Treves A., 2019, *ATel*, 13202
 Paiano S. et al., 2020, *MNRAS*, 495, L108
 Paiano S., Falomo R., Treves A., Scarpa R., 2020, *MNRAS*, 497, 94
 Patrignani C., Particle Data Group, 2016, *ChPhC*, 40, 100001, 528
 Peña-Herazo H. A. et al., 2017, *Ap&SS*, 362, 228
 Petropoulou M., Dimitrakoudis S., Padovani P., Mastichiadis A., Resconi E., 2015, *MNRAS*, 448, 2412
 Petropoulou M., Oikonomou F., Mastichiadis A., Murase K., Padovani P., Vasilopoulos G., Giommi P., 2020, *ApJ*, 899, 113
 Righi C., Tavecchio F., Inoue S., 2019, *MNRAS*, 483, L127
 Sandrinelli A., Treves A., Falomo R., Farina E. P., Foschini L., Landoni M., Sbarufatti B., 2013, *AJ*, 146, 163
 Sbarufatti B., Treves A., Falomo R., 2005, *ApJ*, 635, 173
 Sbarufatti B., Treves A., Falomo R., Heidt J., Kotilainen J., Scarpa R., 2006, *AJ*, 132, 1
 Schlafly E. F., Finkbeiner D. P., 2011, *ApJ*, 737, 103
 Schneider A., 2019, *PoS, ICRC2019*, 1004
 Shaw M. S. et al., 2013, *ApJ*, 764, 135
 Stettner J., 2019, *PoS, ICRC2019*, 1017
 Tody D., 1986, in Crawford D. L., ed., *Proc. SPIE Conf. Ser. Vol. 627, Instrumentation in Astronomy VI*. SPIE, Bellingham, p. 733
 Tody D., 1993, in Hanisch R. J., Brissenden R. J. V., Barnes J., eds, *ASP Conf. Ser. Vol. 52, Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems II*. Astron. Soc. Pac., San Francisco, p. 173
 Urry C. M., Padovani P., 1995, *PASP*, 107, 803

APPENDIX A: SPECTROSCOPY OF ADDITIONAL SOURCES

Here, we focus on the seven sources that were considered in a preliminary list of possible counterparts of the neutrino events. They have been eliminated from the list of 47 objects of Giommi et al 2020 (see Table 1) because either they do not belong to the 4FGL catalogue or the angular separations with respect to the neutrino events do not fulfill the criteria given in the Section 2. The list of these additional sources is given in Table A1, the journal of observations in Table A2 and the spectral properties and redshifts are reported in Tables A3 and A4. All flux calibrated and dereddened spectra of these seven sources are displayed in Fig. A1. Three objects have a quasar-like line spectrum (FL8YJ1302.5+2037, 4FGL J2133.0+2610, 4FGL J2311.7+2604) and are among the

most distant ones discussed in this paper. The other four appear bona fide BLL. The redshift of two derives from emission lines (4FGL J1339.0-2400 and 4FGL J2325.6+1644). Finally, for one object (4FGL J2255.1 + 2411) a robust lower limit to the redshift is derived from an Mg II intervening absorption (see also Paiano et al. 2019b).

A1 Notes on individual sources

FL8YJ1302.5 + 2037: The object is reported in the FL8Y γ -ray catalogue, but not in the 4FGL. In our spectrum, we clearly detect strong and broad (EW ranging from ~ 33 to 127 \AA) emission lines attributed to C IV, He II, C III] and Mg II (see Table A.3) that lead to a redshift $z = 1.738$. The target can be classified as a quasar.

4FGL J1339.0-2400: We obtained a high-quality ($S/N \sim 220$) spectrum of the target ($g = 18.9$) that exhibits faint emission lines attributed to [O II], [Ne III], and [O III]. This yields a redshift of $z = 0.655$, confirming the proposed uncertain redshift by Hook et al. (2003).

4FGL J1455.0 + 0247: This source was observed by Sandrinelli et al. (2013) and by the SDSS and no emission/absorption lines were detected. Our ($S/N \sim 65$) spectrum of the source ($g = 20.2$) is still featureless and from the estimated minimum EW $\sim 0.50 \text{ \AA}$ we can set a redshift lower limit $z > 0.65$.

4FGL J2133.0 + 2610: Our spectrum exhibits a prominent broad emission line (see Table A3) that if attributed to Mg II yields a redshift $z = 1.139$. In addition, we detected two absorption doublets at 5340 , 5369 \AA and 5774 , 5788 \AA due to a Fe II and Mg II intervening system, respectively, corresponding to a $z = 1.065$.

4FGL J2255.1 + 2411: The source has been also recently proposed as a possible counterpart of five ANTARES neutrino events with energy ranging from 3 to 40 TeV (see Aublin 2019; Paiano et al. 2019b; Giommi et al. 2020a). In our very high-quality ($S/N = 550$) spectrum, we detect a Mg II intervening system at 5209 , 5222 \AA allowing us to set the spectroscopic redshift lower limit $z \geq 0.863$. In previous spectra (Shaw et al. 2013; Massaro et al. 2015, and the SDSS survey), no emission or absorption lines are identified but the feature at 5209 , 5222 \AA is visible.

4FGL J2311.7 + 2604: In our spectrum, we clearly detected broad emission lines attributed to C IV, He II, C III], and Mg II (see Table A3). This implies a redshift of $z = 1.7425$, confirming the value of the SDSS spectrum.

4FGL J2325.6 + 1644: In the literature, Massaro et al. (2015) report an optical spectrum of modest S/N without evident features. In our spectrum, we detect two narrow emission lines due to forbidden transitions attributed to [O II] and [O III] (see Table A3), yielding $z = 0.4817$.

Table A1. Additional neutrino candidate blazars.

Object Name	Counterpart	IC event	RA (J2000)	Dec. (J2000)	Magnitude	$\nu_{\text{peak}}^{\text{syn}}$	Redshift	Reference
FL8Y J1302.5 + 2037	CRATES J130215 + 203911	IC-151017A	13:02:14.6	+ 20:39:18.6	20.1	12.5	?	NED
4FGL J1339.0-2400	5BZU J1339-2401	IC-131202A	13:39:01.7	−24:01:14.0	18.2	14.1	0.665?	NED
4FGL J1455.0 + 0247	5BZB J1455 + 0250	IC-111201A	14:55:07.4	+ 02:50:40.1	19.7	14.3	?	*
4FGL J2133.0 + 2610	NVSS J213252 + 261143	IC-150714A	21:32:52.9	+ 26:11:43.8	20.5	13.0	?	*
4FGL J2255.1 + 2411	MG3 J225517 + 2409	IC-100608A	22:55:15.3	+ 24:10:11.3	18.6	14.7	?	SDSS
4FGL J2311.7 + 2604	MG3 J231144 + 2604	IC-100608A	23:11:45.8	+ 26:04:47.8	21.2	13.0	1.74	SDSS
4FGL J2325.6 + 1644	NVSS J232538 + 164641	IC-140522A	23:25:38.1	+ 16:46:42.2	18.2	15.3	?	ZBLLAC

Note. Column 1: Fermi name of the target in the 4FGL catalogue; Column 2: Counterpart name of the γ -ray source; Column 3: IceCube track; Column 4: Angular separation (degrees) between the target and the centroid of the IceCube track; Column 5–6: Right ascension and declination of the optical counterpart; Column 7: g magnitude from SDSS survey and PANSTARRs; Column 8: Frequency of the synchrotron peak ($\nu_{\text{peak}}^{\text{syn}}$); Column 9: Redshift from the literature.

Table A2. Journal of the observations of additional neutrino candidate blazars.

Object name	$E(B - V)$	Telescope	Date	t_{Exp} (s)	Seeing (arcsec)	Air mass
FL8Y J1302.5 + 2037	0.02	GTC	2 February 2020	6600	2.0	1.02
4FGL J1339.0-2400	0.07	VLT	2 February 2020	5400	0.8	1.09
4FGL J1455.0 + 0247	0.04	VLT	21 March 2020	5400	1.4	1.31
4FGL J2133.0 + 2610	0.11	GTC	12 October 2019	6600	1.7	1.32
4FGL J2255.1 + 2411	0.06	GTC	4 October 2019	21 600	0.9	1.10
4FGL J2311.7 + 2604	0.05	GTC	21 October 2019	4500	2.0	1.14
4FGL J2325.6 + 1644	0.03	GTC	09 October 2019	3000	1.0	1.13

Note. Column 1: Name of the target ; Column 2: $E(B - V)$ taken from the NASA/IPAC Infrared Science Archive (<https://irsa.ipac.caltech.edu/applications/DUST/>); Column 3: Telescope used for the observation; Column 4: Date of the observation; Column 5: Total integration time (s); Column 6: Average seeing during the observation (arcsec).

Table A3. Spectral properties of the additional neutrino candidate blazars.

Object name	g	S/N	EW_{min} (\AA)	z	Line type	α
FL8Y J1302.5 + 2037	20.1	35	—	1.738	e,i	1.2 ± 0.2
4FGL J1339.0-2400	18.9	220	—	0.655	e	0.3 ± 0.1
4FGL J1455.0 + 0247	20.2	65	0.50	>0.65	h	0.0 ± 0.1
4FGL J2133.0 + 2610	20.3	20	—	1.139	e,i	1.2 ± 0.3
4FGL J2255.1 + 2411	17.5	550	0.10	>0.863	i	0.95 ± 0.1
4FGL J2311.7 + 2604	21.6	10	—	1.7425	e	1.1 ± 0.1
4FGL J2325.6 + 1644	18.7	100	—	0.4817	e	0.9 ± 0.1

Note. Column 1: Name of the target; Column 2: Magnitude (g) measured from the acquisition image; Column 3: Median S/N of the spectrum; Column 4: Minimum equivalent width (EW_{min}) derived in the 5500–6500 \AA range (the measure is provided only in case of featureless spectrum); Column 5: Redshift; Column 6: Type of detected line to estimate the redshift: e = emission line, g = galaxy absorption line, i = intervening absorption assuming Mg II 2800 \AA identification, h = lower limit derived on the lack of detection of host galaxy absorption lines assuming a BLL elliptical host galaxy with $M(R) = -22.9$ (see details in Paiano et al. 2017a). Column 7: Spectral index α of the continuum described by a power law $F_{\lambda} \sim \lambda^{-\alpha}$

Table A4. Properties of the emission lines.

Object name	z	λ (Å)	EW (Å)	Line ID	L (line) (erg s ⁻¹)
FL8Y J1302.5 + 2037	1.738	4242	127 ± 5	C IV 1549	5.6×10^{44}
		4491	33 ± 2	He II 1640	4.7×10^{43}
		5226	95 ± 3	C III] 1909	1.5×10^{44}
		7666	19 ± 5	Mg II 2800	1.2×10^{43} *
4FGL J1339.0-2400	0.655	6168	1.3 ± 0.3	[O II] 3727	4.0×10^{41}
		6403	0.50 ± 0.2	[Ne III] 3869	1.5×10^{41}
		8287	2.2 ± 0.2	[O III] 5007	6.2×10^{41}
		5989	50 ± 3	Mg II 2800	3.5×10^{43}
4FGL J2133.0 + 2610	1.139	4246	155 ± 6	C IV 1549	2.0×10^{44}
4FGL J2311.7 + 2604	1.7425	4499	66 ± 4	He II 1640	2.5×10^{43}
		5235	16 ± 2	C III] 1909	5.6×10^{42}
		7679	8 ± 3	Mg II 2800	1.9×10^{42} *
		5522	0.70 ± 0.2	[O II] 3727	1.0×10^{41}
4FGL J2325.6 + 1644	0.4817	7419	0.50 ± 0.2	[O III] 5007	4.8×10^{40}

Notes. Column 1: Name of the target; Column 2: Redshift; Column 3: Barycentre of the detected line; Column 4: Measured equivalent width of the line; Column 5: Line identification; Column 6: Line luminosity.

(*) These lines are partially blended with the telluric band.




Figure A1. Flux calibrated and dereddened spectra of the neutrino candidate blazars obtained at GTC and VLT. The main telluric bands are indicated by \oplus , the absorption features from interstellar medium of our galaxies are labelled as IS (interstellar).

This paper has been typeset from a \LaTeX file prepared by the author.