# Practice Parameters for the Use of Spinal Cord Stimulation in the Treatment of Chronic Neuropathic Pain

#### **Developers and Contributors**

#### Principal author

Richard North, MD, The Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD\*; Immediate Past President, North American Neuromodulation Society

#### Contributing author/editor

Jane Shipley, BA, The Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD

### The contributions of the following are gratefully acknowledged:

Chair of the Neuromodulation Therapy Access Coalition:

Joshua Prager, MD, California Pain Medicine Center and Center for Rehabilitation of Pain Syndromes at UCLA, Los Angeles, CA; President, The North American Neuromodulation Society

Members of the Neuromodulation Therapy Access Coalition:

Giancarlo Barolat, MD, Barolat Institute, Long Tree, CO; Director, The North American Neuromodulation Society

Mark Barulich, Advanced Neuromodulation Systems, Inc., Plano, TX

Marshall Bedder, MD, Coastal Pain Management and Rehabilitation, Bradenton, FL; Vice President, The North American Neuromodulation Society

Aaron Calodney, MD, NeuroCare Network, Tyler, TX; International Spine Intervention Society

David Caraway, MD, St. Mary's Pain Relief Center, Huntington, WV; American Society of Anesthesiologists

AnnaMarie Daniels, Advanced Bionics Corporation (A Boston Scientific Company), Sylmar, CA

\*Effective August 1, 2007, Dr. North's address is: Life Bridge Brain & Spine Institute, 5051 Greenspring Avenue, Baltimore, MD 21209. Tel: 410-601-1950.

Timothy Deer, MD, The Center for Pain Relief, Charleston, WV; Director, The North American Neuromodulation Society

Oscar DeLeon, MD, Roswell Park Cancer Institute, Buffalo, NY

Scott Drees, Advanced Neuromodulation Systems, Inc., Plano, TX

Mary Fautsch, Medtronic, Inc., Minneapolis, MN

William Fehrenbach, Medtronic, Inc., Minneapolis, MN

John Hernandez, PhD, Advanced Bionics Corporation (A Boston Scientific Company), Sylmar, CA

David Kloth, MD, Connecticut Pain Care, Danbury, CT; President, American Society of Interventional Pain Physicians

Elliot S. Krames, MD, Pacific Pain Treatment Centers, San Francisco, CA; President, International Neuromodulation Society; Editor-in-Chief, Neuromodulation: Technology at the Neural Interface; Director, The North American Neuromodulation Society

Timothy Lubenow, MD, Pain Center, Rush University Medical Center, Chicago, IL

Richard North, MD, The Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD; Immediate Past President, North American Neuromodulation Society

Richard Osenbach, MD, Duke University, Durham, NC; Chairman, Joint Section on Pain, American Association of Neurological Surgeons and Congress of Neurological Surgeons/CNS Section on Pain

Sunil J. Panchal, MD, National Institute of Pain and COPE Foundation, Tampa, FL; American Academy of Pain Medicine

Todd Sitzman, MD, Center for Pain Management, Hattiesburg, MS; American Academy of Pain Medicine

Peter Staats, MD, Premier Pain Centers, Shrewsbury, NJ; American Pain Society

Jon Tremmel, Medtronic, Inc, Minneapolis, MN Todd Wetzel, MD, Temple University School of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA; North American Spine Society

#### **Financial Disclosure**

Neither Dr. North nor Ms. Shipley receives direct funding from the three manufacturers of spinal cord stimulation (SCS) systems; however, their employer, The Johns Hopkins University, has received research support from the three companies. Dr. North has received no funding or salary support for his contribution to this project. Ms. Shipley has received support from The North American Neuromodulation Society for her work on this project. The North American Neuromodulation Society receives support from the SCS manufacturers. Some Neuromodulation Therapy Access Coalition members are employed by the SCS manufacturers, as is disclosed in the list of contributors. All other members are disclosing any support from the SCS manufacturers.

Although coalition members have contributed their expertise to this project, neither the views nor the special interests of the SCS manufacturers have influenced the information presented in this document. The work of Dr. North and Ms. Shipley in compiling and editing this document is independent of industry influence. This statement, though straightforward, is, of course, impossible to prove. Indeed, one of the outside reviewers of this document conflated industry involvement with industry influence. Our submission of this document to a journal that follows the peer-review process—a process designed to identify bias signals that we have taken every step possible to ensure that our presentation of these practice parameters is balanced and based on clinical reality as well as on information gained from the results of clinical trials.

#### **Acknowledgment**

We thank The AGREE Collaboration [1] for publishing the *Appraisal of Guidelines Research & Evaluation (AGREE) Instrument*, which guided the development and evaluation of our practice parameters.

#### **ABSTRACT**

Introduction. Physicians, policy makers, and other interested parties require a synthesized, critical,

and clear compilation of the following information to optimize spinal cord stimulation (SCS) for neuropathic pain: 1) indications and potential beneficial outcomes; 2) answers to key clinical questions; 3) cost/resource use implications; and 4) the quality and source of the evidence. This information must be nonjudgmental and noncoercive and have the sole objective of increasing the reader's expertise.

Study Design. Evidence-based literature review and consensus statement.

Methods. We consulted with experts to identify clinical-practice questions. Then, we conducted a critical literature review (MEDLINE, EMBASE, 1967 through March 2007) to grade treatment practices from "options" to "recommended." We created a bibliographical database of all citations pertinent to each practice question. Several experts not otherwise involved reviewed the draft document.

Results. We answered 64 questions covering 1) indications; 2) potential beneficial outcomes; 3) prognostic factors; 4) patient selection for screening trial; 5) procedural risk management; 6) screening trial; 7) device options; 8) patient management; 9) factors affecting the delivery and quality of SCS treatment; and 10) costeffectiveness. Most of our more than 300 references are cited multiple times (in each pertinent category). This is the first overview that seeks to categorize and place the entire SCS clinical literature at the disposal of the reader. It presents the first grading system that incorporates, among other evidence sources, an assessment of the likelihood of a favorable outcome based on the evidence provided by expert consensus combined with consideration of the risk and potential benefit of an action.

Conclusions. The Practice Parameters for Spinal Cord Stimulation in the Treatment of Neuropathic Pain answers important questions. It assesses supporting evidence and provides specific citations to enable the reader to conduct further study. The document will be updated regularly in a Webbased version.

Key Words. Spinal Cord Stimulation; Neuropathic Pain; Indications; Patient Selection; Outcomes; Prognostic Factors; Risk Management; Device Options; Patient Management; Delivery of Health Care; Quality of Health Care; Cost-Effectiveness S202 North and Shipley

#### **Executive Summary**

Spinal cord stimulation (SCS) is a reversible pain therapy applied with sophisticated techniques that include multi-output implanted pulse generators and a choice of electrodes, some of which can be placed percutaneously. Patients must pass an SCS screening trial before undergoing implantation of a permanent system. The technical goal of the screening trial is to achieve stimulation paresthesia at a subjectively comfortable level, overlapping a patient's topography of pain. Technical success, however, is not sufficient to ensure clinical success. Some patients with complete coverage of the topography of pain report little or no pain relief.

These practice parameters provide a synthesized, critical, and clear compilation of the information needed to optimize the use of SCS as a treatment of chronic neuropathic pain.

#### Target Audience

- Health care providers adopting best practices for referral and treatment;
- Medical school faculty improving education and training;
- Scientists identifying research targets;
- Policy makers;
- Device manufacturers identifying research and development targets;
- Insurers quantifying and improving costeffectiveness;
- · Patients making informed decisions; and
- The general public.

Although the information in this document might influence or reinforce physician choices, it is not intended to be coercive or judgmental. The evidence that supports the clinical decisions and treatment options is presented and graded with the sole objective of increasing the confidence of clinicians who wish to incorporate this information into their practices. In addition, the information provided has important implications for the cost and consumption of health care resources, which will be appropriately noted.

These practice parameters will apply to the majority of patients; in each case, however, the answers (summarized below) to the clinical-practice questions are useful for individualizing treatment and are not meant to substitute for a clinician's best judgment.

#### Neuropathic Pain Indications

• Failed back surgery syndrome (FBSS)

Complex regional pain syndrome (CRPS) I and II

 "Other" (peripheral neuropathic pain, phantom limb/postamputation syndrome, postherpetic neuralgia, root injury pain, spinal cord injury/lesion)

#### Potential Beneficial Outcomes

- Pain relief (with multiple measures of pain relief considered)
- Reduced consumption of health care resources (including medication)
- Improved ability to engage in the activities of daily living
- Improved quality of life
- Patient satisfaction with treatment
- Improvement in symptoms of depression
- Return to work in patients whose uncontrolled chronic pain was the only impediment to gainful employment
- Improved neurologic function might occur as an indirect benefit of pain control or discontinuation of other treatment

#### **Prognostic Factors**

- Age: The safety and effectiveness of SCS in children is not established. Age-related infirmity might reduce the chances of a good outcome with SCS, but each patient must be assessed on an individual basis.
- Sex: No reason exists to exclude patients based on their sex.
- Pregnancy: The safety status of SCS during pregnancy remains to be established. The use of SCS must be balanced against known or potential adverse effects of alternative pain treatments.
- Life expectancy: An SCS system with an external stimulator might be more cost-effective than an implanted generator in a patient (e.g., one with terminal cancer) who has a very short life expectancy.
- Worker's compensation or litigation status: We have inadequate information to determine if worker's compensation or litigation status influences SCS outcome.
- Pain characteristics: Radicular or radiating neuropathic pain that 1) has an objective basis; 2) has a distribution consistent with the results of the physical examination and diagnostic studies; and 3) is linked to a specific diagnosis is most straightforward to treat. Treatable pain will be adequately relieved during a screening trial.

#### Patient Selection for Screening Trial

Information to determine a patient's suitability for a screening trial is gathered from the history, including pain location and intensity; physical examination; imaging studies; and a psychological evaluation (required before permanent implantation).

#### Relative Contraindications to the Screening Trial

- An unresolved major psychiatric comorbidity;
- The unresolved possibility of secondary gain;
- An active and untreated substance abuse disorder;
- Inconsistency among the history, pain description, physical examination, and diagnostic studies;
- Abnormal or inconsistent pain ratings;
- A predominance of non-organic signs (note that Waddell's signs are nonspecific);
- Alternative therapies with a risk-benefit ratio comparable to that of SCS remain to be tried;
- · Pregnancy;
- Occupational risk;
- Local or systemic infection;
- Presence of a demand pacemaker;
- Presence of a cardioverter defibrillator;
- Foreseeable need for magnetic resonance imaging (MRI);
- Presence of a major comorbid chronic pain syndrome; or
- Anticoagulant or antiplatelet therapy.

#### Contraindications to SCS Therapy

- Inability to control the device;
- Nerve compression amenable to surgery causing a serious neurologic deficit;
- Gross instability at risk for progression;
- Coagulopathy, immunosuppression, or other conditions ssociated with an unacceptable surgical risk; or
- Need for therapeutic diathermy.

#### Procedural Risk Management

To reduce the general risk of SCS, discharge instructions must indicate when and how to contact the patient's physician, the device manufacturer, and emergency care providers.

#### Risks Associated with SCS

- Spinal cord or nerve injury;
- Dural puncture;
- Infection;
- Epidural hematoma;

- Electrode migration;
- Implanted pulse generator failure; and
- Electromechanical failure of lead or extension cable.

#### Screening Trial

The 3- to 8-day screening trial duplicates the definitive procedure and offers the most meaningful prognostic sign that SCS will succeed or fail.

A percutaneous catheter electrode placed under fluoroscopy provides easy access to multiple spinal levels and facilitates mapping of paresthesia/pain overlap to determine the optimal longitudinal level for the electrode.

A surgical plate/paddle electrode, however, might be required for screening if a percutaneous catheter electrode cannot access the epidural space satisfactorily, e.g., in a patient who has undergone a previous laminectomy or posterior fusion at the level of insertion. In addition, a surgical plate/paddle electrode might be useful to eliminate excessive side effects or provide sufficient pain/paresthesia overlap.

Anchoring an electrode for use during the screening trial and permanent stimulation thereafter reduces the cost of hardware in patients who have a successful trial but increases the cost of the screening procedure because the electrode must be inserted and removed (if necessary) in an operating room. Anchoring a trial electrode for potential permanent stimulation also increases incisional pain and requires the use of a percutaneous extension cable, which increases the risk of infection. On the other hand, a percutaneous catheter electrode designed solely for screening is relatively inexpensive, can be inserted under sterile conditions with fluoroscopy, and can be removed easily.

Using the same electrode for the screening trial and permanent stimulation eliminates the possibility that the replacement electrode will not reproduce the pain/paresthesia overlap. Using a new, permanent electrode, however, provides the opportunity to improve the screening-trial results.

Unless the trial electrode is routinely removed, a patient and clinician's bias that SCS will be successful could be bolstered by the expectation that the screening-trial electrode will become permanent and skew the results of the screening trial.

To control procedural pain during trial electrode placement, use a local anesthetic whenever possible. An unconscious patient cannot describe paresthesia coverage or react to changes in stimulation parameters or intraoperative events, which might increase the risk of neurologic injury.

S204 North and Shipley

Stimulator parameters are adjusted by a trained professional during the screening trial and after implantation to 1) find the best settings for patients to use as they pursue activities of daily life; 2) maximize comfortable pain/paresthesia overlap; and 2) minimize power requirements.

A successful screening trial results in at least 50% patient-reported pain relief despite appropriate (provocative) physical activity, with stable or reduced analgesic consumption and patient satisfaction.

If sufficient pain/paresthesia overlap does not occur during the screening trial, a repeat trial should be considered.

The screening trial provides important information that will dictate the choice of electrode and stimulator to be implanted and the optimum stimulating configuration.

Medicare and many third-party payers require a successful screening trial before implantation.

#### Device Options

For screening trials, specially designed, temporary (less costly) percutaneous electrodes are available, or either type of electrode designed for permanent use may be used with a temporary percutaneous extension cable.

The choice of electrode is determined by individual patient factors and individual clinical factors. Percutaneous catheter electrodes have as many as eight contacts and can be implanted singly, creating a one-dimensional array or multiply, creating a two-dimensional array. Surgical plate/paddle electrodes have as many as 16 contacts in one- or two-dimensional arrays.

The types of stimulator/power generators available are: 1) a radiofrequency receiver with no battery, requiring the patient to wear an external antenna and transmitter during stimulation; 2) a primary cell that requires surgical replacement when the battery is exhausted; and 3) a battery recharged by periodic use of an external radio frequency transmitter.

The factors that dictate the choice of stimulator/power generator are 1) the patient's ability to control the device; 2) the amount of power required; 3) patient convenience; and 4) patient cosmetic concerns.

#### Patient Management

#### Potential Side Effects

 A change in paresthesia corresponding to change in posture is normal and seldom causes a problem.

- Extraneous paresthesia or motor responses usually can be avoided by careful electrode implantation and postoperative adjustment or by the use of an insulated surgical plate/paddle electrode.
- Unless it is a symptom of infection, pain/ irritation from any component of the system can generally be treated topically, but surgical revision is necessary in some cases.

#### Possible Long-Term Adverse Events

- Loss of pain/paresthesia overlap can be managed by reassigning contact combinations or revising the electrode.
- Loss or reduction of pain relief despite paresthesia coverage of pain can be treated with adjuvant medical therapy.

#### Adjunct Treatment

- Baclofen and gabapentin can potentiate the therapeutic impact of SCS.
- All other pain treatments remain available.
- Adjunct treatment is beneficial if a patient's pain has a nociceptive component, which SCS is not expected to treat.

#### **SCS Patient Precautions**

- Avoid placing excessive strain on the system.
- Avoid bending, twisting, or lifting weights over 8 lb (1 gal) for the first 6 weeks after implantation.
- No scuba diving more than 10 m deep.
- No entry into hyperbaric chambers with the absolute pressure above 2.0 atmospheres.
- Disable the SCS system before entering electromagnetic fields produced by anti-theft devices or security screening systems.

# Medical Procedures Requiring Special Precautions

- Routine medical tests that might interact with, or be influenced by, the stimulator (e.g., cardiac monitoring)
- Radiation therapy that might capture the pulse generator in the active field
- Radiofrequency ablation or electrocautery
- Lithotripsy

#### Medical Procedures Contraindicated after Implantation of an SCS System

- MRI
- Ultrasound over the device
- Diathermy in all body locations

On/off time has a direct effect on battery longevity, but the impact of an imposed duty cycle on pain relief is unknown. In some patients, pain

relief persists for a week after the device is turned off; others must operate the stimulator continuously to obtain pain relief.

To receive appropriate emergency treatment, SCS patients are provided with identification cards from the device manufacturer that contain information on how to contact their physician and the manufacturer's representative.

Follow-up visits should occur as often as necessary to ensure safe and effective operation of the stimulator. The patient should have a postoperative surgical check and SCS adjustment, and on postoperative day 7 to 14, the patient should return for suture or staple removal and any needed additional adjustment. From that point forward, monthly visits should gradually taper to yearly visits.

Elective follow-up of a new patient who was implanted elsewhere should adhere to the routine that applies to any new patient. As is standard, a physician has the discretion to accept or reject any new patient. In emergencies, however, the patient might require immediate treatment.

### Factors Affecting the Delivery and Quality of SCS Treatment

A physician who offers SCS therapy should have successfully completed residency or fellowship training or a preceptorship in SCS (including proctoring by an experienced clinician) in a setting with an adequate patient volume to include candidates for a full range of procedures.

#### Cost-Effectiveness

SCS is cost-effective in the treatment of FBSS and CRPS and might be cost-effective in the treatment of other neuropathic pain indications. The cost-effectiveness of SCS can be optimized by adjusting stimulation parameters to prolong battery life, minimizing the incidence of complications, improving equipment design, and careful patient selection.

## Part I: Background and Development Process

#### Spinal Cord Stimulation (SCS)

Pain has been treated with the application of electrical current since ancient times, when electricity was known only as a mysterious force generated by lightning and some types of fish and conducted through water. After the development of the Leyden jar in 1745 made it possible for physicians to control electrical current, the

therapeutic use of electrical stimulation spread throughout the Western world. With the advent of modern, empirical medicine in the 20th century, however, electrotherapy fell out of favor for most indications until it was revived following the 1965 publication of Melzack and Wall's gate control theory of pain [2].

By 1967, advances in implantable cardiac pacemaker technology enabled investigators to deliver electrical current directly to the spinal cord with surgically implanted electrodes and externally powered stimulators [3]. Today, SCS is a reversible pain therapy applied with sophisticated techniques that include multi-output implanted pulse generators and a choice of electrodes, some of which can be placed percutaneously.

The reversibility of SCS is one of its most important features; unlike the surgical procedures that are commonly performed to relieve pain, SCS does not ablate pain pathways or change a patient's anatomy.

SCS offers patients an additional advantage in that its routine screening trial emulates the definitive procedure before a patient undergoes implantation of a pulse generator. Thus, each patient provides "individually based observational evidence," which, when combined with the broader evidence, "should be used to demonstrate effectiveness and determine appropriate subsequent treatment." [4]

The most common indication for SCS in North America is chronic neuropathic pain, the subject of this document. In Europe, SCS is used most often to treat ischemic pain arising from intractable angina pectoris and to counteract the effects of peripheral vascular disease.

The clinical goals of SCS include

- Relief of pain, with an attendant positive impact on quality of life, health-related quality of life, ability to perform activities of daily living, and (when possible and appropriate) return to work;
- Reduction in the use of medication.

The technical goal of SCS is to achieve stimulation paresthesia at a subjectively tolerable (comfortable) level, overlapping (covering) a patient's topography of pain [5]. This is a necessary condition for pain relief and can be lost if an electrode migrates, equipment fails, or the pain moves or expands to a new area. Non-invasive system adjustment might recapture pain/paresthesia overlap; however, surgical revision is sometimes necessary.

S206 North and Shipley

Technical success is not sufficient to ensure clinical success. Some patients with complete coverage of the topography of pain report little or no pain relief. This lack of relief might be evident during the screening trial, or the relief might be lost over time in the absence of a discernible technical problem. In rare instances, a patient will dislike the sensation of paresthesia and decide not to proceed with the therapy.

#### Chronic Neuropathic Pain

Neuropathic pain results from injury to the nervous system. Many patients experience neuropathic pain as a component of pain with a mixed nature and origin, i.e., neuropathic pain commonly coexists with nociceptive or ischemic pain or both.

Patients with chronic pain often experience depression and anxiety, which exacerbates the negative emotional state characterized by the pain sensation and creates a vicious cycle of escalating pain and depression.

#### Scope and Purpose

This document addresses

- The indications and potential beneficial outcomes of SCS as a treatment of chronic neuropathic pain;
- The clinical decisions involved in the use of SCS in the target patient population; and
- The quality and source of the evidence that informs these expectations and decisions.

The goal of this project is to provide physicians, policy makers, and other concerned individuals with a synthesized, critical, and clear compilation of the information they need to optimize the use of SCS treatment for chronic neuropathic pain.

Although the information in this document might influence or reinforce physician choices, it is not intended to be coercive or judgmental. The evidence that supports the clinical decisions and treatment options is presented and graded with the sole objective of increasing the confidence of clinicians who wish to incorporate this information into their practices. In addition, the information provided has important implications for the cost and consumption of health care resources, which will be appropriately noted.

These practice parameters will apply to the majority of patients; in each case, however, the answers to the clinical-practice questions are useful for individualizing treatment and are not meant to substitute for a clinician's best judgment.

#### Current Best Evidence

... any statement to the effect that there is no evidence addressing the effect of a particular treatment is a non sequitur. The evidence may be extremely weak—the unsystematic observation of a single clinician, or generalization from only indirectly related physiologic studies—but there is always evidence [6].

In 1996, Sackett et al. defined evidence-based medicine as "... the conscientious, explicit and judicious use of current best evidence in making decisions about the care of individual patients. The practice of evidence-based medicine means integrating individual clinical expertise with the best available external clinical evidence from systematic research [emphasis added]. By individual clinical expertise we mean the proficiency and judgement that individual clinicians acquire through clinical experience and clinical practice" [7].

Despite this clear emphasis on the *integration* of individual expertise and research evidence, some authors have adopted a definition of "evidence-based medicine" that privileges the results of clinical trials and discounts the weight of clinical experience [8], dismissing even the conclusions of acknowledged experts as "mere consensus" [9].

What, then, constitutes the proper hierarchy of evidence? Some investigators assert that the evidence provided by meta-analyses is of the highest quality. Shah [10], for example, notes that Benson and Hartz [11] claim that observational studies and randomized controlled trials (RCTs) "can produce similar estimates of the effects of treatment" and that Concato et al. [12] have shown that "metaanalyses of observational studies produce results that are similar to meta-analyses of randomized trials." Guyatt et al. [13], however, maintain that a single RCT provides better evidence than a systematic review of observational studies and point to a meta-analyses of observational studies [14] that concluded that hormone replacement therapy in women would lead to "a 50% reduction in relative risk of coronary events," whereas the Heart and Estrogen/Progestin Replacement Study RCT [15] found no such effect.

Despite their support for the practice of evidence-based medicine, Guyatt et al. acknowledge that, when making clinical decisions, "evidence is never enough" and the 'hierarchy' [of the strength of evidence for treatment decisions] is not absolute" [6]. Thus, as a practical matter, the type of evidence that informs clinical decisions ranges from the results of RCTs to expert opinion based on direct experience and on comparable

experience (or techniques) used in other medical specialties.

Therefore, the recommendations and options offered in this document reflect the current status of evidence-based practice of SCS. Because SCS elicits perceptible paresthesia, this evidence does not include the results of "blinded" studies.

Our grading system (see Table 1) sets a new standard for evidence-based clinical practice. In order for a standard to be useful in the evaluation of the evidence that helps us make rational decisions about the clinical care of patients, the standard itself must be rational, realistic, and practical. Thus, for example, a dictum from Medicare (the rationale for which is to be discussed separately) has the same practical value as the highest level of evidence. A risk-benefit calculation in combination with expert clinical opinion might likewise compensate for lacunae in the evidence supplied by the results of clinical trials. In our grading system, therefore, we are not suggesting that an "A" equals the highest level of evidence; instead, we define an "A" as a recommended or required clinical action that is valid, useful, or nonnegotiable.

Table 1 Evidence sources strength of and recommendations Strenath of recommendation Evidence Sources/Rationale A = Recommended · Well-designed randomized controlled or required Valid, useful, or · Weighing risk versus potential benefit non-negotiable and expert consensus reveals a high likelihood of a favorable outcome Computer modeling studies corroborated by clinical data · Medicare requirement · Only option B = Recommended· Well-designed clinical studies Uncertain validity, (prospective, nonrandomized cohort studies, case-control studies, etc.) apparently useful · RCTs with design problems Weighing risk versus potential benefit and expert consensus reveals a good likelihood of a favorable outcome C = Optional Flawed RCTs Undetermined validity. · Retrospective case series might be useful · Comparative studies with historical controls · Case reports · Weighing risk versus potential benefit and expert consensus reveals a

Compared with other published grading systems [17–21], this scheme is simpler in that it has fewer levels, yet broader because it incorporates practical considerations, e.g., only option, risk-benefit.

outcome

moderate likelihood of a favorable

#### Practice Parameters Development Process

- Review publications on the development of practice parameters (and "guidelines") as well as publications purporting to present them for SCS.
- Consult with experts to identify the appropriate clinical-practice questions (this includes delineating the points in patient care where the information is useful for individualizing treatment).
- 3. Review the literature to identify and grade (see Table 1) treatment practices on a continuum from "options" to "recommended."
- 4. Determine search strategy (exclusion/inclusion criteria, languages, dates, databases, search terms, and sources, including specialty journals [e.g., *Neuromodulation: Technology at the Neural Interface*] and additional articles included in reference lists and from expert knowledge).
- 5. Conduct a literature search.
- 6. Consult with experts about accepted practice based on direct experience or the translation of experience from other disciplines (this activity, along with presentation of the reports in the literature, will be considered pretesting [piloting] of the recommendations and options presented).
- 7. Identify, summarize, and appraise the evidence supporting each option.
- 8. Write the first draft—completion date April 1, 2006.
- 9. Review the first draft (committee)—initial review period April 1 to May 1, 2006.
- 10. Reach consensus and resolve any substantive content differences through frank discussion and debate. Suggestions for minor changes (e.g., in terminology) will be filtered through the editor and adopted at his discretion.
- 11. Identify societies and individuals involved in the development process, including those involved in the external review (include in the final draft).
- 12. Identify any conflicts of interest (actual, potential, or potentially perceived) on the part of any group member and the sources of funding, support, or sponsorship for preparation of this document (include in the final draft).
- 13. Integrate comments into the second draft (completion date May 31, 2006).
- 14. Integrate comments into the third draft (completion date September 11, 2006).

S208 North and Shipley

Table 2 Target audience

Audience	Use
Health care providers, including primary care physicians, neurologists, neurosurgeons, anesthesiologists, orthopedic surgeons, nurses, allied health care providers, and physiotherapists	Adopting best practices for referral and treatment
Medical school faculty	Improving education and training
Scientists	Identifying research targets
Policy makers	Informing policy decisions
Device manufacturers	Identifying research and development targets
Insurers	Quantifying and improving cost-effectiveness
Patients	Understanding options in order to make informed decisions
General public	General understanding

- 15. Integrate comments into the fourth draft (completion date November 15, 2006).
- 16. Determine the process for external review. Choose and contact external reviewers.
- 17. Send the draft to committee and external reviewers for comment.
- 18. Create three versions of the final document (see Table 2): 1) a complete version that includes findings as well as details about the document development process, the evidence, the bibliography, and the recommendation continuum; 2) a version that can be read quickly and includes only the recommendation continuum; and 3) a version written in a language suitable for the public.
- 19. Gain final approval.
- 20. Determine the review/update timing and process because guidelines are "never complete, always imperfect, and continually challenged...by new scientific investigation" [16].
- 21. Disseminate to the target publication, e.g., *Pain Medicine*, a stand-alone Website, and comprehensive guideline sites, such as http://www.guidelines.gov.

#### Literature Search Strategy

- 1. Databases: MEDLINE, EMBASE
- 2. Additional publications: specialty journals (e.g., *Neuromodulation: Technology of the Neural Interface*), books, articles included in reference lists and gleaned from expert knowledge
- 3. Inclusion criteria:
  - All Western European languages
  - Dates: 1967 through March 2007

- 4. Exclusion criteria: reviews, meta-analyses
- 5. Search terms (used with appropriate delineators): spinal cord stimulation, dorsal column stimulation, electrical stimulation

#### **Format**

- I. Areas Requiring Clinical Decisions
- Indications
  - Specific questions and answers
  - Strength of recommendation
  - Evidence sources
- Potential beneficial outcomes
  - Specific questions and answers
- Strength of recommendation
- Evidence sources
- Prognostic factors
  - Specific questions and answers
  - Strength of recommendation
  - Evidence sources
- Patient selection for screening trial
  - Specific questions and answers
  - Strength of recommendation
  - Evidence sources
- Procedural risk management
  - Specific questions and answers
  - Strength of recommendation
  - Evidence sources
- Screening trial
  - Specific questions and answers
  - Strength of recommendation
  - Evidence sources
- Device options
  - Specific questions and answers
  - Strength of Recommendation
  - Evidence sources
- Patient management
  - Specific questions and answers
  - Strength of recommendation
  - Evidence sources
- Factors affecting the delivery and quality of SCS treatment
  - Specific questions and answers
  - Strength of recommendation
  - Evidence sources
- Cost-effectiveness
  - Specific questions and answers
  - Strength of recommendation
  - Evidence source(s)

#### II. Alphabetical Bibliography

#### External Review

This document was submitted to an external review by experts.

#### **External Review Process**

Reviewers were nominated by committee members. Four agreed to participate. These reviewers assessed this document using the 26 assessment questions in the *Appraisal of Guidelines Research & Evaluation (AGREE) Instrument* [1]. Dr. North considered each comment and made appropriate changes.

#### Reviewers

- 1. Clifford Goodman, MD
- 2. Samuel Hassenbusch, MD
- 3. John Loeser, MD
- 4. Woodrow A. Myers, Jr., MD, MBA

#### Provision for Updating the Document

This document will be updated on a dedicated Website on the first day of each calendar quarter. The printed version of the document will be updated annually.

#### References

- 1 Appraisal of Guideline Research and Evaluation. The Agree Collaboration, 2001. Available at: http://www.agreecollaboration.org (accessed 10-12-07).
- 2 Melzack R, Wall PD. Pain mechanisms: A new theory. Science 1965;150:971–8.
- 3 Shealy CN, Mortimer JT, Reswick JB. Electrical inhibition of pain by stimulation of the dorsal columns: Preliminary clinical report. Anesth Analg 1967;46(4):489–91.
- 4 *Official Disability Guidelines*. The Work Loss Institute. http://www.odg-twc.com/odgtwc/pain. htm (accession by subscription only).
- 5 North RB, Ewend ME, Lawton MA, Piantadosi S. Spinal cord stimulation for chronic, intractable pain: Superiority of "multi-channel" devices. Pain 1991; 44(2):119–30.
- 6 Guyatt GH, Haynes RB, Jaeschke RZ, et al. Guides to the medical Literature: XXV. Evidence-based medicine: Principles for applying the Users' Guides to patient care. Evidence-Based Medicine Working Group. JAMA 2000;284:1290–6.
- 7 Sackett D, Rosenberg WMC, Gray JAM, Haynes RB, Richardson WS. Evidence-based medicine: What it is and what it isn't [editorial]. BMJ 1996; 312:71–2.
- 8 Center for Health Evidence (University of Alberta). Evidence-based medicine: A new approach to teaching the practice of medicine. http://www.cche.net/usersguides/ebm.asp#17 (accessed 10-12-07).
- 9 Bastian H. Raising the standard: Practice guidelines and consumer participation. Int J Qual Health Care 1996;8:485–90.
- 10 Shah NR. What is the best evidence for making clinical decisions? [letter]. JAMA 2000;284:3127.

- 11 Benson K, Hartz AJ. A comparison of observational studies and randomized, controlled trials. N Engl J Med 2000;342:1878–86.
- 12 Concato J, Shah N, Horwitz RI. Randomized, controlled trials, observational studies, and the hierarchy of research. N Engl J Med 2000;342: 1887–92.
- 13 Guyatt GH, Naylor D, Richardson WS, et al. What is the best evidence for making clinical decisions? [reply]. JAMA 2000;284(24):3127.
- 14 Stampfer J, Colditz GA. Estrogen replacement therapy and coronary heart disease: A quantitative assessment of the epidemiologic evidence. Prev Med 1991;20:47–63.
- 15 Hulley S, Grady D, Bush T, et al. for the Heart and Estrogen/progestin Replacement Study (HERS) Research Group. Randomized trial of estrogen plus progestin for secondary prevention of coronary heart disease in postmenopausal women. JAMA 1998;280:605–13.
- 16 Haines SJ. Guidelines for the management of acute cervical spine and spinal cord injuries [comments]. Neurosurgery 2002;50(suppl 3):iv–v.
- 17 Manchikanti L, Staats PS, Singh V, et al. Evidence-based practice guidelines for interventional techniques in the management of chronic spinal pain. Pain Phys 2003;6:3–81.
- 18 Boswell MV, Shah RV, Everett CR, et al. Interventional techniques in the management of chronic spinal pain: Evidence-based practice guidelines. Pain Phys 2005;8(1):1–47.
- 19 Snow V, Barry P, Fihn SD, et al. Primary care management of chronic stable angina and asymptomatic suspected or known coronary artery disease: A clinical practice guideline from the American College of Physicians. Ann Intern Med 2004;141(7):562–7.
- 20 American College of Cardiology Foundation, American Heart Association. ACC/AHA 2002 Guideline Update for the Management of Patients with Chronic Stable Angina: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines (Committee to Update the 1999 Guidelines). Bethesda, MD: American College of Cardiology Foundation, 2002.
- 21 Spinal Cord Stimulation for Neuropathic Pain: Health Technology Literature Review. Medical Advisory Secretariat, Ontario Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care. Ontario, Canada, 2005.

# Part II: Practice Parameters—Questions, Answers, Evidence

#### Indications

Which neuropathic pain conditions have been treated successfully with spinal cord stimulation (SCS)?

S210 North and Shipley

 Failed back surgery syndrome (FBSS) or lumbosacral root injury pain (also known as "arachnoiditis")

Strength of recommendation	A
Evidence sources	<ul> <li>Well-designed randomized controlled trials (RCTs)</li> <li>Well-designed clinical studies</li> <li>Weighing risk versus potential benefit and expert consensus reveals a high likelihood of a favorable outcome</li> </ul>

#### **FBSS RCT**

North RB, Kidd DH, Farrokhi F, Piantadosi S. Spinal cord stimulation versus repeated lumbosacral spine surgery for chronic pain: A randomized, controlled trial. Neurosurgery 2005;56(1):98–106.

#### FBSS Long-Term<sup>1</sup> Follow-Up Studies

Blond S, Armignies P, Parker F, et al. Chronic sciatalgia caused by sensitive deafferentiation following surgery for lumbar disk hernia: Clinical and therapeutic aspects. Apropos of 110 patients [French]. Neurochirurgie 1991;37(2):86–95.

Budd K. Spinal cord stimulation: Cost-benefit study. Neuromodulation 2002;5(2):75–8.

Dario A, Fortini G, Bertollo D, Bacuzzi A, Grizzetti C, Currari S. Treatment of failed back surgery syndrome. Neuromodulation 2001;4(3): 105–10.

De Andres J, Quiroz C, Villanueva V, et al. Patient satisfaction with spinal cord stimulation for failed back surgery syndrome [Spanish]. Rev Esp Anestesiol Reanim 2007;54(1):17–22.

Devulder J, de Laat M, van Bastelaere M, Rolly G. Spinal cord stimulation: A valuable treatment for chronic failed back surgery patients. J Pain Symptom Manage 1997;13(5):296–301.

Fiume D, Sherkat S, Callovini GM, Parziale G, Gazzeri G. Treatment of the failed back surgery syndrome due to lumbo-sacral epidural fibrosis. Acta Neurochir Suppl 1995;64:116–8.

Kumar K, Malik S, Demeria D. Treatment of chronic pain with spinal cord stimulation versus alternative therapies: Cost-effectiveness analysis. Neurosurgery 2002;51(1):106–15.

Kumar K, Toth C. The role of spinal cord stimulation in the treatment of chronic pain postlaminectomy. Curr Pain Headache Rep 1998;2:85–92.

Leclercq TA, Russo E. Epidural stimulation for pain control [French]. Neurochirurgie 1981;27(2): 125–8.

North RB, Ewend MG, Lawton MT, Kidd DH, Piantadosi S. Failed back surgery syndrome: 5-year follow-up after spinal cord stimulator implantation. Neurosurgery 1991;28(5):692–9.

North RB, Kidd DH, Olin J, et al. Spinal cord stimulation for axial low back pain: A prospective, controlled trial comparing dual with single percutaneous electrodes. Spine 2005;30(12):1412–8.

North RB, Kidd DH, Petrucci L, Dorsi MJ. Spinal cord stimulation electrode design: A prospective, randomized, controlled trial comparing percutaneous with laminectomy electrodes: Part II—clinical outcomes. Neurosurgery 2005;57(5): 990–5.

de la Porte C, Siegfried J. Lumbosacral spinal fibrosis (spinal arachnoiditis). Its diagnosis and treatment by spinal cord stimulation. Spine 1983; 8(6):593–603.

de la Porte C, Van de Kelft E. Spinal cord stimulation in failed back surgery syndrome. Pain 1993; 52(1):55–61.

Probst C. Spinal cord stimulation in 112 patients with epi-/intradural fibrosis following operation for lumbar disc herniation. Acta Neurochir (Wien) 1990;107(3–4):147–151.

Rainov NG, Heidecke V, Burkert W. Short testperiod spinal cord stimulation for failed back surgery syndrome. Minim Invasive Neurosurg 1996;39(2):41–4.

Siegfried J, Lazorthes Y. Long-term follow-up of dorsal cord stimulation for chronic pain syndrome after multiple lumbar operations. Appl Neuropsysiol 1982;45:201–4.

#### FBSS Short-Term Follow-Up Studies

Batier C, Frerebeau P, Kong A, Siou D, et al. Posterior spinal cord neurostimulation in lumbar radiculitis pain. Apropos of 14 cases [French]. Agressologie 1989;30(3):137–8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>All patients at least 24 months' follow-up.

Bel S, Bauer BL. Dorsal column stimulation (DCS): Cost to benefit analysis. Acta Neurochir 1991;52(suppl):121–3.

Deer T, Kim C, Bowman R, et al. Initial experience with a new rechargeable generator: A report of twenty systems at 3 months status postimplant in patients with lumbar postlaminectomy syndrome [Abstract]. Neuromodulation 2006;9(1): 9–10.

De Mulder PA, te Rijdt B, Veeckmans G, Belmans L. Evaluation of a dual quadripolar surgically implanted spinal cord stimulation lead for failed back surgery patients with chronic low back and leg pain. Neuromodulation 2005;8(4):219–24.

Fassio B, Sportes J, Romain M, Vallespir R. Chronic medullary neuro-stimulation in lumbosacral spinal arachnoiditis [French]. Rev Chir Orthop Reparatrice Appar Mot 1988;74(5):473–9.

Heidecke V, Rainov NG, Burkert W. Hardware failures in spinal cord stimulation for failed back surgery syndrome. Neuromodulation 2000;3(1): 27–30.

Leclercq TA, Russo E. Epidural stimulation for pain control [French]. Neurochirurgie 1981;27(2): 125–8.

LeDoux MS, Langford KH. Spinal cord stimulation for the failed back syndrome. Spine 1993; 18(2):191–4.

Leveque JC, Villavicencio AT, Bulsara KR, Ruvin L, Gorecki JP. Spinal cord stimulation for failed back surgery syndrome. Neuromodulation 2001; 4(1);1–9.

North RB, Kidd DH, Olin J, Sieracki JN, Petrucci L. Spinal cord stimulation for axial low back pain: A prospective, controlled trial comparing 16-contact insulated electrode arrays with 4-contact percutaneous electrodes. Neuromodulation 2006;9(1):56–67.

Ohnmeiss DD, Rashbaum RF, Bogdanffy GM. Prospective outcome evaluation of spinal cord stimulation in patients with intractable leg pain. Spine 1996;21(11):1344–50.

Rutten S, Komp M, Godolias G. Spinal cord stimulation (SCS) using an 8-pole electrode and double-electrode system as minimally invasive therapy of the post-discotomy and post-fusion syndrome—Prospective study results in 34 patients [German]. Z Orthop Ihre Grenzgeb 2002;140(6):626–31.

Sharan A, Cameron T, Barolat G. Evolving patterns of spinal cord stimulation in patients implanted for intractable low back and leg pain. Neuromodulation 2002;5(3):167–79.

Van Buyten J-P, Van Zundert JV, Milbouw G. Treatment of failed back surgery syndrome patients with low back and leg pain: A pilot study of a new dual lead spinal cord stimulation system. Neuromodulation 1999;2(3):258–65.

#### FBSS Case Studies

Arxer A, Busquets C, Vilaplana J, Villalonga A. Subacute epidural abscess after spinal cord stimulation implantation [letter]. Eur J Anesthesiol 2003;20:753–9.

Buonocore M, Demartini L, Bonezzi C. Lumbar spinal cord stimulation can improve muscle strength and gait independently of the analgesic effect: A case report. Neuromodulation 2006;9(4): 309–13.

Vijayan R, Ahmad TS. Spinal cord stimulation for treatment of failed back surgery syndrome—Two case reports. Med J Malaysia 1999;54(4):509–13.

#### FBSS Miscellaneous Studies

North RB, Lanning A, Hessels R, Cutchis PN. Spinal cord stimulation with percutaneous and plate electrodes: Side effects and quantitative comparisons. Neurosurg Focus 1997;2(1:3):1–5.

#### FBSS in Studies with Mixed Indications

Abejón D, Reig E, del Pozo C, Contreras R, Insausti J. Dual spinal cord stimulation for complex pain: Preliminary study. Neuromodulation 2005;8(2):105–11.

Allegri M, Arachi G, Barbieri M, et al. Prospective study of the success and efficacy of spinal cord stimulation. Minerva Anestesiol 2004;70(3):117–24.

Aló KM, Redko V, Charnov J. Four year follow-up of dual electrode spinal cord stimulation for chronic pain. Neuromodulation 2002;5(2):79–99.

Aló KM, Yland MJ, Charnov JH, Redko V. Multiple program spinal cord stimulation in the treatment of chronic pain: Follow-up of multiple program SCS. Neuromodulation 1999;2(4):266–72

Broggi G, Servello D, Dones I, Carbone G. Italian multicentric study on pain treatment with epidural spinal cord stimulation. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 1994;62(1–4):273–8.

S212 North and Shipley

Devulder J, De Colvenaer L, Rolly G, et al. Spinal cord stimulation in chronic pain therapy. Clin J Pain 1990;6:51–6.

Devulder J, Vermeulen H, De Colvenaer L, et al. Spinal cord stimulation in chronic pain: Evaluation of results, complications, and technical considerations in sixty-nine patients. Clin J Pain 1991; 7:21–2.

Eisenberg E, Backonja MM, Fillingim RB, et al. Quantitative sensory testing for spinal cord stimulation in patients with chronic neuropathic pain. Pain Pract 2006;6(3):161–5.

Frank ED, Menefee LA, Jalali S, et al. The utility of a 7-day percutaneous spinal cord stimulator trial measured by a pain diary: A long-term retrospective analysis. Neuromodulation 2005;8(3): 162–70.

Gonzalez-Darder JM, Canela P, Gonzalez-Martinez V, et al. Spinal electrical stimulation. Current indications and results in a series of 46 patients [Spanish]. Rev Esp Anestesiol Reanim 1992;39(2):86–90.

Kavar B, Rosenfeld JV, Hutchinson A. The efficacy of spinal cord stimulation for chronic pain. J Clin Neurosci 2000;7(5):409–13.

Kay AD, McIntyre MD, Macrae WA, Varma TR. Spinal cord stimulation—A long-term evaluation in patients with chronic pain. Br J Neurosurg 2001;15(4):335–41.

Kumar A, Felderhof C, Eljamel MS. Spinal cord stimulation for the treatment of refractory unilateral limb pain syndromes. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 2003;81(1–4):70–4.

Kumar K, Hunter G, Demeria D. Spinal cord stimulation in treatment of chronic benign pain: Challenges in treatment planning and present status, a 22-year experience. Neurosurgery 2006; 58(3):481–96.

Kumar K, Toth C, Nath RK, Laing P. Epidural spinal cord stimulation for treatment of chronic pain—Some predictors of success. A 15-year experience. Surg Neurol 1998;50(2):110–20.

Kupers RC, Van den Oever R, Van Houdenhove B, et al. Spinal cord stimulation in Belgium: A nation-wide survey on the incidence, indications and therapeutic efficacy by the health insurer. Pain 1994;56(2):211–6.

Lang P. The treatment of chronic pain by epidural spinal cord stimulation—A 15 year follow up: Present status. Axon 1997;18(4):71–3.

May MS, Banks C, Thomson SJ. A retrospective, long-term, third-party follow-up of patients considered for spinal cord stimulation. Neuromodulation 2002;5(3):137–44.

Meglio M, Cioni B, Prezioso A, Talamonti G. Spinal cord stimulation (SCS) in the treatment of postherpetic pain. Acta Neurochir Suppl (Wien) 1989;46:65–6.

Meglio M, Cioni B, Rossi GF. Spinal cord stimulation in management of chronic pain. A 9-year experience. J Neurosurg 1989;70(4):519–24.

North RB, Ewend ME, Lawton MA, Piantadosi S. Spinal cord stimulation for chronic, intractable pain: Superiority of "multi-channel" devices. Pain 1991;44(2):119–30.

North RB, Kidd DH, Zahurak M, James CS, Long DM. Spinal cord stimulation for chronic, intractable pain: Experience over two decades. Neurosurgery 1993;32(3):384–94.

Quigley DG, Arnold J, Eldridge PR, et al. Long-term outcome of spinal cord stimulation and hardware complications. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 2003;81(1–4):50–6.

Rosenow JM, Stanton-Hicks M, Rezai AR, Henderson JM. Failure modes of spinal cord stimulation hardware. J Neurosurg Spine 2006;5:183–90.

Segal R, Stacey BR, Rudy TE, Baser S, Markham J. Spinal cord stimulation revisited. Neurol Res 1998;20(5);391–6.

Spiegelmann R, Friedman WA. Spinal cord stimulation: A contemporary series. Neurosurgery 1991;28(1):65–70.

Spincemaille GH, Beersen N, Dekkers MA, Theuvenet PJ. Neuropathic limb pain and spinal cord stimulation: Results of the Dutch prospective study. Neuromodulation 2004;7(3):184–92.

Tseng SH. Treatment of chronic pain by spinal cord stimulation. J Formos Med Assoc 2000;99(3): 267–71.

Van Buyten J-P, Van Zurdert J, Vueghs P, Vanduffel L. Efficacy of spinal cord stimulation: 10 years of experience in a pain centre in Belgium. Eur J Pain 2001;5(3):299–307.

Van de Kelft E, De la Porte C. Long-term pain relief during spinal cord stimulation. The effect of patient selection. Qual Life Res 1994;3(1):21–7.

Villavicencio AT, Leveque JC, Rubin L, Bulsara K, Gorecki JP. Laminectomy versus percutaneous electrode placement for spinal cord stimulation. Neurosurgery 2000;46(2):399–405.

#### Low Back/Leg Pain (Not Necessarily FBSS)

Barolat G, Oakley JC, Law JD, et al. Epidural spinal cord stimulation with a multiple electrode paddle lead is effective in treating intractable low back pain. Neuromodulation 2001;4(2):59–66.

Burchiel KJ, Anderson VC, Wilson BJ, et al. Prognostic factors of spinal cord stimulation for chronic back and leg pain. Neurosurgery 1995; 36(6):1101–10.

Hassenbusch SJ, Stanton-Hicks M, Covington EC. Spinal cord stimulation versus spinal infusion for low back and leg pain. Acta Neurochir Suppl 1995;64:109–15.

Hieu PD, Person H, Houidi K, et al. Treatment of chronic lumbago and radicular pain by spinal cord stimulation. Long-term results [French]. Rev Rhum Ed Fr 1994;61(4):271–7.

Leibrock L, Meilman P, Cuka D, Green C. Spinal cord stimulation in the treatment of chronic low back and lower extremity pain syndromes. Nebraska Med J 1984;69:180–3.

Ohnmeiss DD, Rashbaum RF. Patient satisfaction with spinal cord stimulation for predominant complaints of chronic, intractable low back pain. Spine J 2001;1(5):358–63.

Raphael JH, Southall JL, Gnanadurai TV, Treharne GJ, Kitas GD. Chronic mechanical low back pain: A comparative study with intrathecal opioid drug delivery. Neuromodulation 2004;7(4):260–6.

Slavin KV, Burchiel KJ, Anderson VC, Cooke B. Efficacy of transverse tripolar stimulation for relief of chronic low back pain: Results of a single center. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 1999;73(1–4):126–30.

## Low Back/Leg Pain (Not Necessarily FBSS) in Studies with Mixed Indications

Burchiel KJ, Anderson VC, Brown FD, et al. Prospective, multicenter study of spinal cord stimulation for relief of chronic back and extremity pain. Spine 1996;21(23):2786–94.

Meglio M, Cioni B, Visocchi M, Tancredi A, Pentimalli L. Spinal cord stimulation in low back and leg pain. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 1994; 62(1–4):263–6.

Mundinger F, Neumüller H. Programmed stimulation for control of chronic pain and motor diseases. Appl Neurophysiol 1982;45:102–11.

North RB, Fischell TA, Long DM. Chronic dorsal column stimulation via percutaneously inserted epidural electrodes: Preliminary results in 31 patients. Appl Neurophysiol 1977–8;40(2–4):184–91.

Sundaraj SR, Johnstone C, Noore F, Wynn P, Castro M. Spinal cord stimulation: A seven-year audit. J Clin Neurosci 2005;12(3):264–70.

Van Buyten J-P. The performance and safety of an implantable spinal cord stimulation system in patients with chronic pain: A 5-year study. Neuromodulation 2003;6(2);79–87.

2. Complex regional pain syndrome (CRPS) I (reflex sympathetic dystrophy) and CRPS II (causalgia)

Strength of recommendation	A
Evidence sources	<ul> <li>Well-designed RCTs</li> <li>Well-designed clinical studies</li> <li>Weighing risk versus potential benefit and expert consensus reveals a high likelihood of a favorable outcome</li> </ul>

#### **CRPS RCTs**

Harke H, Gretenkort P, Ladleif HU, Rahman S, Harke O. The response of neuropathic pain and pain in complex regional pain syndrome I to carbamazepine and sustained-release morphine in patients with spinal cord stimulation: A double-blinded randomized study. Anesth Analg 2001; 92(2):488–95.

Kemler MA, Barendse GA, van Kleef M, et al. Spinal cord stimulation in patients with chronic reflex sympathetic dystrophy. N Engl J Med 2000; 343(9):618–24.

Kemler MA, De Vet HC, Barendse GA, Van Den Wildenberg FA, Van Kleef M. The effect of spinal

S214 North and Shipley

cord stimulation in patients with chronic reflex sympathetic dystrophy: Two years' follow-up of the randomized controlled trial. Ann Neurol 2004; 55(1):13–8.

Kemler MA, de Vet HCW, Barendse GAM, van den Wildenberg FAJM, van Kleef M. Spinal cord stimulation for chronic reflex sympathetic dystrophy—Five-year follow-up [Letter]. N Engl J Med 2006;354:2394.

#### CRPS Long-Term Follow-Up Studies

Calvillo O, Racz G, Didie J, Smith K. Neuroaugmentation in the treatment of complex regional pain syndrome of the upper extremity. Acta Orthop Belg 1998;64(1):57–63.

Harke H, Gretenkort P, Ladleif HU, Rahman S. Spinal cord stimulation in sympathetically maintained complex regional pain syndrome type I with severe disability. A prospective clinical trial. Eur J Pain 2005;9(4):363–73.

Kemler MA, Barendse GA, Van Kleef M, Van Den Wildenberg FA, Weber WE. Electrical spinal cord stimulation in reflex sympathetic dystrophy: Rretrospective analysis of 23 patients. J Neurosurg 1999;90(suppl 1):79–83.

Kim SH, Tasker RR, Oh MY. Spinal cord stimulation for nonspecific limb pain versus neuropathic pain and spontaneous versus evoked pain. Neurosurgery 2001;48(5):1056–64.

Kumar K, Nath RK, Toth C. Spinal cord stimulation is effective in the management of reflex sympathetic dystrophy. Neurosurgery 1997;40(3): 503–8.

Robaina FJ, Rodriguez JL, de Vera JA. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation and spinal cord stimulation for pain relief in reflex sympathetic dystrophy. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 1989;52: 53–62.

#### CRPS Short-Term Follow-Up Studies

Barolat G, Schwartzman R, Woo R. Epidural spinal cord stimulation in the management of reflex sympathetic dystrophy. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 1989;53(1):29–39.

Bennett DS, Aló KM, Oakley J, Feler CA. Spinal cord stimulation for Complex Regional Pain Syndrome I (RSD). A retrospective multicenter experience from 1995–1998 of 101 patients. Neuromodulation 1999;2(3):202–10.

Forouzanfar T, Kemler MA, Weber WE, Kessels AG, van Kleef M. Spinal cord stimulation in

complex regional pain syndrome: Cervical and lumbar devices are comparably effective. Br J Anaesth 2004;92(3):348–53.

Hord ED, Cohen SP, Cosgrove GR, et al. The predictive value of sympathetic block for the success of spinal cord stimulation. Neurosurgery 2003;53(3):626–32.

Oakley JC, Weiner RL. Spinal cord stimulation for complex regional pain syndrome: A prospective study of 19 patients at two centers. Neuromodulation 1999;2(1):47–50.

Spincemaille GH, Barendse G, Rouwet EV, et al. Spinal cord stimulation and reflex sympathetic dystrophy. Pain Clinic 1995;8:155–60.

#### **CRPS** Case Studies

Ahmed SU. Complex regional pain syndrome type I after myocardial infarction treated with spinal cord stimulation. Reg Anesth Pain Med 2003; 28(3):245–7.

Baldeschi GC, Babbolin G. A new and alternative leads positioning for complex regional pain syndrome treatment: Paraforaminal stimulation. Neuromodulation 2007;10(1):12–7.

Burton AW, Fukshansky M, Brown J, Hassenbusch SJ III. Refractory insomnia in a patient with spinal cord stimulator lead migration. Neuromodulation 2004;7(4):242–5.

Hanson JL, Goodman EJ. Labor epidural placement in a woman with a cervical spinal cord stimulator. Int J Obstet Anesth 2006;15(3):246–9.

Harney D, Magner JJ, O'Keeffe D. Early intervention with spinal cord stimulation in the management of a chronic regional pain syndrome. Ir Med J 2006;98(3):89–90.

Hayek SM. Four-extremity spinal cord stimulation using dual lower-cervical epidural octapolar percutaneous neuroelectrodes [Abstract]. Neuromodulation 2006;9(1):16.

Matsui M, Tomoda A, Otani Y, Miike T, Hirata Y. Spinal cord stimulation for complex regional pain syndrome: Report of 2 cases [Japanese]. No To Hattatsu 2003;35(4):331–5.

Ochani TD, Almirante J, Siddiqui A, Kaplan R. Allergic reaction to spinal cord stimulator. Clin J Pain 2000;16(2):178–80.

Parisod E, Murray RF, Cousins MJ. Conversion disorder after implant of a spinal cord stimulator

in a patient with a complex regional pain syndrome. Anesth Analg 2003;96(1):201–6.

Segal R. Spinal cord stimulation, conception, pregnancy, and labor: Case study in a complex regional pain syndrome patient. Neuromodulation 1999;2(1):41–5.

Shah RV, Smith HK, Chung J, Hegazi A, Racz GB. Cervical spinal cord neoplasm in a patient with an implanted cervical spinal cord stimulator: The controversial role of magnetic resonance imaging. Pain Physician 2004;7:273–8.

#### CRPS in Studies with Mixed Indications

Abejón D, Reig E, del Pozo C, Contreras R, Insausti J. Dual spinal cord stimulation for complex pain: Preliminary study. Neuromodulation 2005;8(2):105–11.

Aló KM, Redko V, Charnov J. Four year follow-up of dual electrode spinal cord stimulation for chronic pain. Neuromodulation 2002;5(2):79–99.

Aló KM, Yland MJ, Charnov JH, Redko V. Multiple program spinal cord stimulation in the treatment of chronic pain: Follow-up of multiple program SCS. Neuromodulation 1999;2(4):266–72.

Broggi G, Servello D, Dones I, Carbone G. Italian multicentric study on pain treatment with epidural spinal cord stimulation. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 1994;62(1–4):273–8.

Broseta J, Roldan P, Gonzalez-Darder J, Bordes V, Barcia-Salorio JL. Chronic epidural dorsal column stimulation in the treatment of causalgic pain. Appl Neurophysiol 1982;5:190–4.

Devulder J, De Colvenaer L, Rolly G, et al. Spinal cord stimulation in chronic pain therapy. Clin J Pain 1990;6:51–6.

Eisenberg E, Backonja MM, Fillingim RB, et al. Quantitative sensory testing for spinal cord stimulation in patients with chronic neuropathic pain. Pain Pract 2006;6(3):161–5.

Frank ED, Menefee LA, Jalali S, et al. The utility of a 7-day percutaneous spinal cord stimulator trial measured by a pain diary: A long-term retrospective analysis. Neuromodulation 2005;8(3): 162–70.

Kay AD, McIntyre MD, Macrae WA, Varma TR. Spinal cord stimulation—A long-term evaluation in patients with chronic pain. Br J Neurosurg 2001;15(4):335–41.

Kumar K, Hunter G, Demeria D. Spinal cord stimulation in treatment of chronic benign pain: Challenges in treatment planning and present status, a 22-year experience. Neurosurgery 2006; 58(3):481–96.

Kumar K, Toth C, Nath RK. Spinal cord stimulation for chronic pain in peripheral neuropathy. Surg Neurol 1996;46(4):363–9.

Lang P. The treatment of chronic pain by epidural spinal cord stimulation—A 15 year follow up: Present status. Axon 1997; 71–3.

May MS, Banks C, Thomson SJ. A retrospective, long-term, third-party follow-up of patients considered for spinal cord stimulation. Neuromodulation 2002;5(3):137–44.

Mundinger F, Neumüller H. Programmed stimulation for control of chronic pain and motor diseases. Appl Neurophysiol 1982;45:102–11.

North RB, Kidd DH, Zahurak M, James CS, Long DM. Spinal cord stimulation for chronic, intractable pain: Experience over two decades. Neurosurgery 1993;32(3):384–94.

Quigley DG, Arnold J, Eldridge PR, et al. Long-term outcome of spinal cord stimulation and hardware complications. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 2003;81(1–4):50–6.

Rosenow JM, Stanton-Hicks M, Rezai AR, Henderson JM. Failure modes of spinal cord stimulation hardware. J Neurosurg Spine 2006;5:183–90.

Sanchez-Ledesma MJ, Garcia-March G, Diaz-Cascajo P, Gomez-Moreta J, Broseta J. Spinal cord stimulation in deafferentation pain. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 1989;53(1):40–5.

Segal R, Stacey BR, Rudy TE, Baser S, Markham J. Spinal cord stimulation revisited. Neurol Res 1998;20(5):391–6.

Shimoji K, Hokari T, Kano T, et al. Management of intractable pain with percutaneous epidural spinal cord stimulation: Differences in pain-relieving effects among diseases and sites of pain. Anesth Analg 1993;77:110–6.

Simpson BA, Bassett G, Davies K, Herbert C, Pierri M. Cervical spinal cord stimulation for pain: A report on 41 patients. Neuromodulation 2003; 6(1):20–6.

S216 North and Shipley

Spiegelmann R, Friedman WA. Spinal cord stimulation: A contemporary series. Neurosurgery 1991;28(1):65–70.

Sundaraj SR, Johnstone C, Noore F, Wynn P, Castro M. Spinal cord stimulation: A seven-year audit. J Clin Neurosci 2005;12(3):264–70.

Villavicencio AT, Leveque JC, Rubin L, Bulsara K, Gorecki JP. Laminectomy versus percutaneous electrode placement for spinal cord stimulation. Neurosurgery 2000;46(2):399–405.

3. Other (peripheral neuropathic pain, phantom limb/postamputation syndrome, postherpetic neuralgia (PHN), root injury pain, spinal cord injury/lesion)

Strength of recommendation	В
Evidence sources	<ul> <li>Well-designed clinical studies</li> <li>Case reports</li> <li>Weighing risk versus potential benefit and expert consensus reveals a good likelihood of a favorable outcome</li> </ul>

#### Peripheral Neuropathic Pain

Cata JP, Cordella JV, Burton AW, et al. Spinal cord stimulation relieves chemotherapy-induced pain: A clinical case report. J Pain Symptom Manage 2004;27(1):72–8.

Kumar A, Felderhof C, Eljamel MS. Spinal cord stimulation for the treatment of refractory unilateral limb pain syndromes. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 2003;81(1–4):70–4.

Mearini M, Podetta S, Catenacci E, et al. Spinal cord stimulation for the treatment of upper and lower extremity neuropathic pain due to Lyme Disease. Neuromodulation 2007;10(2):142–7.

Meglio M, Cioni B, Prezioso A, Talamonti G. Spinal cord stimulation (SCS) in the treatment of postherpetic pain. Acta Neurochir Suppl (Wien) 1989;46:65–6.

Murphy D, Laffy J, O'Keeffe D. Electrical spinal cord stimulation for painful peripheral neuropathy secondary to coeliac disease. Gut 1998;42(3): 448–9.

### Peripheral Neuropathic Pain in Studies with Mixed Indications

Allegri M, Arachi G, Barbieri M, et al. Prospective study of the success and efficacy of spinal cord stimulation. Minerva Anestesiol 2004;70(3):117–24.

Broseta J, Roldan P, Gonzalez-Darder J, Bordes V, Barcia-Salorio JL. Chronic epidural dorsal column stimulation in the treatment of causalgic pain. Appl Neurophysiol 1982;45:190–4.

Broggi G, Servello D, Dones I, Carbone G. Italian multicentric study on pain treatment with epidural spinal cord stimulation. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 1994;62(1–4):273–8.

Kay AD, McIntyre MD, Macrae WA, Varma TR. Spinal cord stimulation—A long-term evaluation in patients with chronic pain. Br J Neurosurg 2001;15(4):335–41.

Kumar K, Hunter G, Demeria D. Spinal cord stimulation in treatment of chronic benign pain: Challenges in treatment planning and present status, a 22-year experience. Neurosurgery 2006; 58(3):481–96.

Kumar K, Toth C, Nath RK, Laing P. Epidural spinal cord stimulation for treatment of chronic pain—Some predictors of success. A 15-year experience. Surg Neurol 1998;50(2):110–20.

Kumar K, Toth C, Nath RK. Spinal cord stimulation for chronic pain in peripheral neuropathy. Surg Neurol 1996;46(4):363–9.

Lazorthes Y, Siegfried J, Verdie JC, Casaux J. Chronic spinal cord stimulation in the treatment of neurogenic pain. Cooperative and retrospective study on 20 years of follow-up [French]. Neurochirurgie 1995;41(2):73–86.

May MS, Banks C, Thomson SJ. A retrospective, long-term, third-party follow-up of patients considered for spinal cord stimulation. Neuromodulation 2002;5(3):137–44.

Meglio M, Cioni B, Prezioso A, Talamonti G. Spinal cord stimulation in deafferentation pain. Pacing Clin Electrophysiol 1989;12(4 Pt 2):709–12.

Nielson KD, Adams JE, Hosobuchi Y. Experience with dorsal column stimulation for relief of chronic intractable pain: 1968–1973. Surg Neurol 1975;4:148–52.

North RB, Kidd DH, Zahurak M, James CS, Long DM. Spinal cord stimulation for chronic, intractable pain: Experience over two decades. Neurosurgery 1993;32(3):384–94.

Spiegelmann R, Friedman WA. Spinal cord stimulation: A contemporary series. Neurosurgery 1991;28(1):65–70.

Sundaraj SR, Johnstone C, Noore F, Wynn P, Castro M. Spinal cord stimulation: A seven-year audit. J Clin Neurosci 2005;12(3):264–70.

Van de Kelft E, De la Porte C. Long-term pain relief during spinal cord stimulation. The effect of patient selection. Qual Life Res 1994;3(1):21–7.

#### Phantom Limb/Postamputation Syndrome

Katayama Y, Yamamoto T, Kobayashi K, et al. Motor cortex stimulation for phantom limb pain: Comprehensive therapy with spinal cord and thalamic stimulation. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 2001;77(1–4):159–62.

Krainick JU, Thoden U, Riechert T. Pain reduction in amputees by long-term spinal cord stimulation. Long-term follow-up study over 5 years. J Neurosurg 1980;52(3):346–50.

Nittner K. Localization of electrodes in cases of phantom limb pain in the lower limbs. Appl Neurophysiol 1982;45:205–8.

### Phantom Limb/Postamputation Syndrome in Studies with Mixed Indications

Broseta J, Roldan P, Gonzalez-Darder J, Bordes V, Barcia-Salorio JL. Chronic epidural dorsal column stimulation in the treatment of causalgic pain. Appl Neurophysiol 1982;45:190–4.

Broggi G, Servello D, Dones I, Carbone G. Italian multicentric study on pain treatment with epidural spinal cord stimulation. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 1994;62(1–4):273–8.

Devulder J, De Colvenaer L, Rolly G, et al. Spinal cord stimulation in chronic pain therapy. Clin J Pain 1990;6:51–6.

Fenollosa P, Pallares J, Cervera J, et al. Chronic pain in the spinal cord injured: Statistical approach and pharmacological treatment. Paraplegia 1993; 31(11):722–9.

Garcia-March G, Sanchez-Ledesma MJ, Diaz P, et al. Dorsal root entry zone lesion versus spinal cord stimulation in the management of pain from brachial plexus avulsion. Acta Neurochir Suppl (Wien) 1987;39:155–8.

Kay AD, McIntyre MD, Macrae WA, Varma TR. Spinal cord stimulation—A long-term evaluation in patients with chronic pain. Br J Neurosurg 2001;15(4):335–41.

Krainick JU, Thoden U, Riechert T. Spinal cord stimulation in post-amputation pain. Surg Neurol 1975;4(1):167–70.

Kumar K, Nath R, Wyant GM. Treatment of chronic pain by epidural spinal cord stimulation: A 10-year experience. J Neurosurg 1991;75(3): 402–7.

Kumar K, Toth C, Nath RK, Laing P. Epidural spinal cord stimulation for treatment of chronic pain—Some predictors of success. A 15-year experience. Surg Neurol 1998;50(2):110–20.

Lang P. The treatment of chronic pain by epidural spinal cord stimulation—A 15 year follow up: Present status. Axon 1997;18(4):71–3.

Lazorthes Y, Siegfried J, Verdie JC, Casaux J. Chronic spinal cord stimulation in the treatment of neurogenic pain. Cooperative and retrospective study on 20 years of follow-up [French]. Neurochirurgie 1995;41(2):73–86.

May MS, Banks C, Thomson SJ. A retrospective, long-term, third-party follow-up of patients considered for spinal cord stimulation. Neuromodulation 2002;5(3):137–44.

Nielson KD, Adams JE, Hosobuchi Y. Experience with dorsal column stimulation for relief of chronic intractable pain: 1968–1973. Surg Neurol 1975;4:148–52.

North RB, Kidd DH, Zahurak M, James CS, Long DM. Spinal cord stimulation for chronic, intractable pain: Experience over two decades. Neurosurgery 1993;32(3):384–94.

Sanchez-Ledesma MJ, Garcia-March G, Diaz-Cascajo P, Gomez-Moreta J, Broseta J. Spinal cord stimulation in deafferentation pain. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 1989;53(1):40–5.

Sundaraj SR, Johnstone C, Noore F, Wynn P, Castro M. Spinal cord stimulation: A seven-year audit. J Clin Neurosci 2005;12(3):264–70.

Van de Kelft E, De la Porte C. Long-term pain relief during spinal cord stimulation. The effect of patient selection. Qual Life Res 1994;3(1): 21–7.

S218 North and Shipley

#### Postherpetic Neuralgia (PHN)

Harke H, Gretenkort P, Ladleif HU, Koester P, Rahman S. Spinal cord stimulation in postherpetic neuralgia and in acute herpes zoster pain. Anesth Analg 2002;94(3):694–700.

Meglio M, Cioni B, Prezioso A, Talamonti G. Spinal cord stimulation in the treatment of postherpetic pain. Acta Neurochir Suppl (Wien) 1989; 46:65–6.

#### PHN in Studies with Mixed Indications

Broggi G, Servello D, Dones I, Carbone G. Italian multicentric study on pain treatment with epidural spinal cord stimulation. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 1994;62(1–4):273–8.

Kumar K, Toth C, Nath RK. Spinal cord stimulation for chronic pain in peripheral neuropathy. Surg Neurol 1996;46(4):363–9.

Meglio M, Cioni B, Prezioso A, Talamonti G. Spinal cord stimulation in deafferentation pain. Pacing Clin Electrophysiol 1989;12(4 Pt 2):709–12

Meglio M, Cioni B, Rossi GF. Spinal cord stimulation in management of chronic pain. A 9-year experience. J Neurosurg 1989;70(4):519–24.

Sanchez-Ledesma MJ, Garcia-March G, Diaz-Cascajo P, Gomez-Moreta J, Broseta J. Spinal cord stimulation in deafferentation pain. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 1989;53(1):40–5.

Shimoji K, Hokari T, Kano T, et al. Management of intractable pain with percutaneous epidural spinal cord stimulation: Differences in pain-relieving effects among diseases and sites of pain. Anesth Analg 1993;77:110–6.

Spiegelmann R, Friedman WA. Spinal cord stimulation: A contemporary series. Neurosurgery 1991;28(1):65–70.

Tseng SH. Treatment of chronic pain by spinal cord stimulation. J Formos Med Assoc 2000;99(3): 267–71.

Van de Kelft E, De la Porte C. Long-term pain relief during spinal cord stimulation. The effect of patient selection. Qual Life Res 1994;3(1):21–7.

# Root Injury Pain (in Studies with Mixed Indications)

Broseta J, Roldan P, Gonzalez-Darder J, Bordes V, Barcia-Salorio JL. Chronic epidural dorsal column stimulation in the treatment of causalgic pain. Appl Neurophysiol 1982;45:190–4.

Kim SH, Tasker RR, Oh MY. Spinal cord stimulation for nonspecific limb pain versus neuropathic pain and spontaneous versus evoked pain. Neurosurgery 2001;48(5):1056–64.

Meglio M, Cioni B, Prezioso A, Talamonti G. Spinal cord stimulation in deafferentation pain. Pacing Clin Electrophysiol 1989;12(4 Pt 2):709–12.

Meglio M, Cioni B, Rossi GF. Spinal cord stimulation in management of chronic pain. A 9-year experience. J Neurosurg 1989;70(4):519–24.

Sanchez-Ledesma MJ, Garcia-March G, Diaz-Cascajo P, Gomez-Moreta J, Broseta J. Spinal cord stimulation in deafferentation pain. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 1989;53(1):40–5.

Tsuda T, Tasker RR. Percutaneous epidural electrical stimulation of the spinal cord for intractable pain—with special reference to deafferentation pain [Japanese]. No Shinkei Geka 1985;13(4):409–15

#### Spinal Cord Injury/Lesion

Buchhaas U, Koulousakis A, Nittner K. Experience with spinal cord stimulation in the management of chronic pain in a traumatic transverse lesion syndrome. Neurosurg Rev 1989;12(suppl 1):582–7.

Cioni B, Meglio M, Pentimalli L, Visocchi M. Spinal cord stimulation in the treatment of paraplegic pain. J Neurosurg 1995;82(1):35–9.

Laffey JG, Murphy D, Regan J, O'Keeffe D. Efficacy of spinal cord stimulation for neuropathic pain following idiopathic acute transverse myelitis: A case report. Clin Neurol Neurosurg 1999; 101(2):125–7.

Loubser PG. Adverse effects of epidural spinal cord stimulation on bladder function in a patient with chronic spinal cord injury pain. J Pain Symptom Manage 1997;13(5):251–2.

Nomura Y, Fukuuchi A, Iwade M, et al. A case of spasticity following spinal cord injury improved by epidural spinal cord stimulation [Japanese]. Masui 1995;44(5):732–4.

Ohta Y, Akino M, Iwasaki Y, Abe H. Spinal epidural stimulation for central pain caused by spinal cord lesion [Japanese]. No Shinkei Geka 1992;20(2):147–52.

Rogano L, Teixeira MJ, Lepski G. Chronic pain after spinal cord injury: Clinical characteristics. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 2003;81(1–4):65–9.

### Spinal Cord Injury/Lesion in Studies with Mixed Indications

Lazorthes Y, Siegfried J, Verdie JC, Casaux J. Chronic spinal cord stimulation in the treatment of neurogenic pain. Cooperative and retrospective study on 20 years of follow-up [French]. Neurochirurgie 1995;41(2):73–86.

Meglio M, Cioni B, Rossi GF. Spinal cord stimulation in management of chronic pain. A 9-year experience. J Neurosurg 1989;70(4):519–24.

North RB, Kidd DH, Zahurak M, James CS, Long DM. Spinal cord stimulation for chronic, intractable pain: Experience over two decades. Neurosurgery 1993;32(3):384–94.

Tseng SH. Treatment of chronic pain by spinal cord stimulation. J Formos Med Assoc 2000;99(3): 267–71.

Tsuda T, Tasker RR. Percutaneous epidural electrical stimulation of the spinal cord for intractable pain—with special reference to deafferentation pain [Japanese]. No Shinkei Geka 1985;13(4):409–15.

Waltz JM. Spinal cord stimulation: A quarter century of development and investigation. A review of its development and effectiveness in 1,336 cases. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 1997; 69(1–4 Pt 2):288–99.

#### Miscellaneous Indications

Butler JD, Miles J. Dysaesthetic neck pain with syncope. Pain 1998;75(2–3):395–7.

Devulder J, De Colvenaer L, Rolly G, et al. Spinal cord stimulation in chronic pain therapy. Clin J Pain 1990;6:51–6.

Kirvela OA, Kotilainen E. Successful treatment of whiplash-type injury induced severe pain syndrome with epidural stimulation: A case report. Pain 1999;80(1–2):441–3.

Quigley DG, Arnold J, Eldridge PR, et al. Long-term outcome of spinal cord stimulation and hardware complications. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 2003;81(1–4):50–6.

Shimoji K, Hokari T, Kano T, et al. Management of intractable pain with percutaneous epidural spinal cord stimulation: Differences in painrelieving effects among diseases and sites of pain. Anesth Analg 1993;77:110–6.

Simpson BA, Bassett G, Davies K, Herbert C, Pierri M. Cervical spinal cord stimulation for pain: A report on 41 patients. Neuromodulation 2003;6(1):20–6.

Vallejo R, Kramer J, Benyamin R. Neuromodulation of the cervical spinal cord in the treatment of chronic intractable neck and upper extremity pain: A case series and review of the literature. Pain Physician 2007;10(2):305–311.

Villavicencio AT, Leveque JC, Rubin L, Bulsara K, Gorecki JP. Laminectomy versus percutaneous electrode placement for spinal cord stimulation. Neurosurgery 2000;46(2):399–405.

Whiteside JL, Walters MD, Mekhail N. Spinal cord stimulation for intractable vulvar pain. A case report. J Reprod Med 2003;48(10):821–3.

#### **Potential Beneficial Outcomes**

What are the potential beneficial outcomes of the treatment of neuropathic pain with SCS? (See below for assessment methodology.)

- Pain relief: The primary outcome measure of the success of SCS is patient-reported pain relief.
- Reduced consumption of health care resources: Patients in whom SCS is successful should be able to reduce or eliminate their intake of pain medication as well as their use of additional therapeutic procedures.
- Improvement in function as demonstrated by increased ability to engage in activities of daily living, e.g., walking, climbing stairs, sleeping, engaging in sex, driving a car, and sitting at a table
- Improvement in quality of life
- Patient satisfaction with treatment (would repeat treatment to achieve the same result)
- Improvement in symptoms of depression
- Improved neurologic function (lower extremity strength and coordination, sensation, bladder/bowel function) is not an expected direct benefit but might occur as an indirect benefit of pain control or discontinuation of other treatment (viz., opioids).
- Return to work: This is an expected beneficial outcome in patients whose uncontrolled chronic pain was the only impediment to gainful employment. Employment status depends on many factors beyond pain control, however,

S220 North and Shipley

including the patient's training and education, field of employment, work history, overall physical condition, and age. Furthermore, it is not unusual for SCS patients to have been disabled by their pain and unable to work for a significant period of time while they were unsuccessfully treated with other therapies before receiving SCS. Any significant unemployment period decreases the likelihood of return to work even after successfully treatment. However, some SCS patients, as reported in the cited literature, do return to work, and it is reasonable to assume that when SCS treatment is offered earlier in the treatment continuum, more disabled patients will be able to return to work.

Strength of recommendation	NA—information only
Evidence sources	NA—see Bibliography for relevant literature

#### Pain Relief

The arbitrary criterion of 50% pain relief has been used in reports on SCS for decades (see, e.g., Long DM, Erickson DE. Stimulation of the posterior columns of the spinal cord for relief of intractable pain. Surg Neurol 1975;4:134–41). For commentaries on this topic, see 1) North RB. The glass is half full. Pain Forum 1999;8(4):195–7; and 2) Simpson BA. Selection of patients and assessment of outcome. In Simpson BA, ed. Electrical Stimulation and the Relief of Pain. Amsterdam: Elsevier Science; 2003:236–47.

Pain relief is addressed by most of the articles cited in this bibliography. Exceptions will be obvious and include articles that focus on such topics as neurologic/functional effects, methods of assessing outcome, etc.

#### Reduction in Medication Use in RCT

North RB, Kidd DH, Farrokhi F, Piantadosi S. Spinal cord stimulation versus repeated lumbosacral spine surgery for chronic pain: A randomized, controlled trial. Neurosurgery 2005;56(1):98–106.

# Reduction in Medication Use in Long-Term Follow-Up Studies

Blond S, Armignies P, Parker F, et al. Chronic sciatalgia caused by sensitive deafferentiation following surgery for lumbar disk hernia:

Clinical and therapeutic aspects. Apropos of 110 patients [French]. Neurochirurgie 1991;37(2): 86–95.

Budd K. Spinal cord stimulation: Cost-benefit study. Neuromodulation 2002;5(2):75–8.

Calvillo O, Racz G, Didie J, Smith K. Neuroaugmentation in the treatment of complex regional pain syndrome of the upper extremity. Acta Orthop Belg 1998;64(1):57–63.

De Andres J, Quiroz C, Villanueva V, et al. Patient satisfaction with spinal cord stimulation for failed back surgery syndrome [Spanish]. Rev Esp Anestesiol Reanim 2007;54(1):17–22.

Frank ED, Menefee LA, Jalali S, et al. The utility of a 7-day percutaneous spinal cord stimulator trial measured by a pain diary: A long-term retrospective analysis. Neuromodulation 2005;8(3): 162–70.

Harke H, Gretenkort P, Ladleif HU, Rahman S. Spinal cord stimulation in sympathetically maintained complex regional pain syndrome type I with severe disability. A prospective clinical trial. Eur J Pain 2005;9(4):363–73.

Kumar K, Malik S, Demeria D. Treatment of chronic pain with spinal cord stimulation versus alternative therapies: Cost-effectiveness analysis. Neurosurgery 2002;51(1):106–15.

Kumar K, Nath RK, Toth C. Spinal cord stimulation is effective in the management of reflex sympathetic dystrophy. Neurosurgery 1997;40(3): 503–8.

North RB, Ewend ME, Lawton MA, Piantadosi S. Spinal cord stimulation for chronic, intractable pain: Superiority of "multi-channel" devices. Pain 1991;44(2):119–30.

North RB, Kidd DH, Olin J, et al. Spinal cord stimulation for axial low back pain: A prospective, controlled trial comparing dual with single percutaneous electrodes. Spine 2005;30(12): 1412–8.

North RB, Kidd DH, Zahurak M, James CS, Long DM. Spinal cord stimulation for chronic, intractable pain: Experience over two decades. Neurosurgery 1993;32(3):384–94.

Ohnmeiss DD, Rashbaum RF, Bogdanffy GM. Prospective outcome evaluation of spinal cord stimulation in patients with intractable leg pain. Spine 1996;21(11):1344–50.

de la Porte C, Siegfried J. Lumbosacral spinal fibrosis (spinal arachnoiditis). Its diagnosis and treatment by spinal cord stimulation. Spine 1983; 8(6):593–603.

de la Porte C, Van de Kelft E. Spinal cord stimulation in failed back surgery syndrome. Pain 1993; 52(1):55–61.

Quigley DG, Arnold J, Eldridge PR, et al. Long-term outcome of spinal cord stimulation and hardware complications. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 2003;81(1–4):50–6.

Van Buyten J-P, Van Zundert JV, Milbouw G. Treatment of failed back surgery syndrome patients with low back and leg pain: A pilot study of a new dual lead spinal cord stimulation system. Neuromodulation 1999;2(3):258–65.

Van Buyten J-P, Van Zundert JV, Vueghs P, Vanduffel L. Efficacy of spinal cord stimulation: 10 years of experience in a pain centre in Belgium. Eur J Pain 2001;5(3):299–307.

### Reduction in Medication Use in Short-Term Follow-Up Studies

Abejón D, Reig E, del Pozo C, Contreras R, Insausti J. Dual spinal cord stimulation for complex pain: Preliminary study. Neuromodulation 2005;8(2):105–11.

Allegri M, Arachi G, Barbieri M, et al. Prospective study of the success and efficacy of spinal cord stimulation. Minerva Anestesiol 2004;70(3):117–24.

Barolat G, Schwartzman R, Woo R. Epidural spinal cord stimulation in the management of reflex sympathetic dystrophy. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 1989;53(1):29–39.

Broseta J, Roldan P, Gonzalez-Darder J, Bordes V, Barcia-Salorio JL. Chronic epidural dorsal column stimulation in the treatment of causalgic pain. Appl Neurophysiol 1982;45:190–4.

Burton AW, Fukshansky M, Brown J, Hassenbusch SJ III. Refractory insomnia in a patient with spinal cord stimulator lead migration. Neuromodulation 2004;7(4):242–5.

De Mulder PA, te Rijdt B, Veeckmans G, Belmans L. Evaluation of a dual quadripolar surgically

implanted spinal cord stimulation lead for failed back surgery patients with chronic low back and leg pain. Neuromodulation 2005;8(4):219–24.

Kumar A, Felderhof C, Eljamel MS. Spinal cord stimulation for the treatment of refractory unilateral limb pain syndromes. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 2003;81(1–4):70–4.

de la Porte C, Siegfried J. Lumbosacral spinal fibrosis (spinal arachnoiditis). Its diagnosis and treatment by spinal cord stimulation. Spine 1983; 8(6):593–603.

Rutten S, Komp M, Godolias G. Spinal cord stimulation (SCS) using an 8-pole electrode and double-electrode system as minimally invasive therapy of the post-discotomy and post-fusion syndrome—Prospective study results in 34 patients [German]. Z Orthop Ihre Grenzgeb 2002;140(6):626–31.

Shimoji K, Hokari T, Kano T, et al. Management of intractable pain with percutaneous epidural spinal cord stimulation: Differences in pain-relieving effects among diseases and sites of pain. Anesth Analg 1993;77:110–6.

Spiegelmann R, Friedman WA. Spinal cord stimulation: A contemporary series. Neurosurgery 1991;28(1):65–70.

Spincemaille GH, Beersen N, Dekkers MA, Theuvenet PJ. Neuropathic limb pain and spinal cord stimulation: Results of the Dutch prospective study. Neuromodulation 2004;7(3):184–92.

Sundaraj SR, Johnstone C, Noore F, Wynn P, Castro M. Spinal cord stimulation: A seven-year audit. J Clin Neurosci 2005;12(3):264–70.

#### No Reduction Found in Medication Use

Burchiel KJ, Anderson VC, Brown FD, et al. Prospective, multicenter study of spinal cord stimulation for relief of chronic back and extremity pain. Spine 1996;21(23):2786–94.

# Increased Ability to Engage in Activities of Daily Living/Improved Quality of Life Considered in Long-Term Follow-Up Studies

Budd K. Spinal cord stimulation: Cost-benefit study. Neuromodulation 2002;5(2):75–8.

Dario A, Fortini G, Bertollo D, et al. Treatment of failed back surgery syndrome. Neuromodulation 2001;4(3):105–10.

Harke H, Gretenkort P, Ladleif HU, Koester P, Rahman S. Spinal cord stimulation in postherpetic

S222 North and Shipley

neuralgia and in acute herpes zoster pain. Anesth Analg 2002;94(3):694–700.

Kumar K, Malik S, Demeria D. Treatment of chronic pain with spinal cord stimulation versus alternative therapies: Cost-effectiveness analysis. Neurosurgery2002;51(1):106–15.

Kumar K, Toth C. The role of spinal cord stimulation in the treatment of chronic pain postlaminectomy. Curr Pain Headache Rep 1998;2:85–92.

Kumar K, Toth C, Nath RK, Laing P. Epidural spinal cord stimulation for treatment of chronic pain—Some predictors of success. A 15-year experience. Surg Neurol 1998;50(2):110–20.

May MS, Banks C, Thomson SJ. A retrospective, long-term, third-party follow-up of patients considered for spinal cord stimulation. Neuromodulation 2002;5(3):137–44.

North RB, Ewend ME, Lawton MA, Piantadosi S. Spinal cord stimulation for chronic, intractable pain: Superiority of "multi-channel" devices. Pain 1991;44(2):119–30.

North RB, Kidd DH, Zahurak M, James CS, Long DM. Spinal cord stimulation for chronic, intractable pain: Experience over two decades. Neurosurgery 1993;32(3):384–94.

de la Porte C, Van de Kelft E. Spinal cord stimulation in failed back surgery syndrome. Pain 1993; 52(1):55–61.

Rainov NG, Heidecke V, Burkert W. Short testperiod spinal cord stimulation for failed back surgery syndrome. Minim Invasive Neurosurg 1996;39(2):41–4.

van Buyten J-P, van Zundert JV, Milbouw G. Treatment of failed back surgery syndrome patients with low back and leg pain: A pilot study of a new dual lead spinal cord stimulation system. Neuromodulation 1999;2(3):258–65.

van Buyten J-P, van Zundert J, Vueghs P, Vanduffel L. Efficacy of spinal cord stimulation: 10 years of experience in a pain centre in Belgium. Eur J Pain 2001;5(3):299–307.

#### Increased Ability to Engage in Activities of Daily Living/Improved Quality of Life Considered in Short-Term Follow-Up Studies

Abejón D, Reig E, del Pozo C, Contreras R, Insausti J. Dual spinal cord stimulation for complex pain: Preliminary study. Neuromodulation 2005;8(2):105–11.

Allegri M, Arachi G, Barbieri M, et al. Prospective study of the success and efficacy of spinal cord stimulation. Minerva Anestesiol 2004;70(3):117–24.

Barolat G, Oakley JC, Law JD, et al. Epidural spinal cord stimulation with a multiple electrode paddle lead is effective in treating intractable low back pain. Neuromodulation 2001;4(2):59–66.

Blond S, Buisset N, Dam Hieu P, et al. Costbenefit evaluation of spinal cord stimulation treatment for failed-back surgery syndrome patients [French]. Neurochirurgie 2004;50(4):443–53.

Burchiel KJ, Anderson VC, Brown FD, et al. Prospective, multicenter study of spinal cord stimulation for relief of chronic back and extremity pain. Spine 1996;21(23):2786–94.

De Mulder PA, te Rijdt B, Veeckmans G, Belmans L. Evaluation of a dual quadripolar surgically implanted spinal cord stimulation lead for failed back surgery patients with chronic low back and leg pain. Neuromodulation 2005;8(4):219–24.

Leclercq TA, Russo E. Epidural stimulation for pain control [French]. Neurochirurgie 1981;27(2): 125–8.

Raphael JH, Southall JL, Gnanadurai TV, Treharne GJ, Kitas GD. Chronic mechanical low back pain: A comparative study with intrathecal opioids drug delivery. Neuromodulation 2004;7(4):260–6.

Rutten S, Komp M, Godolias G. Spinal cord stimulation (SCS) using an 8-pole electrode and double-electrode system as minimally invasive therapy of the post-discotomy and post-fusion syndrome—Prospective study results in 34 patients [German]. Z Orthop Ihre Grenzgeb 2002;140(6):626–31.

Spiegelmann R, Friedman WA. Spinal cord stimulation: A contemporary series. Neurosurgery 1991;28(1):65–70.

Stultz M. Quality of life, function and pain relief attributed to two types of spinal cord stimulation systems: Result of a patient survey. Pain Digest 1999;9:348–352.

Sundaraj SR, Johnstone C, Noore F, Wynn P, Castro M. Spinal cord stimulation: A seven-year audit. J Clin Neurosci 2005;12(3):264–70.

#### Patient Satisfaction with Treatment

Abejón D, Reig E, del Pozo C, Contreras R, Insausti J. Dual spinal cord stimulation for complex pain: Preliminary study. Neuromodulation 2005;8(2):105–11.

Aló KM, Redko V, Charnov J. Four year follow-up of dual electrode spinal cord stimulation for chronic pain. Neuromodulation 2002;5(2):79–99.

Aló KM, Yland MJ, Charnov JH, Redko V. Multiple program spinal cord stimulation in the treatment of chronic pain: Follow-up of multiple program SCS. Neuromodulation 1999;2(4):266–72

Bennett DS, Aló KM, Oakley J, Feler CA. Spinal cord stimulation for Complex Regional Pain Syndrome I (RSD). A retrospective multicenter experience from 1995–1998 of 101 patients. Neuromodulation 1999;2(3):202–10.

De Andres J, Quiroz C, Villanueva V, et al. Patient satisfaction with spinal cord stimulation for failed back surgery syndrome [Spanish]. Rev Esp Anestesiol Reanim 2007;54(1):17–22.

Deer T, Kim C, Bowman R, et al. Initial experience with a new rechargeable generator: A report of twenty systems at 3 months status postimplant in patients with lumbar postlaminectomy syndrome [Abstract]. Neuromodulation 2006;9(1):9–10.

De Mulder PA, te Rijdt B, Veeckmans G, Belmans L. Evaluation of a dual quadripolar surgically implanted spinal cord stimulation lead for failed back surgery patients with chronic low back and leg pain. Neuromodulation 2005;8(4):219–24.

Frank ED, Menefee LA, Jalali S, et al. The utility of a 7-day percutaneous spinal cord stimulator trial measured by a pain diary: A long-term retrospective analysis. Neuromodulation 2005;8(3): 162–70.

Kumar K, Hunter G, Demeria D. Spinal cord stimulation in treatment of chronic benign pain: Challenges in treatment planning and present status, a 22-year experience. Neurosurgery 2006; 58(3):481–96.

Kumar K, Malik S, Demeria D. Treatment of chronic pain with spinal cord stimulation versus alternative therapies: Cost-effectiveness analysis. Neurosurgery 2002;51(1):106–15.

Kupers RC, Van den Oever R, Van Houdenhove B, et al. Spinal cord stimulation in Belgium: A nation-wide survey on the incidence, indications and therapeutic efficacy by the health insurer. Pain 1994;56(2):211–6.

May MS, Banks C, Thomson SJ. A retrospective, long-term, third-party follow-up of patients considered for spinal cord stimulation. Neuromodulation 2002;5(3):137–44.

North RB, Ewend ME, Lawton MA, Kidd DH, Piantadosi S. Failed back surgery syndrome: 5-year follow-up after spinal cord stimulator implantation. Neurosurgery 1991;28(5):692–9.

North RB, Ewend ME, Lawton MA, Piantadosi S. Spinal cord stimulation for chronic, intractable pain: Superiority of "multi-channel" devices. Pain 1991;44(2):119–30.

North RB, Kidd DH, Farrokhi F, Piantadosi S. Spinal cord stimulation versus repeated lumbosacral spine surgery for chronic pain: A randomized, controlled trial. Neurosurgery 2005;56(1):98–106.

North RB, Kidd DH, Olin J, et al. Spinal cord stimulation for axial low back pain: A prospective, controlled trial comparing dual with single percutaneous electrodes. Spine 2005;30(12):1412–8.

North RB, Kidd DH, Petrucci L, Dorsi MJ. Spinal cord stimulation electrode design: A prospective, randomized, controlled trial comparing percutaneous with laminectomy electrodes: Part II-clinical outcomes. Neurosurgery 2005;57(5): 990–5.

Ohnmeiss DD, Rashbaum RF. Patient satisfaction with spinal cord stimulation for predominant complaints of chronic, intractable low back pain. Spine J 2001;1(5):358–63.

Ohnmeiss DD, Rashbaum RF, Bogdanffy GM. Prospective outcome evaluation of spinal cord stimulation in patients with intractable leg pain. Spine 2001;21(11):1344–50.

de la Porte C, Siegfried J. Lumbosacral spinal fibrosis (spinal arachnoiditis). Its diagnosis and treatment by spinal cord stimulation. Spine 1983; 8(6):593–603.

#### Improvement in Symptoms of Depression

Burchiel KJ, Anderson VC, Brown FD, et al. Prospective, multicenter study of spinal cord stimulation for relief of chronic back and extremity pain. Spine 1996;21(23):2786–94.

Burchiel KJ, Anderson VC, Wilson BJ, et al. Prognostic factors of spinal cord stimulation for chronic back and leg pain. Neurosurgery 1995; 36(6):1101–10.

Kumar K, Hunter G, Demeria D. Spinal cord stimulation in treatment of chronic benign pain: Challenges in treatment planning and present status, a 22-year experience. Neurosurgery 2006; 58(3):481–96.

S224 North and Shipley

Levita E, Sorkin BA, Waltz JM. Spinal cord stimulation revisited: Psychological effects. Appl Neurophysiol 1986;49(1–2):69–75.

May MS, Banks C, Thomson SJ. A retrospective, long-term, third-party follow-up of patients considered for spinal cord stimulation. Neuromodulation 2002;5(3):137–44.

Oakley JC, Weiner RL. Spinal cord stimulation for complex regional pain syndrome: A prospective study of 19 patients at two centers. Neuromodulation 1999;2(1):47–50.

### Improved Neurologic/Physical Function in Long-Term Follow-Up Studies

Barolat G, Singh-Sahni K, Staas WE, Jr., et al. Epidural spinal cord stimulation in the management of spasms in spinal cord injury: A prospective study. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 1995;64(3): 153–64.

Budd K. Spinal cord stimulation: Cost-benefit study. Neuromodulation 2002;5(2):75–8.

Hirata Y, Murakami M, Ushio Y. Successful treatment by spinal cord stimulation for gait disturbance in a patient with diffuse axonal injury. Acta Neurochir Suppl 2003;87:49–52.

Huang H, He J, Herman R, Carhart MR. Modulation effects of epidural spinal cord stimulation on muscle activities during walking. IEEE Trans Neural Syst Rehabil Eng 2006;14(1):14–23.

Kiwerski J. Stimulation of the spinal cord in the treatment of traumatic injuries of cervical spine. Appl Neurophysiol 1986;49(3):166–71.

Kumar K, Hunter G, Demeria D. Spinal cord stimulation in treatment of chronic benign pain: Challenges in treatment planning and present status, a 22-year experience. Neurosurgery 2006; 58(3):481–96.

North RB, Kidd DH, Olin J, et al. Spinal cord stimulation for axial low back pain: A prospective, controlled trial comparing dual with single percutaneous electrodes. Spine 2005;30(12):1412–8.

Ohnmeiss DD, Rashbaum RF, Bogdanffy GM. Prospective outcome evaluation of spinal cord stimulation in patients with intractable leg pain. Spine 1996;21(11):1344–50.

Rainov NG, Heidecke V, Burkert W. Short testperiod spinal cord stimulation for failed back surgery syndrome. Minim Invasive Neurosurg 1996;39(2):41–4.

## Improved Neurologic/Physical Function in Short-Term Follow-Up Studies

Allegri M, Arachi G, Barbieri M, et al. Prospective study of the success and efficacy of spinal cord stimulation. Minerva Anestesiol 2004;70(3):117–24.

Burchiel KJ, Anderson VC, Brown FD, et al. Prospective, multicenter study of spinal cord stimulation for relief of chronic back and extremity pain. Spine 1996;21(23):2786–94.

Burchiel KJ, Anderson VC, Wilson BJ, et al. Prognostic factors of spinal cord stimulation for chronic back and leg pain. Neurosurgery 1995; 36(6):1101–10.

Harke H, Gretenkort P, Ladleif HU, Rahman S. Spinal cord stimulation in sympathetically maintained complex regional pain syndrome type I with severe disability. A prospective clinical trial. Eur J Pain 2005;9(4):363–73.

Oakley JC, Weiner RL. Spinal cord stimulation for complex regional pain syndrome: A prospective study of 19 patients at two centers. Neuromodulation 1999;2(1):47–50.

Spincemaille GH, Beersen N, Dekkers MA, Theuvenet PJ. Neuropathic limb pain and spinal cord stimulation: Results of the Dutch prospective study. Neuromodulation 2004;7(3):184–92.

## Improved Neurologic/Physical Function in Case Reports

Buonocore M, Demartini L, Bonezzi C. Lumbar spinal cord stimulation can improve muscle strength and gait independently of the analgesic effect: A case report. Neuromodulation 2006;9(4): 309–13.

Carhart MR, He J, Herman R, D'Luzansky S, Willis WT. Epidural spinal-cord stimulation facilitates recovery of functional walking following incomplete spinal-cord injury. IEEE Trans Neural Syst Rehabil Eng 2004;12(1):32–42.

Loubser PG. Adverse effects of epidural spinal cord stimulation on bladder function in a patient with chronic spinal cord injury pain. J Pain Symptom Manage 1997;13(5):251–2.

# Improved Return to Work in Long-Term Follow-Up Studies

Budd K. Spinal cord stimulation: Cost-benefit study. Neuromodulation 2002;5(2):75–8.

Calvillo O, Racz G, Didie J, Smith K. Neuroaugmentation in the treatment of complex regional pain syndrome of the upper extremity. Acta Orthop Belg 1998;64(1):57–63.

Dario A, Fortini G, Bertollo D, et al. Treatment of failed back surgery syndrome. Neuromodulation 2001;4(3):105–10.

Devulder J, de Laat M, van Bastelaere M, Rolly G. Spinal cord stimulation: A valuable treatment for chronic failed back surgery patients. J Pain Symptom Manage 1997;13(5):296–301.

Fiume D, Sherkat S, Callovini GM, Parziale G, Gazzeri G. Treatment of the failed back surgery syndrome due to lumbo-sacral epidural fibrosis. Acta Neurochir Suppl 1995;64:116–8.

Kumar K, Hunter G, Demeria D. Spinal cord stimulation in treatment of chronic benign pain: Challenges in treatment planning and present status, a 22-year experience. Neurosurgery 2006; 58(3):481–96.

Kumar K, Malik S, Demeria D. Treatment of chronic pain with spinal cord stimulation versus alternative therapies: Cost-effectiveness analysis. Neurosurgery 2002;51(1):106–15.

Kumar K, Toth C. The role of spinal cord stimulation in the treatment of chronic pain postlaminectomy. Curr Pain Headache Rep 1998;2:85–92.

Kumar K, Toth C, Nath RK, Laing P. Epidural spinal cord stimulation for treatment of chronic pain—Some predictors of success. A 15-year experience. Surg Neurol 1998;50(2):110–20.

Leclercq TA, Russo E. Epidural stimulation for pain control [French]. Neurochirurgie 1981;27(2): 125–8.

North RB, Ewend ME, Lawton MA, Piantadosi S. Spinal cord stimulation for chronic, intractable pain: Superiority of "multi-channel" devices. Pain 1991;44(2):119–30.

North RB, Kidd DH, Olin J, et al. Spinal cord stimulation for axial low back pain: A prospective, controlled trial comparing dual with single percutaneous electrodes. Spine 2005;30(12):1412–8.

de la Porte C, Siegfried J. Lumbosacral spinal fibrosis (spinal arachnoiditis). Its diagnosis and treatment by spinal cord stimulation. Spine 1983; 8(6):593–603.

Improved Return to Work in Short-Term Follow-Up Studies

Bel S, Bauer BL. Dorsal column stimulation (DCS): Cost to benefit analysis. Acta Neurochir 1991;52(suppl):121–3.

Broseta J, Roldan P, Gonzalez-Darder J, Bordes V, Barcia-Salorio JL. Chronic epidural dorsal column stimulation in the treatment of causalgic pain. Appl Neurophysiol 1982;45:190–4.

Burton AW, Fukshansky M, Brown J, Hassenbusch SJ III. Refractory insomnia in a patient with spinal cord stimulator lead migration. Neuromodulation 2004;7(4):242–5.

Harke H, Gretenkort P, Ladleif HU, Rahman S. Spinal cord stimulation in sympathetically maintained complex regional pain syndrome type I with severe disability. A prospective clinical trial. Eur J Pain 2005;9(4):363–73.

Kumar A, Felderhof C, Eljamel MS. Spinal cord stimulation for the treatment of refractory unilateral limb pain syndromes. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 2003;81(1–4):70–4.

Kumar K, Toth C, Nath RK, Laing P. Epidural spinal cord stimulation for treatment of chronic pain—Some predictors of success. A 15-year experience. Surg Neurol 1998;50(2):110–20.

Kupers RC, Van den Oever R, Van Houdenhove B, et al. Spinal cord stimulation in Belgium: A nation-wide survey on the incidence, indications and therapeutic efficacy by the health insurer. Pain 1994;56(2):211–6.

Sundaraj SR, Johnstone C, Noore F, Wynn P, Castro M. Spinal cord stimulation: A seven-year audit. J Clin Neurosci 2005;12(3):264–70.

Vijayan R, Ahmad TS. Spinal cord stimulation for treatment of failed back surgery syndrome—Two case reports. Med J Malaysia 1999;54(4):509–13.

#### No Improvement Found in Work Status

Burchiel KJ, Anderson VC, Brown FD, et al. Prospective, multicenter study of spinal cord stimulation for relief of chronic back and extremity pain. Spine 1996;21(23):2786–94.

De Mulder PA, te Rijdt B, Veeckmans G, Belmans L. Evaluation of a dual quadripolar surgically implanted spinal cord stimulation lead for failed back surgery patients with chronic low back and leg pain. Neuromodulation 2005;8(4):219–24.

S226 North and Shipley

North RB, Kidd DH, Farrokhi F, Piantadosi S. Spinal cord stimulation versus repeated lumbosacral spine surgery for chronic pain: A randomized, controlled trial. Neurosurgery 2005;56(1):98–106.

Spiegelmann R, Friedman WA. Spinal cord stimulation: A contemporary series. Neurosurgery 1991;28(1):65–70.

van Buyten J-P, van Zundert JV, Milbouw G. Treatment of failed back surgery syndrome patients with low back and leg pain: A pilot study of a new dual lead spinal cord stimulation system. Neuromodulation 1999;2(3):258–65.

#### How are the outcomes of SCS assessed?

- Pain relief: 50% reduction in pain is commonly used as the threshold for success. This may be reported by the patient directly, as a percentage, or it may be calculated from numeric (e.g., 0–10) or visual analog scales (VAS). The results of these different measures might vary in the same patient; in any event, no measure of pain relief has been shown to be an absolute criterion for success or for proceeding to a permanent implant. Pain relief should be considered along with other outcomes, as follows.
- Ability to engage in activities of daily living: standard scales, e.g., the Oswestry Disability Index (disease-specific for low back pain) or the Sickness Impact Profile (general health)
- Work status: patient report
- Health care resource consumption, including the need for additional interventions, is measured by patient self-report, medical records, and/or tracking patient cross-over to an alternative treatment (e.g., from SCS to reoperation).
   Some instruments, such as the Medication Quantification Scale, might be useful in tracking consumption of specific health care resources.
- Improved neurologic function, as mentioned above, is not an expected direct benefit of SCS. The primary method of gaining information on changes in neurologic function is patient selfreport; however, validated scales exist for some conditions (e.g., spinal cord injury).
- Patient satisfaction can only be determined from patient self-report.

Strength of recommendation	NA—information only
Evidence sources	NA—see Bibliography for relevant literature

#### Assessment of Pain Relief

Carlsson AM. Assessment of chronic pain. I. Aspects of the reliability and validity of the visual analog scale. Pain 1983;16:87–101.

Carlsson AM. Assessment of chronic pain. II. Problems in the selection of relevant questionnaire items for classification of pain and evaluation and prediction of therapeutic effects. Pain 1984; 19(2):173–84.

Ceran F, Ozcan A. The relationship of the Functional Rating Index with disability, pain, and quality of life in patients with low back pain. Med Sci Monit 2006;12(10):CR435–9.

Collins SL, Moore A, McQuay HJ. The visual analogue pain intensity scale: What is moderate pain in millimetres? Pain 1997;72:95–7.

Farrar JT, Young JP, LaMoreaux L, Werth JL, Poole RM. Clinical importance of changes in chronic pain intensity measured on an 11-point numerical pain rating scale. Pain 2001;94:149–58.

Holroyd KA, Holm JE, Keefe FJ, et al. A multi-center evaluation of the McGill Pain Questionnaire: Results from more than 1700 chronic pain patients. Pain 1992;48:301–11.

Jensen MP, Karoly P, Braver S. The measurement of clinical pain intensity: A comparison of six methods. Pain 1986;27:117–26.

Kopec JA, Esdaile JM. Functional disability scales for back pain. Spine 1995;20:1943–9.

Melzack R. The McGill Pain Questionnaire: Major properties and scoring methods. Pain 1975; 1:277–99.

Ohnhaus EE, Adler R. Methodological problems in the measurement of pain: A comparison between the verbal rating scale and the visual analogue scale. Pain 1975;1:379–84.

Price DD, McGrath PA, Rafii A, Buckingham B. The validation of the visual analogue scales as ratio scale measures for chronic and experimental pain. Pain 1983;17:45–56.

Roland M, Morris R. A study of the natural history of back pain. Part I: Development of a reliable and sensitive measure of disability in low-back pain. Spine 1983;8:141–4.

Scott J, Huskisson EC. Graphic representation of pain. Pain 1976;2:175–84.

Turk DC, Rudy TE, Salovey P. The McGill Pain Questionnaire reconsidered: Confirming the factor structure and examining appropriate uses. Pain 1985;21:385–97.

Waddell G, Newton M, Henderson I, Somerville D, Main CJ. A Fear-Avoidance Beliefs Questionnaire (FABQ) and the role of fear-avoidance beliefs in chronic low back pain and disability. Pain 1993;52:157–68.

## Assessment of Ability to Engage in Activities of Daily Living and of Quality of Life

Bergner M, Bobbitt RA, Pollard WE, Martin DP, Gilson BS. The sickness impact profile: Validation of a health status measure. Med Care 1976;14:56–67.

deBruin AF, Diederiks LP, deWitte PL, Stavens CJ, Philipsen H. The development of a short generic version of the sickness impact profile. J Clin Epidemiol 1994;47:407–18.

Busschbach JJ, McDonnell J, Essink-Bot ML, van Hout BA. Estimation parametric relationships between health description and health valuation with an application to the EuroQol EQ-5D. J Health Econ 1999;18:551–71.

Ceran F, Ozcan A. The relationship of the Functional Rating Index with disability, pain, and quality of life in patients with low back pain. Med Sci Monit 2006;12(10):CR435–39.

Davidson M, Keating JL. A comparison of five low back disability questionnaires: Reliability and responsiveness. Phys Ther 2002;82:8–24.

Deyo R. Comparative validity of the sickness impact profile and shorter scales for functional assessment in low-back pain. Spine 1986;11: 951–4.

Fairbank JC, Couper J, Davies JB, O'Brien, JP. The Oswestry low back pain disability questionnaire. Physiotherapy 1980;66(8):271–3.

Feise RJ, Menke JM. Functional Rating Index: A new valid and reliable instrument to measure the magnitude of clinical change in spinal conditions. Spine 2001;26:78–87.

Fisher K, Johnson M. Validation of the Oswestry low back pain disability questionnaire, its sensitivity as a measure of change following treatment and its relationship with other aspects of the chronic pain experience. Physiother Theory Pract 1997; 13:67–80.

Follick MJ, Smith TW, Ahern DK. The Sickness Impact Profile: A global measure of disability in chronic low back pain. Pain 1985;21:67–76.

Fritz JM, George SZ, Delitto A. The role of fear-avoidance beliefs in acute low back pain: Relationships with current and future disability and work status. Pain 2001;94:7–15.

Fritz JM, Irrgang JJ. A comparison of a modified Oswestry Low Back Pain Disability Questionnaire and the Quebec Back Pain Disability Scale. Phys Ther 2001;81:776–88.

Greenough CG, Fraser RD. Assessment of outcome in patients with low-back pain. Spine 1992;17:36–41.

Gronblad M, Hupli M, Wennerstrand P, et al. Intercorrelation and test-retest reliability of the Pain Disability Index (PDI) and the Oswestry Disability Questionnaire (ODQ) and their correlation with pain intensity in low back pain patients. Clin J Pain 1993;9:189–95.

Gronblad M, Jarvinen E, Hurri H, Hupli M, Karaharju EO. Relationship of the Pain Disability Index (PDI) and the Oswestry Disability Questionnaire (ODQ) with three dynamic physical tests in a group of patients with chronic low-back and leg pain. Clin J Pain 1994;10(3):197–203.

Kopec JA, Esdaile JM, Abrahamowicz M, et al. The Quebec Back Pain Disability Scale. Measurement properties. Spine 1995;20:341–52.

Kopec JA, Esdaile JM, Abrahamowicz M, et al. The Quebec Back Pain Disability Scale: Conceptualization and development. J Clin Epidemiol 1996;49:151–61.

Kovac FM, Abraira V, Zamora J, et al.; the Kovacs-Atencoin Primaria Group. Correlation between pain, disability, and quality of life in patients with common low back pain. Spine 2004; 29:206–10.

Romano JM, Syrjala KL, Levy RL, et al. Overt pain behaviors: Relationship to patient functioning and treatment outcome. Behav Res Ther 1988; 19:191–201.

Spitzer WO. State of science 1986: Quality of life and functional status as target variables for research. J Chron Dis 1987;40:465–71.

Stratford P, Solomon P, Binkley J, Finch E, Gill C. Sensitivity of sickness impact profile items to

S228 North and Shipley

measure change over time in a low-back pain patient group. Spine 1993;18:1723–7.

Stratford PW, Binkley J, Solomon P, et al. Defining the minimum level of detectable change for the Roland-Morris questionnaire. Phys Ther 1996;76:359–65.

Waddell G, Newton M, Henderson I, Somerville D, Main CJ. A Fear-Avoidance Beliefs Questionnaire (FABQ) and the role of fear-avoidance beliefs in chronic low back pain and disability. Pain 1993;52:157–68.

Ware JE, Sherbourne CD. The MOS 36-item short-form health survey (SF-36). I. Conceptual framework and item selection. Med Care 1992;30: 473–83.

de Witte L, Jacobs H, van der Horst F, et al. The value of the Sickness impact profile in the measurement of patient functioning [Dutch]. Gezondheid En Samenleving 1987;8:120–27.

#### Assessment of Depression

Beck AT, Ward CH, Mendelson M, Mock J, Erbaugh J. An inventory for measuring depression. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1961;4:561–71.

Beck A, Steer RA, Garbin M. Psychometric properties of the BDI: Twenty-five years of evaluation. Clin Psychol Rev 1988;8(1):77–100.

#### Assessment of Work Status

Fritz JM, George SZ, Delitto A. The role of fear-avoidance beliefs in acute low back pain: Relationships with current and future disability and work status. Pain 2001;94:7–15.

Milhous RL, Haugh LD, Frymoyer JW, et al. Determinants of vocational disability in patients with low back pain. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 1989; 70:589–93.

# Assessment of Health Care Resource Consumption

Harden RN, Weinland SR, Remble TA, et al.; American Pain Society Physicians. Medication Quantification Scale Version III: Update in medication classes and revised detriment weights by survey of American Pain Society Physicians. J Pain 2005;6(6):364–71.

Steedman SM, Middaugh SJ, Kee WG, et al. Chronic-pain medications: Equivalence levels and method of quantifying usage. Clin J Pain 1992;8: 201–24.

Assessment of Improved Neurologic Function Deyo RA. Measuring the functional status of

patients with low back pain. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 1988;69:1044–53.

Harding VR, Williams AC, Richardson PH, et al. The development of a battery of measures for assessing physical functioning of chronic pain patients. Pain 1994;58:367–75.

#### Assessment of Patient Satisfaction

See section on Patient Satisfaction with Treatment.

#### Miscellaneous Outcome Assessment

Bombardier C. Outcome assessments in the evaluation of treatment of spinal disorders: Summary and general recommendations. Spine 2000;25: 3100–3.

Deyo RA, Battie M, Beurskens AJ, et al. Outcome measures for low back pain research. A proposal for standardized use. Spine 1998;23:2003–13.

Hutchinson PJ, Laing RJ, Waran V, Hutchinson E, Hollingworth W. Assessing outcome in lumbar disc surgery using patient completed measures. Br J Neurosurg 2000;14:195–99.

# What measures can be taken to reduce bias in the collection of outcome data?

Having a disinterested third party (a person not involved in patient care) collect follow-up data reduces bias.

Strength of recommendation	В
Evidence sources	Weighing risk versus potential benefit and expert consensus reveals a good likelihood of a favorable outcome

Aló KM, Redko V, Charnov J. Four year follow-up of dual electrode spinal cord stimulation for chronic pain. Neuromodulation 2002;5(2):79–99.

De Mulder PA, te Rijdt B, Veeckmans G, Belmans L. Evaluation of a dual quadripolar surgically implanted spinal cord stimulation lead for failed back surgery patients with chronic low back and leg pain. Neuromodulation 2005;8(4):219–24.

Devulder J, de Laat M, van Bastelaere M, Rolly G. Spinal cord stimulation: A valuable treatment for chronic failed back surgery patients. J Pain Symptom Manage 1997;13(5):296–301.

Fiume D, Sherkat S, Callovini GM, Parziale G, Gazzeri G. Treatment of the failed back surgery syndrome due to lumbo-sacral epidural fibrosis. Acta Neurochir Suppl 1995;64:116–8.

Koeze TH, Williams AC, Reiman S. Spinal cord stimulation and the relief of chronic pain. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1987;50(11):1424–9.

Kumar K, Nath RK, Toth C. Spinal cord stimulation is effective in the management of reflex sympathetic dystrophy. Neurosurgery 1997;40(3): 503–8.

Kupers RC, Van den Oever R, Van Houdenhove B, et al. Spinal cord stimulation in Belgium: A nation-wide survey on the incidence, indications and therapeutic efficacy by the health insurer. Pain 1994;56(2):211–6.

Leveque JC, Villavicencio AT, Bulsara KR, Ruvin L, Gorecki JP. Spinal cord stimulation for failed back surgery syndrome. Neuromodulation 2001; 4(1);1–9.

North RB, Ewend ME, Lawton MA, Piantadosi S. Spinal cord stimulation for chronic, intractable pain: Superiority of "multi-channel" devices. Pain 1991;44(2):119–30.

North RB, Kidd DH, Farrokhi F, Piantadosi S. Spinal cord stimulation versus repeated lumbosacral spine surgery for chronic pain: A randomized, controlled trial. Neurosurgery 2005;56(1):98–106.

North RB, Kidd DH, Zahurak M, James CS, Long DM. Spinal cord stimulation for chronic, intractable pain: Experience over two decades. Neurosurgery 1993;32(3):384–94.

Ohnmeiss DD, Rashbaum RF, Bogdanffy GM. Prospective outcome evaluation of spinal cord stimulation in patients with intractable leg pain. Spine 1996;1;21(11):1344–50.

Segal R, Stacey BR, Rudy TE, Baser S, Markham J. Spinal cord stimulation revisited. Neurol Res 1998;20(5);391–6.

Sundaraj SR, Johnstone C, Noore F, Wynn P, Castro M. Spinal cord stimulation: A seven-year audit. J Clin Neurosci 2005;12(3):264–70.

Van Buyten J-P, van Zundert J, Vueghs P, Vanduffel L. Efficacy of spinal cord stimulation: 10 years of experience in a pain centre in Belgium. Eur J Pain 2001;5(3):299–307.

Villavicencio AT, Leveque J-C, Rubin L, Bulsara K, Gorecki JP. Laminectomy versus percutaneous electrode placement for spinal cord stimulation. Neurosurgery 2000;46(2):399–405.

#### **Prognostic Factors**

### Does patient age affect the potential benefit from SCS?

The safety and effectiveness of SCS in children remains to be established. Age-related infirmity might reduce the chances of a good outcome with SCS, but each patient must be assessed on an individual basis.

Strength of recommendation	A
Evidence source(s)	<ul> <li>Weighing risk versus potential benefit and expert consensus reveals a high likelihood of a favorable outcome</li> <li>Only option (in some cases)</li> </ul>

#### Age Difference Found

Burchiel KJ, Anderson VC, Wilson BJ, et al. Prognostic factors of spinal cord stimulation for chronic back and leg pain. Neurosurgery 1995; 36(6):1101–10.

#### No Age Difference Found

Kumar K, Hunter G, Demeria D. Spinal cord stimulation in treatment of chronic benign pain: Challenges in treatment planning and present status, a 22-year experience. Neurosurgery 2006; 58(3):481–96.

Kumar K, Toth C. The role of spinal cord stimulation in the treatment of chronic pain postlaminectomy. Curr Pain Headache Rep 1998;2:85–92.

Kumar K, Toth C, Nath RK, Laing P. Epidural spinal cord stimulation for treatment of chronic pain—Some predictors of success. A 15-year experience. Surg Neurol 1998;50(2):110–20.

S230 North and Shipley

May MS, Banks C, Thomson SJ. A retrospective, long-term, third-party follow-up of patients considered for spinal cord stimulation. Neuromodulation 2002;5(3):137–44.

### Does the patient's sex affect the potential benefit from SCS?

Although investigators have reported differences in outcome for men and women, no reason exists to exclude patients based on their sex.

The safety of SCS during pregnancy remains to be established (and must be balanced against the known or potential adverse effects of medication and other treatments for pain).

Strength of recommendation	A
Evidence sources	Weighing risk versus potential benefit and expert consensus reveals a high likelihood of a favorable outcome

#### Sex Difference Found

Fiume D, Sherkat S, Callovini GM, Parziale G, Gazzeri G. Treatment of the failed back surgery syndrome due to lumbo-sacral epidural fibrosis. Acta Neurochir Suppl 1995;64:116–8.

Kupers RC, Van den Oever R, Van Houdenhove B, et al. Spinal cord stimulation in Belgium: A nation-wide survey on the incidence, indications and therapeutic efficacy by the health insurer. Pain 1994;56(2):211–6.

North RB, Ewend ME, Lawton MA, Piantadosi S. Spinal cord stimulation for chronic, intractable pain: Superiority of "multi-channel" devices. Pain 1991;44(2):119–30.

North RB, Ewend MG, Lawton MT, Kidd DH, Piantadosi S. Failed back surgery syndrome: 5-year follow-up after spinal cord stimulator implantation. Neurosurgery 1991;28(5):692–9.

North RB, Kidd DH, Zahurak M, James CS, Long DM. Spinal cord stimulation for chronic, intractable pain: Experience over two decades. Neurosurgery 1993;32(3):384–95.

Shimoji K, Hokari T, Kano T, et al. Management of intractable pain with percutaneous epidural spinal cord stimulation: Differences in painrelieving effects among diseases and sites of pain. Anesth Analg 1993;77:110–6.

Sundaraj SR, Johnstone C, Noore F, Wynn P, Castro M. Spinal cord stimulation: A seven-year audit. J Clin Neurosci 2005;12(3):264–70.

Woo-Sam J, Lo S, Wilemon W. Sex differences in adaptation to peripheral electrostimulating surgical implants. Arc Phys Med Rehab 1972;53(9): 425–9.

#### No Sex Difference Found

Kumar K, Hunter G, Demeria D. Spinal cord stimulation in treatment of chronic benign pain: Challenges in treatment planning and present status, a 22-year experience. Neurosurgery 2006; 58(3):481–96.

Kumar K, Toth C. The role of spinal cord stimulation in the treatment of chronic pain postlaminectomy. Curr Pain Headache Rep 1998;2:85–92.

Kumar K, Toth C, Nath RK, Laing P. Epidural spinal cord stimulation for treatment of chronic pain—Some predictors of success. A 15-year experience. Surg Neurol 1998;50(2):110–20.

Ohnmeiss DD, Rashbaum RF. Patient satisfaction with spinal cord stimulation for predominant complaints of chronic, intractable low back pain. Spine J 2001;1(5):358–63.

#### Pregnancy (Sex Difference)

Hanson JL, Goodman EJ. Labor epidural placement in a woman with a cervical spinal cord stimulator. Int J Obstet Anesth 2006;15(3):246–9.

Segal R. Spinal cord stimulation, conception, pregnancy, and labor: Case study in a complex regional pain syndrome patient. Neuromodulation 1999;2(1):41–5.

#### Does life expectancy dictate how SCS is used?

An SCS system with an external stimulator, as is used for screening trials, might be the most cost-effective method of SCS treatment in patients with a very short life expectancy (e.g., those with end-stage cancer).

Strength of recommendation	NA—information only
Evidence source(s)	NA

Hassenbusch SJ, Paice JA, Patt RB, Bedder MD, Bell GK. Clinical realities and economic considerations: Economics of intrathecal therapy. J Pain Symptom Manage 1997;14(suppl 3):S36–48.

# Does a patient's worker's compensation status or involvement in litigation have an impact on reported SCS outcome?

We have inadequate information to determine if a patient's worker's compensation status or involvement in litigation influencs SCS outcome.

Strength of recommendation	NA—information only
Evidence sources	NA—see Bibliography for relevant literature

### Worker's Compensation Status or Involvement in Litigation Considered

Kim SH, Tasker RR, Oh MY. Spinal cord stimulation for nonspecific limb pain versus neuropathic pain and spontaneous versus evoked pain. Neurosurgery 2001;48(5):1056–64.

Kumar K, Toth C. The role of spinal cord stimulation in the treatment of chronic pain postlaminectomy. Curr Pain Headache Rep 1998;2:85–92.

Kumar K, Toth C, Nath RK, Laing P. Epidural spinal cord stimulation for treatment of chronic pain—Some predictors of success. A 15-year experience. Surg Neurol 1998;50(2):110–20.

Ohnmeiss DD, Rashbaum RF. Patient satisfaction with spinal cord stimulation for predominant complaints of chronic, intractable low back pain. Spine J 2001;1(5):358–63.

Sundaraj SR, Johnstone C, Noore F, Wynn P, Castro M. Spinal cord stimulation: A seven-year audit. J Clin Neurosci 2005;12(3):264–70.

Van Buyten J-P, Van Zundert J, Vueghs P, Vanduffel L. Efficacy of spinal cord stimulation: 10 years of experience in a pain centre in Belgium. Eur J Pain 2001;5(3):299–307.

### What are the characteristics of the pain that is most likely to be treated successfully by SCS?

- The pain is radicular or radiating rather than axial in distribution (predominant low back pain is more difficult to treat) and neuropathic rather than nociceptive in nature.
- The pain has an objective basis and a distribution consistent with the results of the physical

- examination and diagnostic, e.g., imaging, studies.
- The painful condition is linked to a specific diagnosis.
- Objective findings predominate (as opposed to functional, nonphysiologic signs).
- The pain is adequately relieved during an SCS screening trial (see Screening Trial section).

Strength of recommendation	В
Evidence sources	Weighing risk versus potential benefit and expert consensus reveals a good likelihood of a favorable outcome

Fiume D, Sherkat S, Callovini GM, Parziale G, Gazzeri G. Treatment of the failed back surgery syndrome due to lumbo-sacral epidural fibrosis. Acta Neurochir Suppl 1995;64:116–8.

Kim SH, Toska RR, Oh MY. Spinal cord stimulation for nonspecific limb pain versus neuropathic pain and spontaneous versus evoked pain. Neurosurgery 2001;48(5):1056–64.

Kumar K, Hunter G, Demeria D. Spinal cord stimulation in treatment of chronic benign pain: Challenges in treatment planning and present status, a 22-year experience. Neurosurgery 2006; 58(3):481–96.

Kumar K, Nath R, Wyant GM. Treatment of chronic pain by epidural spinal cord stimulation: A 10-year experience. J Neurosurg 1991;75(3): 402–7.

Kumar K, Toth C, Nath RK, Laing P. Epidural spinal cord stimulation for treatment of chronic pain—Some predictors of success. A 15-year experience. Surg Neurol 1998;50(2):110–20.

Lang P. The treatment of chronic pain by epidural spinal cord stimulation—A 15 year follow up: Present status. Axon 1997;18(4):71–3.

North RB, Kidd DH, Zahurak M, James CS, Long DM. Spinal cord stimulation for chronic, intractable pain: Experience over two decades. Neurosurgery 1993;32(3):384–95.

Waddell G, McCulloch JA, Kummel EG, Venner RM. Nonorganic physical signs in low back pain. Spine 1980;5:117–25.

S232 North and Shipley

#### Prognostic Factors: Miscellaneous

Hord ED, Cohen SP, Cosgrove GR, et al. The predictive value of sympathetic block for the success of spinal cord stimulation. Neurosurgery 2003;53(3):626–32.

Kumar K, Nath R, Wyant GM. Treatment of chronic pain by epidural spinal cord stimulation: A 10-year experience. J Neurosurg 1991;75(3): 402–7.

Sindou MP, Mertens P, Bendavid U, Garcia-Larrea L, Mauguiere F. Predictive value of somatosensory evoked potentials for long-lasting pain relief after spinal cord stimulation: Practical use for patient selection. Neurosurgery 2003;52(6): 1374–83.

#### Patient Selection for Screening Trial

#### What position should SCS occupy on the treatment continuum?

SCS is a minimally invasive therapy. Thus, appropriate non-invasive therapies should have failed or be contraindicated before a patient undergoes an SCS screening trial. Likewise, an SCS trial should precede ablative therapies (e.g., sympathectomies in CRPS patients) and major reconstructive procedures (e.g., reoperation in selected FBSS patients). Some investigators report that the success of SCS decreases as the number of prior interventions a patient has undergone increases and/or as the length of time since the onset of pain increases.

Strength of recommendation	NA—information only
Evidence sources	NA—see Bibliography for relevant literature

### Negative Impact Found for Number of Prior Interventions

Kumar K, Toth C, Nath RK, Laing P. Epidural spinal cord stimulation for treatment of chronic pain—Some predictors of success. A 15-year experience. Surg Neurol 1998;50(2):110–20.

North RB, Kidd DH, Zahurak M, James CS, Long DM. Spinal cord stimulation for chronic, intractable pain: Experience over two decades. Neurosurgery 1993;32(3):384–95.

North RB, Kidd DH, Farrokhi F, Piantadosi S. Spinal cord stimulation versus repeated lumbosacral spine surgery for chronic pain: A randomized, controlled trial. Neurosurgery 2005;56(1):98–106.

### Negative Impact Found for Time since Pain Onset

Kumar K, Hunter G, Demeria D. Spinal cord stimulation in treatment of chronic benign pain: Challenges in treatment planning and present status, a 22-year experience. Neurosurgery 2006; 58(3):481–96.

Kumar K, Toth C. The role of spinal cord stimulation in the treatment of chronic pain postlaminectomy. Curr Pain Headache Rep 1998;2:85–92.

#### No Impact Found for Duration of Symptoms

May MS, Banks C, Thomson SJ. A retrospective, long-term, third-party follow-up of patients considered for spinal cord stimulation. Neuromodulation 2002;5(3):137–44.

North RB, Kidd DH, Zahurak M, James CS, Long DM. Spinal cord stimulation for chronic, intractable pain: Experience over two decades. Neurosurgery 1993;32(3):384–95.

# What tests are used to reveal information about a patient's physical suitability to proceed to the screening trial?

- History: The specialist to whom the SCS patient is referred will review the patient's history pertaining to the neuropathic pain condition (e.g., for a patient with FBSS, operative records will provide critical information on the indications for prior lumbosacral surgical procedures). The history should include information on the patient's medications, allergies, and comorbid pain conditions.
- Pain location and intensity: The patient should characterize the pain in detail, indicate its location on a pain map or drawing (for comparison with areas of stimulation paresthesia if the patient proceeds to a screening trial), and rate its intensity with a validated numeric rating or VAS.
- Physical examination: In some pain states, especially early in their course, it is possible that no abnormalities will appear during a thorough physical examination. Any abnormalities and/or physical signs (e.g., Waddell has described nonspecific, "nonorganic physical signs in low back pain") that do appear, however, should be evaluated for consistency with the patient's pain. The examination might reveal potentially disabling neurologic deficits and/or somatic or functional components of the pain.

 Imaging studies: Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and, as appropriate, flexion-extension X-rays, computed tomography, myelography, and/or discography can reveal abnormalities concordant with the patient's pain complaint and any surgically remediable cause of significant neurologic deficit. An MRI should be performed in any patient with suspected stenosis, disk herniation, or other anatomic abnormality that will increase the procedural risk of SCS (see section on Procedural Risk Management below). Some clinicians order an MRI before a patient undergoes any SCS procedure to gain information about the depth of dorsal cerebrospinal fluid and the position of the spinal cord, both of which vary among individuals. This information allows clinicians to optimize electrode selection, placement, and adjustment. Others, however, consider a routine preprocedure MRI an unnecessary increase in the cost of SCS therapy.

Strength of recommendation	В
Evidence sources	Weighing risk versus potential benefit and expert consensus reveals a good likelihood of a favorable outcome

#### History

Deyo RA, Rainville J, Kent DL. What can the history and physical examination tell us about low back pain? JAMA 1002;268:760–65.

Prager J, Jacobs M. Evaluation of patients for implantable pain modalities: Medical and behavioral assessment. Clin J Pain 2001;17(3):206–14.

Van de Kelft, De la Porte C. Long-term pain relief during spinal cord stimulation. The effect of patient selection. Qual Life Res 1994;3(1):21–7.

#### Pain Map

North RB, Fowler KR, Nigrin DA, Szymanski RE, Piantadosi S. Automated pain drawing analysis by computer-controlled, patient-interactive neurological stimulation system. Pain 1992;50: 51–7.

Parker H, Wood PL, Main CL. The use of the pain drawing as a screening measure to predict

psychological distress in chronic low back pain. Spine 1995;20:236–43.

Ransford AO, Cairns D, Mooney V. The pain drawing as an aid to the psychologic evaluation of patients with low back pain. Spine 1976;1:127–34.

#### Physical Examination

Fishbain DA, Cole B, Cutler RB, et al. A structured evidence-based review on the meaning of nonorganic physical signs: Waddell signs. Pain Med 2003;4(2):141–81.

Prager J, Jacobs M. Evaluation of patients for implantable pain modalities: Medical and behavioral assessment. Clin J Pain 2001;17(3):206–14.

Waddell G, McCulloch JA, Kummel EG, Venner RM. Nonorganic physical signs in low back pain. Spine 1980;5:117–25.

Villavicencio AT, Burneikiene S. Elements of the pre-operative workup, case examples. Pain Med 2006;7:S35–46.

#### **Imaging Studies**

Holsheimer J, den Boer JA, Struijk JJ, Rozeboom AR. MR assessment of the normal position of the spinal cord in the spinal canal. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 1994;15(5):951–9.

Prager J, Jacobs M. Evaluation of patients for implantable pain modalities: Medical and behavioral assessment. Clin J Pain 2001;17(3):206–14.

#### Miscellaneous

Eisenberg E, Backonja MM, Fillingim RB, et al. Quantitative sensory testing for spinal cord stimulation in patients with chronic neuropathic pain. Pain Pract 2006;6(3):161–5.

Frank ED, Menefee LA, Jalali S, et al. The utility of a 7-day percutaneous spinal cord stimulator trial measured by a pain diary: A long-term retrospective analysis. Neuromodulation 2005;8(3):162–70.

# What are the psychological characteristics of patients most likely to benefit from SCS?

We lack sufficient information to predict SCS outcome from the result of a pretreatment psychological evaluation, but SCS, as is the case for every interventional pain treatment, is reserved for patients with no evident unresolved major psychiatric comorbidity.

S234 North and Shipley

Strength of recommendation	В
Evidence sources	Weighing risk versus potential benefit and expert consensus reveals a good likelihood of a favorable outcome

### Is it beneficial to conduct a psychological evaluation?

The psychological evaluation provides patient selection information by identifying the small percentage of patients who might benefit from psychological treatment before undergoing SCS therapy or in whom SCS therapy might be complicated by psychological factors.

Just as organic disease can influence the results of psychological testing (by influencing a patient's state of mind), underlying psychological factors can influence the course of organic disease. Thus, it is common for patients with chronic pain to have secondary, if not premorbid, psychological comorbidities, and it is routine for a mental health professional to determine if a candidate for SCS has such comorbidities or is engaging in inappropriate drug use.

Medicare requires a psychological evaluation before SCS implantation, and many private insurers follow this example.

Strength of recommendation	A
Evidence source	<ul> <li>Weighing risk versus potential benefit and expert consensus reveals a high likelihood of a favorable outcome</li> <li>Medicare requirement</li> </ul>

### When should the psychological evaluation take place?

Some practitioners perform a percutaneous screening trial before the psychological evaluation takes place; however, the psychological evaluation can reveal a reason to postpone the screening trial or other information that would be beneficial at the initial stage of treatment.

The psychological evaluation must, however, occur before a patient undergoes a screening trial with a surgically placed electrode (a percutaneous

electrode anchored for potential use in a permanent system or an electrode implanted via laminectomy or laminotomy) or before a patient receives a complete implanted SCS system.

Strength of recommendation	A
Evidence sources	<ul> <li>Weighing risk versus potential benefit and expert consensus reveals a high likelihood of a favorable outcome</li> <li>Medicare requirement</li> </ul>

Beltrutti D, Lamberto A, Barolat G, et al. The psychological assessment of candidates for spinal cord stimulation for chronic pain management. Pain Pract 2004;4(3):204–21.

Brandwin MA, Kewman DG. MMPI indicators of treatment response to spinal epidural stimulation in patients with chronic pain and patients with movement disorders. Psychol Rep 1982;51:1059–64.

Burchiel KJ, Anderson VC, Wilson BJ, et al. Prognostic factors of spinal cord stimulation for chronic back and leg pain. Neurosurgery 1995; 36(6):1101–10.

Carlsson AM. Personality characteristics of patients with chronic pain in comparison with normal controls and depressed patients. Pain 1986;25(3):373–82.

Daniel MS, Long C, Hutcherson WL, Hunter S. Psychological factors and outcome of electrode implantation for chronic pain. Neurosurgery 1985;17(5):773–6.

Doleys DM, Klapow J, Hammer M. Psychological evaluation in spinal cord stimulation therapy. Pain Rev 1997;4:189–207.

Dumoulin K, Devulder J, Castille F, et al. A psychoanalytic investigation to improve the success rate of spinal cord stimulation as a treatment for chronic failed back surgery syndrome. Clin J Pain 1996;12(1):43–9.

Kupers RC, Van den Oever R, Van Houdenhove B, et al. Spinal cord stimulation in Belgium: A nation-wide survey on the incidence, indications and therapeutic efficacy by the health insurer. Pain 1994;56(2):211–6.

Levita E, Rilan M, Waltz JM. Psychological effects of spinal cord stimulation: Preliminary findings. Appl Neurophysiol 1981;44(1–3):93–6.

Long DM, Erickson D, Campbell J, North R. Electrical stimulation of the spinal cord and peripheral nerves for pain control. A 10-year experience. Appl Neurophysiol 1981;44(4):207–17.

Nelson DV, Kenington M, Novy, DM, Squitieri P. Psychological selection criteria for implantable spinal cord stimulators. Pain Forum 1996;5:93–103.

Nielson KD, Adams JE, Hosobuchi Y. Experience with dorsal column stimulation for relief of chronic intractable pain: 1968–1973. Surg Neurol 1975;4:148–52.

North RB, Kidd DH, Wimberly RL, Edwin D. Prognostic value of psychological testing in patients undergoing spinal cord stimulation: A prospective study. Neurosurgery 1996;39(2):301–10.

Olson KA, Bedder MD, Anderson VC, Burchiel KJ, Villanueva MR. Psychological variables associated with outcome of spinal cord stimulation trials. Neuromodulation 1998;1(1):6–13.

Prager J, Jacobs M. Evaluation of patients for implantable pain modalities: Medical and behavioral assessment. Clin J Pain 2001;17(3):206–14.

Williams DA. Psychological screening and treatment for implantables: A continuum of care. Pain Forum 1996;5:115–7.

What tests can be used to reveal information about a patient's psychological characteristics and baseline functional status?

Published examples include

- Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory with Wiggins content scales;
- Symptom Checklist-90-R;
- Derogatis Affects Balance Scale;
- Chronic Illness Problem Inventory;
- Spielberger State-Trait Anxiety Scale and State-Trait Anger Scale;
- Beck Depression Inventory;
- Locus of Control Scale;
- Absorption Scale;
- McGill Pain Questionnaire;
- Social Support Questionnaire;
- Sickness Impact Profile;
- Oswestry Disability Index; and
- Roland Morris Questionnaire.

Strength of recommendation	NA—information only
Evidence sources	NA—see Bibliography for relevant literature

#### Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory

Brandwin MA, Kewman DG. MMPI indicators of treatment response to spinal epidural stimulation in patients with chronic pain and patients with movement disorders. Psychol Rep 1982;51:1059–64.

Fordyce WE, Bigos S, Battie M, Fisher L. MMPI scale 3 as a predictor of back injury report: What does it tell us? Clin J Pain 1992;8:222–6.

Moore J, Armentrot D, Parker J, Kiviahan D. Empirically derived pain-patient's MMPI subgroups: Prediction of treatment outcome. J Behav Med 1986;9:51–63.

#### Symptom Checklist-90-R

Derogatis LR. Symptom-Checklist-90-R: Scoring and Procedures Manual I for the Revised Version. Pearson Assessments: Eagan, NM; 1977.

#### Derogatis Affects Balance Scale

Derogatis LR. *The Affect Balance Scale*. Clinical Psychometric Research: Baltimore, MD; 1975.

#### Chronic Illness Problem Inventory

Kames LD, Naliboff BD, Heinrich RL, Schag CC. The Chronic Illness Problem Inventory: Problem-oriented psychosocial assessment of patients with chronic illness. Int J Psychiatry Med 1984;14(1):65–75.

Romano JM, Turner JA, Jensen MP. The Chronic Illness Problem Inventory as a measure of dysfunction in chronic pain patients. Pain 49(1):71–75, 1992.

## Spielberger State—Trait Anxiety Scale and State—Trait Anger Scale

Spielberger C. *The State-Trait Anxiety Inventory*. New York, NY: Academic Press; 1970.

Spielberger C. Manual for the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI). Palo Alto, CA: Consulting Psychologists Press; 1983.

Spielberger CD, Johnson EH, Russell EF, et al. The experience and expression of anger: Construction and validation of an anger expression scale. In: Chesney MA, Rosenman RH, eds. *Anger* 

S236 North and Shipley

and Hostility in Cardiovascular and Behavioral Disorders. Washington, DC: Hemisphere Publishing Corp; 1985:5–30.

### **Beck Depression Inventory**

Beck A, Steer RA, Garbin M. Psychometric properties of the BDI: Twenty-five years of evaluation. Clin Psychol Rev 1988;8(1):77–100.

Beck AT, Ward CH, Mendelson M, Mock J, Erbaugh J. An inventory for measuring depression. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1961;4:561–71.

Novy DM, Nelson DV, Berry LA, Averill PM. What does the Beck Depression Inventory measure in chronic pain?: A reappraisal. Pain 1995;61:261–71.

#### Locus of Control Scale

Lau RR, Ware JF, Jr. Refinements in the measurement of health-specific locus-of-control beliefs. Med Care 1981;19(11):1147–58.

Wallston BS, Wallston KA, Kaplan GD, Maides SA. Development and validation of the Health Locus of Control (HLC) Scales. J Consult Clin Psychol 1976;44:580–5.

### Absorption Scale

Tellegren A, Atkinson G. Openness to absorbing and self-altering experiences ("absorption"), a trait related to hypnotic susceptibility. J Abnorm Psychol 1974;83:268–77.

### McGill Pain Questionnaire

Melzack R. The McGill Pain Questionnaire: Major properties and scoring methods. Pain 1975; 1:277–99.

Turk DC, Rudy TE, Salovey P. The McGill questionnaire reconsidered: Confirming the factor structure and examining appropriate uses. Pain 1985;21:385–97.

### Social Support Questionnaire

Sarason IG, Levine HM, Barsham RB, Sarason BR. Assessing social support: The Social Support Questionnaire. J Pers Soc Psychol 1983;44:127–39.

### Sickness Impact Profile

Bergner M, Bobbitt RA, Carter WB, Gibson BS. The Sickness Impact Profile: Development and final revision of a health status measure. Med Care 1981;19:787–805.

#### Oswestry Disability Index

Fairbank JC, Davies JB, Couper J, O'Brien JP. The Oswestry low back pain disability questionnaire. Physiotherapy 1980;66:271–3.

#### Roland Morris Questionnaire

Gronbald M, Jarvinen E, Hurri H, Hulpi M, Karaharju EO. Relationship of the Pain Disability Index (PDI) and the Oswestry Disability Questionnaire (ODQ) with three dynamic physical tests in a group of patients with chronic low back and leg pain. Clin J Pain 1994;10:197–203.

#### Fear-Avoidance Belief Questionnaire

Waddell G, Newton M, Henderson I, Sommerville D, Main C. A Fear-Avoidance Beliefs Questionnaire (FABQ) and the role of fear-avoidance in chronic low back pain and disability. Pain 1993;52: 157–68.

# Can serious psychological morbidities escape detection by the psychological examination? Yes, e.g., conversion disorder.

Strength of recommendation	NA—information only
Evidence sources	NA—see Bibliography for relevant literature

Ferrante FM, Rana MV, Ferrante MA. Conversion disorder mimicking Dejerine-Roussy syndrome (thalamic stroke) after spinal cord stimulation. Reg Anesth Pain Med 2004;29(2):164–7.

Parisod E, Murray RF, Cousins MJ. Conversion disorder after implant of a spinal cord stimulator in a patient with a complex regional pain syndrome. Anesth Analg 2003;96(1):201–6.

What clinical, physical, or psychological patient factors are relative contraindications that would reasonably cause a clinician to defer, delay, or modify the screening trial?

- An unresolved major psychiatric comorbidity
- The unresolved possibility of secondary gain
- An active and untreated substance abuse disorder
- Inconsistency among the patient's history, pain description, physical examination, and diagnostic studies
- Abnormal or inconsistent pain ratings
- A predominance of non-organic signs (e.g., Waddell's signs)

- Alternative therapies with a risk-benefit ratio comparable to that of SCS remain to be tried
- Pregnancy
- Occupational risk (e.g., employment requires climbing ladders or operating certain machinery or vehicles)
- Local or systemic infection
- Presence of a demand pacemaker
- Presence of a cardioverter defibrillator
- Foreseeable need for an MRI
- Presence of a major comorbid chronic pain syndrome
- Anticoagulant or antiplatelet therapy

Strength of recommendation	A
Evidence sources	Weighing risk versus potential benefit and expert consensus reveals a high likelihood of a favorable outcome

Contraindications are widely reported in descriptions of study designs.

# What clinical, physical and/or psychological patient factors are absolute contraindications to SCS therapy?

- Inability to control the device
- For patients with a diagnosis of FBSS:
  - nerve compression (e.g., disc, stenosis) amenable to surgery and causing a serious neurologic deficit
  - gross instability at risk for progression
- Coagulopathy, immunosuppression, or other conditions associated with an unacceptable surgical risk
- Need for therapeutic diathermy

Strength of recommendation	A
Evidence source(s)	Weighing risk versus potential benefit and expert consensus reveals a high likelihood of a favorable outcome

Contraindications are widely reported in descriptions of study designs.

### Procedural Risk Management

#### How can the general risk of SCS be reduced?

The risk of an adverse event arising from a medical procedure is always reduced when appropriate precautions are taken. In addition, patients' discharge instructions must include information about when and how to contact their physicians, the device manufacturer, and emergency care providers.

Strength of recommendation	NA—information only
Evidence sources	NA—see Bibliography for relevant literature

Holsheimer J, den Boer JA, Struijk JJ, Rozeboom AR. MR assessment of the normal position of the spinal cord in the spinal canal. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 1994;15(5):951–9.

Kumar K, Wilson JR, Taylor RS, Gupta S. Complications of spinal cord stimulation, suggestions to improve outcome, and financial impact. J Neurosurg Spine 2006;5(3):191–203.

#### What risks are associated with SCS?

Spinal cord or nerve injury, dural puncture, epidural hematoma, infection, wound or skin breakdown, electrode migration, implanted pulse generator failure, and electromechanical failure of lead or extension cable.

Spinal cord or nerve injury: What is the incidence, time to appearance of symptoms, usual resolution and impact on therapy, and worst case adverse sequelae of spinal cord injury following SCS treatment, and how can this risk be reduced? (See also hematoma, below.)

- Incidence: rare
- Time to appearance of symptoms: variable
- Treatment: surgical decompression as necessary
- Usual resolution and impact on therapy: variable
- Worst case adverse sequelae: paralysis, bladder/ bowel/sexual dysfunction
- Risk reduction: Before implanting a surgical plate/paddle electrode and in cases of suspected stenosis or another anatomic condition that might increase risk, obtain an MRI of the target spinal levels. (For more information on the pros and cons of obtaining an MRI before the screening trial, see "What tests are used to reveal information about a patient's physical suitability to proceed to the screening trial?" in

S238 North and Shipley

the sections Prognostic Factors and Patient Selection for Screening Trial.)

Strength of recommendation	В
Evidence sources	<ul><li>Consensus</li><li>Cohort studies</li><li>Retrospective case series</li><li>Case reports</li></ul>

Dural puncture: What is the incidence, time to appearance of symptoms, usual resolution and impact on therapy, and worst case adverse sequelae of dural puncture following SCS treatment, and how can this risk be reduced?

- Incidence: less than 5%.
- Time to appearance of symptoms: variable, generally within a few hours.
- Treatment: bed rest, hydration, and administration of caffeine; if these are ineffective, consider an epidural blood patch.
- Usual resolution and impact on therapy: Usually not symptomatic, but if so, bed rest could compromise the screening trial.
- Worst case adverse sequelae: headache, subdural hematoma.
- Risk reduction: Use an anesthetic technique that allows the patient to provide feedback during electrode insertion or implantation. When possible, avoid needle placement in areas with pre-existing scarring. In cases of suspected stenosis or another anatomic condition that might increase risk and before implanting a surgical plate/paddle electrode, obtain an MRI of the target spinal levels.

Strength of recommendation	В
Evidence sources	<ul> <li>Consensus</li> <li>Cohort studies</li> <li>Retrospective case series</li> <li>Case reports</li> </ul>

### **Dural Puncture**

Burchiel KJ, Anderson VC, Brown FD, et al. Prospective, multicenter study of spinal cord stimulation for relief of chronic back and extremity pain. Spine 1996;21(23):2786–94.

Eldrige JS, Weingarten TN, Rho RH. Management of cerebral spinal fluid leak complicating spinal cord stimulator implantation. Pain Pract 2006;6(4):285–8.

Forouzanfar T, Kemler MA, Weber WE, Kessels AG, van Kleef M. Spinal cord stimulation in complex regional pain syndrome: Cervical and lumbar devices are comparably effective. Br J Anaesth 2004;92(3):348–53.

Kemler MA, Barendse GA, van Kleef M, et al. Spinal cord stimulation in patients with chronic reflex sympathetic dystrophy. N Engl J Med 2000; 343(9):618–24.

Kumar K, Toth C, Nath RK, Laing P. Epidural spinal cord stimulation for treatment of chronic pain—Some predictors of success. A 15-year experience. Surg Neurol 1998;50(2):110–20.

Epidural bematoma: What is the incidence, time to appearance of symptoms, usual resolution and impact on therapy, and worst case adverse sequelae of bematoma following SCS treatment, and how can this risk be reduced?

- Incidence: rare
- Time to appearance of symptoms: variable (minutes to days)
- Treatment: urgent or emergent surgical evacuation
- Usual resolution and impact on therapy: If treated in time, the problems caused by a hematoma might resolve; electrode removal obviously interrupts SCS therapy.
- Worst case adverse sequelae: paralysis
- Risk reduction: Review the patient's coagulation history, medications, and preoperative blood studies. Monitor the patient closely for a reasonable period of time. Some clinicians observe patients overnight, believing the risk reduction offered by this measure outweighs the expense.

Strength of recommendation	В
Evidence sources	<ul><li>Consensus</li><li>Cohort studies</li><li>Retrospective case series</li><li>Case reports</li></ul>

Abejón D, Reig E, del Pozo C, Contreras R, Insausti J. Dual spinal cord stimulation for complex pain: Preliminary study. Neuromodulation 2005;8(2):105–11.

Aló KM, Redko V, Charnov J. Four year follow-up of dual electrode spinal cord stimulation for chronic pain. Neuromodulation 2002;5(2):79–99.

Aló KM, Yland MJ, Charnov JH, Redko V. Multiple program spinal cord stimulation in the treatment of chronic pain: Follow-up of multiple program SCS. Neuromodulation 1999;2(4):266–72.

Barolat G. Experience with 509 plate electrodes implanted epidurally from C1 to L1. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 1993;61(2):60–79.

Bennett DS, Aló KM, Oakley J, Feler CA. Spinal cord stimulation for Complex Regional Pain Syndrome I (RSD). A retrospective multicenter experience from 1995–1998 of 101 patients. Neuromodulation 1999;2(3):202–10.

Franzini A, Ferroli P, Marras C, Broggi G. Huge epidural hematoma after surgery for spinal cord stimulation. Acta Neurochir (Wien) 2005;147(5): 565–7.

Kumar K, Hunter G, Demeria D. Spinal cord stimulation in treatment of chronic benign pain: Challenges in treatment planning and present status, a 22-year experience. Neurosurgery 2006; 58(3):481–96.

Kumar K, Toth C, Nath RK, Laing P. Epidural spinal cord stimulation for treatment of chronic pain—Some predictors of success. A 15-year experience. Surg Neurol 1998;50(2):110–20.

Kumar K, Wilson JR, Taylor RS, Gupta S. Complications of spinal cord stimulation, suggestions to improve outcome, and financial impact. J Neurosurg Spine 2006;5(3):191–203.

Meglio M, Cioni B, Rossi GF. Spinal cord stimulation in management of chronic pain. A 9-year experience. J Neurosurg 1989;70(4):519–24.

Shealy CN. Dorsal column stimulation: Optimization of application. Surg Neurol 1975;4(1): 142–5.

Slavin KV, Burchiel KJ, Anderson VC, Cooke B. Efficacy of transverse tripolar stimulation for relief of chronic low back pain: Results of a single center. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 1999;73(1–4):126–30.

Infection: What is the incidence, time to appearance of symptoms, usual resolution and impact on therapy, and worst case adverse sequelae of infection following SCS treatment, and how can this risk be reduced?

- Incidence: approximately 5%
- Time to appearance of symptoms: typically weeks to months, but can be overnight post-procedure or, at the other extreme, several years if the infection arises from hematogenous seeding or slow-growing bacteria
- Treatment: Culture a specimen to guide antibiotic therapy, remove any involved portion of the SCS system (infections limited to the skin over the implant may be treated without removing the underlying, noninvolved implant), and administer an appropriate course of antibiotics. Note that the system will not function with any part removed, and eradicating the infection is easier if the foreign body implant is removed in its entirety.
- Usual resolution and impact on therapy: Infection usually resolves with appropriate treatment. During the time the SCS system is removed, the patient must be treated for pain by other means. The SCS system can be reimplanted when the infection clears.
- Worst case adverse sequelae: paralysis, death
- Risk reduction: Use normal sterile techniques, maintain sterile dressing during the screening trial phase, administer prophylactic intravenous antibiotics before permanent implantation.

Strength of recommendation	В
Evidence sources	<ul> <li>Consensus</li> <li>Cohort studies</li> <li>Retrospective case series</li> <li>Case reports</li> </ul>

Abejón D, Reig E, del Pozo C, Contreras R, Insausti J. Dual spinal cord stimulation for complex pain: Preliminary study. Neuromodulation 2005;8(2):105–11.

Aló KM, Redko V, Charnov J. Four year follow-up of dual electrode spinal cord stimulation for chronic pain. Neuromodulation 2002;5(2):79–99.

Aló KM, Yland MJ, Charnov JH, Redko V. Multiple program spinal cord stimulation in the treatment of chronic pain: Follow-up of multiple program SCS. Neuromodulation 1999;2(4):266–72.

Arxer A, Busquets C, Vilaplana J, Villalonga A. Subacute epidural abscess after spinal cord stimulation implantation [letter]. Eur J Anesthesiol 2003;20:753–9.

S240 North and Shipley

Barolat G. Experience with 509 plate electrodes implanted epidurally from C1 to L1. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 1993;61(2):60–79.

Barolat G, Schwartzman R, Woo R. Epidural spinal cord stimulation in the management of reflex sympathetic dystrophy. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 1989;53(1):29–39.

Bennett DS, Aló KM, Oakley J, Feler CA. Spinal cord stimulation for Complex Regional Pain Syndrome I (RSD). A retrospective multicenter experience from 1995–1998 of 101 patients. Neuromodulation 1999;2(3):202–10.

Blond S, Armignies P, Parker F, et al. Chronic sciatalgia caused by sensitive deafferentiation following surgery for lumbar disk hernia: Clinical and therapeutic aspects. Apropos of 110 patients [French]. Neurochirurgie 1991;37(2):86–95.

Burchiel KJ, Anderson VC, Brown FD, et al. Prospective, multicenter study of spinal cord stimulation for relief of chronic back and extremity pain. Spine 1996;21(23):2786–94.

van Buyten J-P, van Zundert J, Vueghs P, Vanduffel L. Efficacy of spinal cord stimulation: 10 years of experience in a pain centre in Belgium. Eur J Pain 2001;5(3):299–307.

De Mulder PA, te Rijdt B, Veeckmans G, Belmans L. Evaluation of a dual quadripolar surgically implanted spinal cord stimulation lead for failed back surgery patients with chronic low back and leg pain. Neuromodulation 2005;8(4):219–24.

Follett KA, Boortz-Marx RL, Drake JM, et al. Prevention and management of intrathecal drug delivery and spinal cord stimulation system infections. Anesthesiology 2004;100(6):1582–94.

Forouzanfar T, Kemler MA, Weber WE, Kessels AG, van Kleef M. Spinal cord stimulation in complex regional pain syndrome: Cervical and lumbar devices are comparably effective. Br J Anaesth 2004;92(3):348–53.

Gutierrez Vall dCV, Solans LR, Pigrau SC, Pahissa BA. Psoas abcess due to an epidural spinal cord stimulation catheter. Scand J Infect Dis 1992; 24(1):119.

Kay AD, McIntyre MD, Macrae WA, Varma TR. Spinal cord stimulation—A long-term evaluation in patients with chronic pain. Br J Neurosurg 2001;15(4):335–41.

Kemler MA, Barendse GA, van Kleef M, Van Den Wildenberg FA, Weber WE. Electrical spinal cord stimulation in reflex sympathetic dystrophy: Retrospective analysis of 23 patients. J Neurosurg 1999;90(suppl 1):79–83.

Kumar A, Felderhof C, Eljamel MS. Spinal cord stimulation for the treatment of refractory unilateral limb pain syndromes. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 2003;81(1–4):70–4.

Kumar K, Hunter G, Demeria D. Spinal cord stimulation in treatment of chronic benign pain: Challenges in treatment planning and present status, a 22-year experience. Neurosurgery 2006; 58(3):481–96.

Kumar K, Malik S, Demeria D. Treatment of chronic pain with spinal cord stimulation versus alternative therapies: Cost-effectiveness analysis. Neurosurgery 2002;51(1):106–15.

Kumar K, Nath R, Wyant GM. Treatment of chronic pain by epidural spinal cord stimulation: A 10-year experience. J Neurosurg 1991;75(3): 402–7.

Kumar K, Toth C. The role of spinal cord stimulation in the treatment of chronic pain postlaminectomy. Curr Pain Headache Rep 1998;2:85–92.

Kumar K, Toth C, Nath RK, Laing P. Epidural spinal cord stimulation for treatment of chronic pain—Some predictors of success. A 15-year experience. Surg Neurol 1998;50(2):110–20.

Kumar K, Wilson JR, Taylor RS, Gupta S. Complications of spinal cord stimulation, suggestions to improve outcome, and financial impact. J Neurosurg Spine 2006;5(3):191–203.

Lang P. The treatment of chronic pain by epidural spinal cord stimulation—A 15 year follow up: Present status. Axon 1997; 71–3.

Leclercq TA, Russo E. Epidural stimulation for pain control [French]. Neurochirurgie 1981;27(2): 125–8.

Leveque JC, Villavicencio AT, Bulsara KR, Ruvin L, Gorecki JP. Spinal cord stimulation for failed back surgery syndrome. Neuromodulation 2001; 4(1);1–9.

May MS, Banks C, Thomson SJ. A retrospective, long-term, third-party follow-up of patients considered for spinal cord stimulation. Neuromodulation 2002;5(3):137–44.

Meglio M, Cioni B, Rossi GF. Spinal cord stimulation in management of chronic pain. A 9-year experience. J Neurosurg 1989;70(4):519–24.

Meglio M, Cioni B, Visocchi M, Tancredi A, Pentimalli L. Spinal cord stimulation in low back and leg pain. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 1994;62(1–4):263–6.

Ohnmeiss DD, Rashbaum RF, Bogdanffy GM. Prospective outcome evaluation of spinal cord stimulation in patients with intractable leg pain. Spine 1996;21(11):1344–50.

de la Porte C, Siegfried J. Lumbosacral spinal fibrosis (spinal arachnoiditis). Its diagnosis and treatment by spinal cord stimulation. Spine 1983; 8(6):593–603.

Quigley DG, Arnold J, Eldridge PR, et al. Long-term outcome of spinal cord stimulation and hardware complications. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 2003;81(1–4):50–63.

Renard VM, North RB. Prevention of percutaneous electrode migration in spinal cord stimulation by a modification of the standard implantation technique. J Neurosurg Spine 2006;4(4):300–3.

Rosenow JM, Stanton-Hicks M, Rezai AR, Henderson JM. Failure modes of spinal cord stimulation hardware. J Neurosurg Spine 2006;5:183–90.

Segal R, Stacey BR, Rudy TE, Baser S, Markham J. Spinal cord stimulation revisited. Neurol Res 1998;20(5);391–6.

Shealy CN. Dorsal column stimulation: Optimization of application. Surg Neurol 1975;4(1): 142–5.

Siegfried J, Lazorthes Y. Long-term follow-up of dorsal cord stimulation for chronic pain syndrome after multiple lumbar operations. Appl Neuropysiol 1982;45:201–04.

Simpson BA. Spinal cord stimulation in 60 cases of intractable pain. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1991;54(3):196–9.

Simpson BA, Bassett G, Davies K, Herbert C, Pierri M. Cervical spinal cord stimulation for pain: A report on 41 patients. Neuromodulation 2003; 6(1):20–6.

Slavin KV, Burchiel KJ, Anderson VC, Cooke B. Efficacy of transverse tripolar stimulation for relief of chronic low back pain: Results of a single center. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 1999;73(1–4):126–30.

Sundaraj SR, Johnstone C, Noore F, Wynn P, Castro M. Spinal cord stimulation: A seven-year audit. J Clin Neurosci 2005;12(3):264–70.

Van Buyten J-P, Van Zundert J, Vueghs P, Vanduffel L. Efficacy of spinal cord stimulation: 10 years of experience in a pain centre in Belgium. Eur J Pain 2001;5(3):299–307.

Villavicencio AT, Leveque JC, Rubin L, Bulsara K, Gorecki JP. Laminectomy versus percutaneous electrode placement for spinal cord stimulation. Neurosurgery 2000;46(2):399–405.

### Wound or Skin Breakdown (Incidence, Prevention, etc.)

Blond S, Armignies P, Parker F, et al. Chronic sciatalgia caused by sensitive deafferentiation following surgery for lumbar disk hernia: Clinical and therapeutic aspects. Apropos of 110 patients [French]. Neurochirurgie 1991;37(2):86–95.

de la Porte C, Siegfried J. Lumbosacral spinal fibrosis (spinal arachnoiditis). Its diagnosis and treatment by spinal cord stimulation. Spine 1983; 8(6):593–603.

Percutaneous catheter electrode migration: What is the incidence, time to appearance of symptoms, usual resolution and impact on therapy, and worst case adverse sequelae of percutaneous catheter electrode migration following SCS treatment, and how can this risk be reduced?

- Incidence: variable depending upon implantation technique
- Time to appearance of symptoms: immediate to decades
- Treatment: Non-invasively reassign contact combination if possible; if ineffective, revise the electrode.
- Usual resolution and impact on therapy: Minor displacement usually can be addressed noninvasively; major displacement requires revision. After fibrous tissue encapsulation occurs, revision might be more difficult, e.g., requiring laminectomy or laminotomy.
- Worst case adverse sequelae: inability to recapture pain/paresthesia overlap.
- Risk reduction: Applying silicone elastomer adhesive during anchoring has been reported to prevent longitudinal electrode migration. (This is not necessary or possible with some anchors and techniques, and alternatives are under development.) During system implantation,

S242 North and Shipley

avoid increasing mechanical stress by avoiding unnecessary bends of small radius and superfluous connectors. Subject to patient preference and surgical judgment, avoid crossing a mobile joint or body segment with subcutaneous lead wire or extension cable, e.g., a thoracic electrode encounters more stress and strain if connected to an upper buttock pulse generator than if connected to a lateral abdominal generator.

Strength of recommendation	A
Evidence sources	<ul> <li>Weighing risk versus potential benefit and expert consensus reveals a high likelihood of a favorable outcome</li> <li>Well-designed clinical study</li> </ul>

Surgical plate/paddle electrode migration: What is the incidence, time to appearance of symptoms, usual resolution and impact on therapy, and worst case adverse sequelae of surgical plate/paddle electrode migration following SCS treatment, and how can this risk be reduced?

- Incidence: Surgical plate/paddle electrodes resist migration after encapsulation.
- Time to appearance of symptoms: immediate, i.e., before encapsulation.
- Treatment: Non-invasively reassign contact combination if possible; if ineffective, revise electrode.
- Usual resolution and impact on therapy: Minor displacement usually can be addressed noninvasively; major displacement requires revision
- Risk reduction: Some surgeons suture surgical plate/paddle electrodes directly to the dura, but this requires exposing a larger area, which is problematic, and might add mechanical stress. Some use an anchoring/strain relief sleeve to secure the emerging lead wire to the spine. Using absorbable sutures eliminates the continued focal stress that can be caused by non-absorbable sutures after the electrode becomes encapsulated. During system implantation, avoid increasing mechanical stress by avoiding unnecessary bends of small radius and superfluous connectors. Subject to patient preference

and surgical judgment, avoid crossing a mobile joint or segment with subcutaneous lead wire or extension cable, e.g., a thoracic electrode encounters more stress and strain if connected to an upper buttock pulse generator than if connected to a lateral abdominal generator.

Strength of recommendation	В
Evidence sources	Weighing risk versus potential benefit and expert consensus reveals a good likelihood of a favorable outcome     Consensus

Electrode Migration (Incidence, Prevention, etc.) Abejón D, Reig E, del Pozo C, Contreras R, Insausti J. Dual spinal cord stimulation for complex pain: Preliminary study. Neuromodulation 2005;8(2):105–11.

Aló KM, Redko V, Charnov J. Four year follow-up of dual electrode spinal cord stimulation for chronic pain. Neuromodulation 2002;5(2):79–99.

Aló KM, Yland MJ, Charnov JH, Redko V. Multiple program spinal cord stimulation in the treatment of chronic pain: Follow-up of multiple program SCS. Neuromodulation 1999;2(4):266–72.

Barolat G. Experience with 509 plate electrodes implanted epidurally from C1 to L1. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 1993;61(2):60–79.

Barolat G, Schwartzman R, Woo R. Epidural spinal cord stimulation in the management of reflex sympathetic dystrophy. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 1989;53(1):29–39.

Blond S, Armignies P, Parker F, et al. Chronic sciatalgia caused by sensitive deafferentiation following surgery for lumbar disk hernia: Clinical and therapeutic aspects. Apropos of 110 patients [French]. Neurochirurgie 1991;37(2):86–95.

Broseta J, Roldan P, Gonzalez-Darder J, Bordes V, Barcia-Salorio JL. Chronic epidural dorsal column stimulation in the treatment of causalgic pain. Appl Neurophysiol 1982;45:190–4.

Budd K. Spinal cord stimulation: Cost-benefit study. Neuromodulation 2002;5(2):75–8.

Burchiel KJ, Anderson VC, Brown FD, et al. Prospective, multicenter study of spinal cord stimulation for relief of chronic back and extremity pain. Spine 1996;21(23):2786–94.

Burton AW, Fukshansky M, Brown J, Hassenbusch SJ III. Refractory insomnia in a patient with spinal cord stimulator lead migration. Neuromodulation 2004;7(4):242–5.

Forouzanfar T, Kemler MA, Weber WE, Kessels AG, van Kleef M. Spinal cord stimulation in complex regional pain syndrome: Cervical and lumbar devices are comparably effective. Br J Anaesth 2004;92(3):348–53.

Kavar B, Rosenfeld JV, Hutchinson A. The efficacy of spinal cord stimulation for chronic pain. J Clin Neurosci 2000;7(5):409–13.

Kay AD, McIntyre MD, Macrae WA, Varma TR. Spinal cord stimulation—A long-term evaluation in patients with chronic pain. Br J Neurosurg 2001;15(4):335–41.

Kumar A, Felderhof C, Eljamel MS. Spinal cord stimulation for the treatment of refractory unilateral limb pain syndromes. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 2003;81(1–4):70–4.

Kumar K, Hunter G, Demeria D. Spinal cord stimulation in treatment of chronic benign pain: Challenges in treatment planning and present status, a 22-year experience. Neurosurgery 2006; 58(3):481–96.

Kumar K, Malik S, Demeria D. Treatment of chronic pain with spinal cord stimulation versus alternative therapies: Cost-effectiveness analysis. Neurosurgery 2002;51(1):106–15.

Kumar K, Nath RK, Toth C. Spinal cord stimulation is effective in the management of reflex sympathetic dystrophy. Neurosurgery 1997;40(3): 503–8.

Kumar K, Nath R, Wyant GM. Treatment of chronic pain by epidural spinal cord stimulation: A 10-year experience. J Neurosurg 1991;75(3): 402–7.

Kumar K, Toth C. The role of spinal cord stimulation in the treatment of chronic pain postlaminectomy. Curr Pain Headache Rep 1998;2:85–92.

Kumar K, Toth C, Nath RK, Laing P. Epidural spinal cord stimulation for treatment of chronic pain—Some predictors of success. A 15-year experience. Surg Neurol 1998;50(2):110–20.

Lang P. The treatment of chronic pain by epidural spinal cord stimulation—A 15 year follow up: Present status. Axon 1997;18(4):71–3.

Leclercq TA. Electrode migration in epidural stimulation: Comparison between single electrode and four electrode programmable leads. Pain 1984;20(suppl 2):78.

Leclercq TA, Russo E. Epidural stimulation for pain control [French]. Neurochirurgie 1981;27(2): 125–8.

Leveque JC, Villavicencio AT, Bulsara KR, Ruvin L, Gorecki JP. Spinal cord stimulation for failed back surgery syndrome. Neuromodulation 2001; 4(1):1–9.

May MS, Banks C, Thomson SJ. A retrospective, long-term, third-party follow-up of patients considered for spinal cord stimulation. Neuromodulation 2002;5(3):137–44.

Meglio M, Cioni B, Rossi GF. Spinal cord stimulation in management of chronic pain. A 9-year experience. J Neurosurg 1989;70(4):519–24.

Meglio M, Cioni B, Visocchi M, Tancredi A, Pentimalli L. Spinal cord stimulation in low back and leg pain. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 1994;62(1–4):263–6.

North RB, Kidd DH, Olin J, et al. Spinal cord stimulation for axial low back pain: A prospective, controlled trial comparing dual with single percutaneous electrodes. Spine 2005;30(12):1412–8.

North RB, Kidd DH, Zahurak M, James CS, Long DM. Spinal cord stimulation for chronic, intractable pain: Experience over two decades. Neurosurgery 1993;32(3):384–94.

Ohnmeiss DD, Rashbaum RF, Bogdanffy GM. Prospective outcome evaluation of spinal cord stimulation in patients with intractable leg pain. Spine 1996;21(11):1344–50.

de la Porte C, Siegfried J. Lumbosacral spinal fibrosis (spinal arachnoiditis). Its diagnosis and treatment by spinal cord stimulation. Spine 1983; 8(6):593–603.

Quigley DG, Arnold J, Eldridge PR, et al. Long-term outcome of spinal cord stimulation and hardware complications. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 2003;81(1–4):50–6.

Racz GB, McCarron RF, Talboys P. Percutaneous dorsal column stimulator for chronic pain control. Spine 1989;14(1):1–4.

S244 North and Shipley

Renard VM, North RB. Prevention of percutaneous electrode migration in spinal cord stimulation by a modification of the standard implantation technique. J Neurosurg Spine 2006;4(4):300–3.

Rosenow JM, Stanton-Hicks M, Rezai AR, Henderson JM. Failure modes of spinal cord stimulation hardware. J Neurosurg Spine 2006;5:183–90.

Siegfried J, Lazorthes Y. Long-term follow-up of dorsal cord stimulation for chronic pain syndrome after multiple lumbar operations. Appl Neuropysiol 1982;45:201–4.

Simpson BA, Bassett G, Davies K, Herbert C, Pierri M. Cervical spinal cord stimulation for pain: A report on 41 patients. Neuromodulation 2003; 6(1):20–6.

Spiegelmann R, Friedman WA. Spinal cord stimulation: A contemporary series. Neurosurgery 1991;28(1):65–70.

Sundaraj SR, Johnstone C, Noore F, Wynn P, Castro M. Spinal cord stimulation: A seven-year audit. J Clin Neurosci 2005;12(3):264–70.

Tseng SH. Treatment of chronic pain by spinal cord stimulation. J Formos Med Assoc 2000;99(3): 267–71.

Vallejo R, Kramer J, Benyamin R. Neuromodulation of the cervical spinal cord in the treatment of chronic intractable neck and upper extremity pain: A case series and review of the literature. Pain Physician 2007;10(2):305–11.

Van Buyten J-P, Van Zundert J, Vueghs P, Vanduffel L. Efficacy of spinal cord stimulation: 10 years of experience in a pain centre in Belgium. Eur J Pain 2001;5(3):299–307.

Implanted pulse generator failure: What is the incidence, time to appearance of symptoms, usual resolution and impact on therapy, and worst case adverse sequelae of implanted pulse generator failure, and how can this risk be reduced?

- Incidence: rare, with the exception of battery depletion
- Time to appearance of symptoms: variable, albeit battery depletion is more or less predictable
- Treatment: revision as necessary
- Usual resolution and impact on therapy: resolves upon revision; interrupts therapy pending revision

- Worst case adverse sequelae: infection complicating replacement, necessitating removal of the entire system
- Risk reduction: careful choice of generator

Strength of recommendation	В
Evidence sources	• Consensus

Electromechanical failure of lead or extension cable: What is the incidence, time to appearance of symptoms, usual resolution and impact on therapy, and worst case adverse sequelae of electromechanical failure of the lead or extension cable following SCS treatment, and how can this risk be reduced?

- Incidence: rare
- Time to appearance of symptoms: variable
- Treatment: revision as necessary
- Usual resolution and impact on therapy: Surgical revision restores SCS therapy.
- Worst case adverse sequelae: Failure of the lead wire portion of an electrode assembly can require replacement of the entire assembly.
- Risk reduction: Avoid using extra connectors (a potential source of failure that adds mechanical stress to the adjacent cable or lead wire). If extra connectors are used, locate them at or near existing points of fixation. Create service loops from slack, redundant cable or lead wire and place them in locations where they can provide strain relief (e.g., within the fibrous pocket beneath the pulse generator). Subject to patient preference and surgical judgment, avoid crossing mobile segments of the body with cable or lead wire, so as to reduce motion-stress from postural changes; e.g., in patients with low thoracic electrodes, place the pulse generator in the flank or lateral abdomen at the same level as the electrode anchor.

Strength of recommendation	В
Evidence sources	Weighing risk versus potential benefit and expert consensus reveals a good likelihood of favorable outcome

Aló KM, Redko V, Charnov J. Four year follow-up of dual electrode spinal cord stimulation for chronic pain. Neuromodulation 2002;5(2):79–99.

Barolat G. Experience with 509 plate electrodes implanted epidurally from C1 to L1. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 1993;61(2):60–79.

Barolat G, Schwartzman R, Woo R. Epidural spinal cord stimulation in the management of reflex sympathetic dystrophy. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 1989;53(1):29–39.

Blond S, Armignies P, Parker F, et al. Chronic sciatalgia caused by sensitive deafferentiation following surgery for lumbar disk hernia: Clinical and therapeutic aspects. Apropos of 110 patients [French]. Neurochirurgie 1991;37(2):86–95.

Broseta J, Roldan P, Gonzalez-Darder J, Bordes V, Barcia-Salorio JL. Chronic epidural dorsal column stimulation in the treatment of causalgic pain. Appl Neurophysiol 1982;45:190–4.

Burchiel KJ, Anderson VC, Brown FD, et al. Prospective, multicenter study of spinal cord stimulation for relief of chronic back and extremity pain. Spine 1996;21(23):2786–94.

van Buyten J-P, van Zundert J, Vueghs P, Vanduffel L. Efficacy of spinal cord stimulation: 10 years of experience in a pain centre in Belgium. Eur J Pain 2001;5(3):299–307.

De Andres J, Quiroz C, Villanueva V, et al. Patient satisfaction with spinal cord stimulation for failed back surgery syndrome [Spanish]. Rev Esp Anestesiol Reanim 2007;54(1):17–22.

Forouzanfar T, Kemler MA, Weber WE, Kessels AG, van Kleef M. Spinal cord stimulation in complex regional pain syndrome: Cervical and lumbar devices are comparably effective. Br J Anaesth 2004;92(3):348–53.

Heidecke V, Rainov NG, Burkert W. Hardware failures in spinal cord stimulation for failed back surgery syndrome. Neuromodulation 2000;3(1): 27–30.

Kay AD, McIntyre MD, Macrae WA, Varma TR. Spinal cord stimulation—A long-term evaluation in patients with chronic pain. Br J Neurosurg 2001;15(4):335–41.

Kumar A, Felderhof C, Eljamel MS. Spinal cord stimulation for the treatment of refractory unilateral limb pain syndromes. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 2003;81(1–4):70–4.

Kumar K, Hunter G, Demeria D. Spinal cord stimulation in treatment of chronic benign pain:

Challenges in treatment planning and present status, a 22-year experience. Neurosurgery 2006; 58(3):481–96.

Kumar K, Malik S, Demeria D. Treatment of chronic pain with spinal cord stimulation versus alternative therapies: Cost-effectiveness analysis. Neurosurgery 2002;51(1):106–15.

Kumar K, Nath TK, Toth C. Spinal cord stimulation is effective in the management of reflex sympathetic dystrophy. Neurosurgery 1997;40(3): 503–8.

Kumar K, Nath R, Wyant GM. Treatment of chronic pain by epidural spinal cord stimulation: A 10-year experience. J Neurosurg 1991;75(3): 402–7.

Kumar K, Toth C. The role of spinal cord stimulation in the treatment of chronic pain postlaminectomy. Curr Pain Headache Rep 1998;2:85–92.

Kumar K, Toth C, Nath RK, Laing P. Epidural spinal cord stimulation for treatment of chronic pain—Some predictors of success. A 15-year experience. Surg Neurol 1998;50(2):110–20.

Kumar K, Wilson JR, Taylor RS, Gupta S. Complications of spinal cord stimulation, suggestions to improve outcome, and financial impact. J Neurosurg Spine 2006;5(3):191–203.

Lang P. The treatment of chronic pain by epidural spinal cord stimulation—A 15 year follow up: Present status. Axon 1997;18(4):71–3.

Leveque JC, Villavicencio AT, Bulsara KR, Ruvin L, Gorecki JP. Spinal cord stimulation for failed back surgery syndrome. Neuromodulation 2001; 4(1);1–9.

May MS, Banks C, Thomson SJ. A retrospective, long-term, third-party follow-up of patients considered for spinal cord stimulation. Neuromodulation 2002;5(3):137–44.

Meglio M, Cioni B, Rossi GF. Spinal cord stimulation in management of chronic pain. A 9-year experience. J Neurosurg 1989;70(4):519–24.

Meglio M, Cioni B, Visocchi M, Tancredi A, Pentimalli L. Spinal cord stimulation in low back and leg pain. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 1994; 62(1–4):263–6.

North RB, Kidd DH, Olin J, et al. Spinal cord stimulation for axial low back pain: A prospective, controlled trial comparing dual with single percutaneous electrodes. Spine 2005;30(12):1412–8.

S246 North and Shipley

North RB, Kidd DH, Zahurak M, James CS, Long DM. Spinal cord stimulation for chronic, intractable pain: Experience over two decades. Neurosurgery 1993;32(3):384–94.

de la Porte C, Siegfried J. Lumbosacral spinal fibrosis (spinal arachnoiditis). Its diagnosis and treatment by spinal cord stimulation. Spine 1983; 8(6):593–603.

Quigley DG, Arnold J, Eldridge PR, et al. Long-term outcome of spinal cord stimulation and hardware complications. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 2003;81(1–4):50–6.

Racz GB, McCarron RF, Talboys P. Percutaneous dorsal column stimulator for chronic pain control. Spine 1989;14(1):1–4.

Rosenow JM, Stanton-Hicks M, Rezai AR, Henderson JM. Failure modes of spinal cord stimulation hardware. J Neurosurg Spine 2006;5:183–90.

Simpson BA, Bassett G, Davies K, Herbert C, Pierri M. Cervical spinal cord stimulation for pain: A report on 41 patients. Neuromodulation 2003; 6(1):20–6.

Sundaraj SR, Johnstone C, Noore F, Wynn P, Castro M. Spinal cord stimulation: A seven-year audit. J Clin Neurosci 2005;12(3):264–70.

Vallejo R, Kramer J, Benyamin R. Neuromodulation of the cervical spinal cord in the treatment of chronic intractable neck and upper extremity pain: A case series and review of the literature. Pain Physician 2007;10(2):305–11.

Van Buyten J-P, Van Zundert J, Vueghs P, Vanduffel L. Efficacy of spinal cord stimulation: 10 years of experience in a pain centre in Belgium. Eur J Pain 2001;5(3):299–307.

#### Foreign Body Reaction

Barolat G, Schwartzman R, Woo R. Epidural spinal cord stimulation in the management of reflex sympathetic dystrophy. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 1989; 53(1):29–39.

Kemler MA, Barendse GA, van Kleef M. Recurrent rejection of a spinal cord stimulation system. Contact Dermatitis 2000;42(5):304–05.

Ochani TD, Almirante J, Siddiqui A, Kaplan R. Allergic reaction to spinal cord stimulator. Clin J Pain 2000;16(2):178–80.

### Screening Trial

### Does a screening trial provide valid patient selection information?

Because the SCS screening trial duplicates the definitive procedure (the therapeutic effects, side effects, and patient—control interface), it offers the most meaningful prognostic sign that SCS will succeed or fail. A patient who reports sufficient and satisfactory pain relief during a screening trial of reasonable duration (see below) with stable or reduced analgesic use and a concordant increase in activity should be offered a permanent implant. Thus, as its name implies, the screening trial fulfills both a screening and a trial function.

Strength of recommendation	A
Evidence sources	<ul> <li>Weighing risk versus potential benefit and expert consensus reveals a high likelihood of a favorable outcome</li> <li>Medicare requirement</li> </ul>

### Does the length of a screening trial affect its ability to provide valid information?

Valid information about pain/paresthesia coverage and pain relief can be obtained soon after the trial begins. Gaining valid information about the patient's degree of acceptance of the therapy, however, requires more time as does gathering information that supports the patient's report of pain relief (an appropriate reduction in medication consumption and increase in physical activity).

Strength of recommendation	В
Evidence sources	Weighing risk versus potential benefit and expert consensus reveals a good likelihood of a favorable outcome

What is the optimum length of a screening trial? A trial of 3–8 days generally provides sufficient information yet is short enough to reduce the likelihood of infection. Some practitioners, however, conduct trials of up to 3 weeks in duration. Others, in some circumstances, conduct an "on-table" trial

and implant the SCS system immediately after testing.

The advantage of on-table testing is that it obviates the need for a second procedure. The disadvantage is that the abbreviated trial could fail to provide information adequate to predict a short-term failure, which would require a second procedure to reverse the unwarranted implantation of the system.

(Reminder: This information applies to patients with neuropathic, not ischemic pain. In the latter case, clinical practice might differ.)

Strength of recommendation	В
Evidence sources	<ul> <li>Weighing risk versus potential benefit and expert consensus reveals a good likelihood of a favorable outcome</li> </ul>

Frank ED, Menefee LA, Jalali S, et al. The utility of a 7-day percutaneous spinal cord stimulation trial measured by a pain diary: A long-term retrospective analysis. Neuromodulation 2005;8(3): 162–70.

North RB. SCS trial duration [editorial]. Neuro-modulation 2003;6:4–5.

Weinand ME, Madhusudan H, Davis B, Melgar M. Acute vs. prolonged screening for spinal cord stimulation in chronic pain. Neuromodulation 2003;6(1):15–9.

### What type of electrode should be used for the screening trial?

Percutaneous electrode placement under fluoroscopy provides access to many levels of the spine with the use of a single epidural needle. This allows mapping of paresthesia/pain overlap to determine the optimal longitudinal level for the electrode. A surgical plate/paddle electrode inserted by laminectomy or laminotomy limits this access and, thus, compromises mapping. Accordingly, most screening trials involve the placement of a percutaneous catheter electrode.

A surgical plate/paddle electrode is required for screening if a percutaneous catheter electrode fails to access the epidural space satisfactorily. A surgical plate/paddle electrode might also be useful if a percutaneous catheter electrode causes excessive

side effects or fails to provide sufficient pain/ paresthesia overlap to allow assessment of the potential for SCS to provide pain relief.

In a minority of patients, e.g., those in whom a previous laminectomy or posterior fusion blocks access to the epidural space or in whom the target area (viz., C1) is otherwise inaccessible, it is evident from the outset that the screening trial will require a surgical plate/paddle electrode.

Strength of recommendation	A
Evidence sources	<ul> <li>Weighing risk versus potential benefit and expert consensus reveals a high likelihood of a favorable outcome</li> <li>Only option (in some cases)</li> </ul>

Erickson DL. Percutaneous trial of stimulation for patient selection for implantable stimulating devices. J Neurosurg 1975;34:440–4.

Hoppenstein R. Electrical stimulation of the ventral and dorsal columns of the spinal cord for relief of chronic intractable pain: Preliminary report. Surg Neurol 1975;4(1):187–94.

Hosobuchi Y, Adams JE, Weinstein PR. Preliminary percutaneous dorsal column stimulation prior to permanent implantation. J Neurosurg 1972;17:242–5.

Krainick JU, Thoden U, Riechert T. Spinal cord stimulation in post-amputation pain. Surg Neurol 1975;4(1):167–70.

North RB, Fischell TA, Long DM. Chronic stimulation via percutaneously inserted epidural electrodes. Neurosurgery 1977;1(2):215–8.

North RB, Fischell TA, Long DM. Chronic dorsal column stimulation via percutaneously inserted epidural electrodes: Preliminary results in 31 patients. Appl Neurophysiol 1977–8;40(2–4):184–91.

What is the impact of anchoring the screening electrode at the time of implantation for chronic use if the screening trial is successful?

### Expense

Anchoring an electrode for use during the screening trial and permanent stimulation there-

S248 North and Shipley

after reduces the cost of hardware in patients who have a successful trial but increases the cost of the screening procedure (see Setting below). On the other hand, a percutaneous catheter electrode designed solely for screening can be less expensive than electrodes designed to be implanted.

#### Setting

Inserting a temporary, unanchored percutaneous catheter electrode for the screening trial simply requires sterile conditions and fluoroscopy. In contrast, anchoring a screening trial electrode for potential permanent stimulation requires a surgical incision in an operating room, which increases expense and scheduling difficulty. Should a trial fail, the unanchored percutaneous catheter electrode can be removed easily; removal of an internally anchored electrode must take place in an operating room.

#### Outcome

Using the same electrode for the screening trial and permanent stimulation eliminates the possibility that the replacement electrode will not reproduce the pain/paresthesia overlap captured during the screening trial. Implanting a new, permanent electrode in a patient who has gained experience through the screening trial, however, might give the clinician the opportunity to improve upon the results of the screening trial.

Anchoring a trial electrode for potential permanent stimulation increases incisional pain (which could confound the interpretation of the trial's success) and requires the use of a percutaneous extension cable, which increases the risk of infection.

It is reasonable for a patient and clinician to anticipate that an implanted SCS system will provide successful therapy (why otherwise would they participate in the screening trial?); yet this expectation could obfuscate evidence to the contrary during the short-term length of the trial. Expecting the screening trial electrode to become part of the chronic stimulation system could reinforce this positive expectation and, thus, could cause the patient to report a false-positive trial result or the clinician to interpret questionable results in an unwarranted positive light. This source of bias is easily avoided by adopting the practice of always removing the trial electrode.

Strength of recommendation	В
Evidence sources	<ul> <li>Weighing risk versus potential benefit and expert consensus reveals a good likelihood of a favorable outcome</li> </ul>

### What should be done to control procedural pain during trial electrode placement?

Whenever possible, screening trials should take place using a local anesthetic. Under general anesthetic, the unconscious patient cannot describe paresthesia coverage or react to changes in stimulation parameters or intraoperative events, which might increase the risk of neurologic injury. In some cases, however, a general anesthetic might be necessary (e.g., for cervical electrode placement of a surgical plate/paddle electrode).

Strength of recommendation	A
Evidence sources	<ul> <li>Only option (in some cases)</li> <li>Weighing risk versus potential benefit and expert consensus reveals a high likelihood of a favorable outcome</li> </ul>

# What adjustment options are available and when and where should adjustment of stimulation parameters take place?

Parameter adjustment requires the assistance of a trained professional and might require specialized equipment. Adjustment takes place during the screening-trial period and after SCS implantation. The goal is to find settings for patients to use as they pursue activities of daily life. The technical goal is to maximize coverage of pain areas with comfortable or tolerable stimulation paresthesia. Minimizing power requirements is an additional goal, to the extent that battery life is important.

Strength of recommendation	NA—information only
Evidence sources	NA—see Bibliography for relevant literature

Aló KM, Yland MJ, Kramer DL, Charnov JH, Redko V. Computer assisted and patient interactive programming of dual octrode spinal cord stimulation in the treatment of chronic pain. Neuromodulation 1998;1(1):30–45.

North RB, Brigham DD, Khalessi A, et al. Spinal cord stimulator adjustment to maximize implanted battery longevity: A randomized, controlled trial using a computerized, patient-interactive programmer. Neuromodulation 2004;7(1):13–25.

North RB, Calkins SK, Campbell DS, et al. Automated, patient-interactive spinal cord stimulator adjustment: A randomized, controlled trial. Neurosurgery 2003;52(3):572–9.

North RB, Fowler K, Nigrin DJ, Szymanski R. Patient-interactive, computer-controlled neurological stimulation system: Clinical efficacy in spinal cord stimulator adjustment. J Neurosurg 1992;76(6):967–72.

North RB, Nigrin DJ, Fowler KR, Szymanski RE, Piantadosi S. Automated "pain drawing" analysis by computer-controlled, patient-interactive neurological stimulation system. Pain 1992;50(1): 51–7.

North RB, Sieracki JN, Fowler KR, Alvarez B, Cutchis PN. Patient-interactive, microprocessor-controlled neurological stimulation system. Neuromodulation 1998;1(4):185–93.

### What determines the perception threshold of stimulation paresthesia?

- Perception threshold increases with increasing distance of the electrode from the spinal cord, i.e., with increasing depth of the dorsal cerebrospinal fluid and with increasing distance of the electrode from the dura.
- The mediolateral position of the electrode in the spinal canal relative to the physiologic midline influences the perception threshold (which is significantly reduced by lateral asymmetry of less than 1 mm).
- The distance between contacts.
- The size and orientation of the fibers stimulated.

Strength of recommendation	A
Evidence sources	• Computer modeling studies

He J, Barolat G, Holsheimer J, Struijk JJ. Perception threshold and electrode position for spinal cord stimulation. Pain 1994;59(1):55–63.

Holsheimer J, Barolat G, Struijk JJ, He J. Significance of the spinal cord position in spinal cord stimulation. Acta Neurochir Suppl 1995;64:119–24.

Holsheimer J, Khan YN, Raza SS, Khan EA. Effects of electrode positioning on perception threshold and paresthesia coverage in spinal cord stimulation. Neuromodulation 2007;10(1):34–41.

Holsheimer J, Struijk JJ. How do geometric factors influence epidural spinal cord stimulation? A quantitative analysis by computer modeling. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 1991;56(4):234–49.

Holsheimer J, Wesselink WA. Optimum electrode geometry for spinal cord stimulation: The narrow bipole and tripole. Med Biol Eng Comput 1997; 35(5):493–7.

### What determines which area is covered by paresthesia?

- The spinal level of the electrode(s)
- The position of the electrode with respect to the physiologic midline
- The distance of the electrode(s) from the spinal cord
- Individual patient anatomic variance
- The configuration of the electrode(s) and contacts
- The stimulation parameters (pulse width, rate, amplitude)

Strength of recommendation	A
Evidence sources	<ul><li>Clinical studies</li><li>Computer modeling studies</li></ul>

Barolat G, Massaro F, He J, Zeme S, Ketcik B. Mapping of sensory responses to epidural stimulation of the intraspinal neural structures in man. J Neurosurg 1993;78(2):233–9.

Holsheimer J, Nuttin B, King GW, et al. Clinical evaluation of paresthesia steering with a new system for spinal cord stimulation. Neurosurgery 1998;42(3):541–7.

S250 North and Shipley

Holsheimer J, Struijk JJ. Effects of electrode geometry and combination on paresthesia coverage and usage range in ESCS. Proceedings of the Second Congress of the International Neuromodulation Society, Göteborg, Sweden, 1994.

Holsheimer J, Wesselink WA. Effect of anodecathode configuration on paresthesia coverage in spinal cord stimulation. Neurosurgery 1997;41: 654–60.

Oakley J, Varga C, Krames E, Bradley K. Real-time paresthesia steering using continuous electric field adjustment. Part I: Intraoperative performance. Neuromodulation 2004;7(3):157–67.

Wesselink WA, Holsheimer J, King GW, Torgerson NA, Boom HBK. Quantitative aspects of the clinical performance of transverse tripolar spinal cord stimulation. Neuromodulation 1999;2(1):5–14.

### What constitutes a successful screening trial?

The generally accepted definition of a successful screening trial is 50% pain relief reported by the patient, despite appropriate (provocative) physical activity, with stable or reduced analgesic consumption. Patient satisfaction is, of course, an additional necessary condition for proceeding.

Strength of recommendation	NA—information only
Evidence sources	NA—see Bibliography for relevant literature

### When should a screening trial be repeated?

If the screening trial was technically inadequate (pain/paresthesia overlap was not sufficient), a repeat trial should be considered.

Strength of recommendation	NA—information only
Evidence sources	NA

### Are there additional reasons to conduct a screening trial?

The screening trial provides important information that will dictate the choice of electrode and stimulator to be implanted and the optimum stimulating configuration.

Medicare and many third-party payers require a successful screening trial before implantation.

Strength of recommendation	A
Evidence sources	<ul> <li>Weighing risk versus potential benefit and expert consensus reveals a high likelihood of a favorable outcome</li> <li>Medicare requirement</li> </ul>

### Device Options

### What types of SCS electrodes are available?

- For screening trials, specially designed, temporary (less costly) percutaneous electrodes are available, or either type of electrode designed for permanent use may be used with a temporary percutaneous extension cable.
- Percutaneous catheter electrodes have as many as eight contacts. Implantation of a single electrode produces a one-dimensional contact array. Implantation of multiple electrodes can create a two-dimensional contact array.
- Surgical plate/paddle electrodes have as many as 16 contacts in one- or two-dimensional contact arrays.

Strength of recommendation	NA—information only
Evidence sources	NA—see Bibliography for relevant literature on device options

### What factors dictate the choice of electrodes for permanent implantation?

Individual patient factors: Patients who are satisfied with the result of the screening trial with the percutaneous catheter electrode might choose it for the permanent implant. During implantation in children who have yet to reach their full stature, provisions for growth should be considered. In obese patients, percutaneous catheter electrode placement using specially designed Tuohy needles might be advantageous. Insulated surgical plate/paddle electrodes protect susceptible patients from painful or uncomfortable extraneous stimulation (see below: "What advantages do percutaneous catheter electrodes and surgical plate/paddle electrodes offer?").

Individual clinician factors: Sometimes the choice of electrode follows the choice of technique. A

surgeon, for example, might place a surgical plate/paddle electrode if this is perceived to be the best option. An anesthesiologist or physiatrist, on the other hand, would be expected to use the percutaneous technique.

Strength of recommendation	NA—information only
Evidence sources	NA—see Bibliography for relevant literature on device options

### What advantages do percutaneous catheter electrodes and surgical plate/paddle electrodes offer?

Pain/paresthesia mapping: A percutaneous electrode offers relatively easy access to multiple spinal levels and, thus, facilitates paresthesia mapping. A surgical plate/paddle electrode, however, might be required for screening if a percutaneous catheter electrode cannot access the epidural space satisfactorily, e.g, in a patient who has undergone a previous laminectomy or posterior fusion at the level of insertion.

Fracture: There is no inherent difference in the fracture rate for these electrodes.

Migration: Longitudinal or lateral migration of an electrode (either a single electrode or one of a pair) can reduce or eliminate pain/paresthesia overlap. Because of its shape, a surgical plate/paddle electrode resists migration once it is encapsulated in fibrous tissue, and, if it has multiple columns of contacts, these are fixed in position with respect to one another. A percutaneous catheter electrode, on the other hand, retains a greater potential to migrate, even after encapsulation. To the extent that migration of percutaneous catheter electrodes can be avoided with the new anchoring techniques referenced herein, this issue is mitigated.

Extraneous stimulation: According to one case series with blinded, internal controls, the dorsal insulation on a surgical plate/paddle electrode prevents uncomfortable extraneous stimulation, viz., of nerve fibers in ligamentum flavum, seen in a small fraction of patients.

Insertion/removal: Placement of a surgical plate/paddle electrode requires a laminectomy or laminotomy; its removal requires laminotomy. Insertion/removal of the percutaneous catheter electrode does not require laminectomy or laminotomy. Thus, the pain associated with insertion

of a plate/paddle electrode might be greater than that experienced after insertion of a percutaneous catheter electrode.

Electrode revision: The scarring that occurs after electrode implantation is greater for surgical plate/paddle electrodes than for percutaneous catheter electrodes; this can present a greater problem if the electrode requires revision.

### Targeting specific sites

- One RCT and one case series found that, in the treatment of low back and leg pain, compared with the use of percutaneous catheter electrodes at the same spinal level, the use of an insulated surgical plate/paddle electrode improves pain/paresthesia coverage, pain relief, and clinical outcome. Many case series have reported successful treatment of low back and leg pain with both electrode designs.
- Nonrandomized, but controlled, trials concluded that in patients with axial low back pain, an electrode with a single column of contacts placed on the midline affords coverage superior to that provided by a dual column of contacts (created with 1) percutaneous catheter electrodes implanted in parallel or 2) a single surgical plate/paddle electrode). Clinical outcomes, however, were assessed only for the dual column configurations, and they were comparable to those reported in the SCS literature in general. Many large case series report good outcomes with dual column electrodes.
- Modeling studies indicate that the use of a transverse tripole electrode with three columns that allow lateral anodes to bracket a central cathode and, thus, reduce segmental side effects might be advantageous. Limited clinical outcome studies have been reported for this configuration.

Power requirement: A surgical plate/paddle electrode requires less power than a percutaneous electrode with the same contact areas and spacing; therefore, the use of a surgical plate/paddle electrode increases the time before surgical battery replacement or recharging is required.

Strength of recommendation	A
Evidence sources	<ul><li>RCT</li><li>Well-designed clinical studies</li><li>Consensus</li></ul>

S252 North and Shipley

Barolat G. Experience with 509 plate electrodes implanted epidurally from C1 to L1. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 1993;61(2):60–79.

Bennett DS, Aló KM, Oakley J, Feler CA. Spinal cord stimulation for Complex Regional Pain Syndrome I (RSD). A retrospective multicenter experience from 1995–1998 of 101 patients. Neuromodulation 1999;2(3):202–10.

Forouzanfar T, Kemler MA, Weber WE, Kessels AG, van Kleef M. Spinal cord stimulation in complex regional pain syndrome: Cervical and lumbar devices are comparably effective. Br J Anaesth 2004;92(3):348–53.

Hale G, Calava J. Using tripolar spinal cord stimulation leads to treat concurrent low back leg pain: Preliminary results [Abstract]. Neuromodulation 2006;9(1):15.

Kumar K, Hunter G, Demeria D. Spinal cord stimulation in treatment of chronic benign pain: Challenges in treatment planning and present status, a 22-year experience. Neurosurgery 2006; 58(3):481–96.

Kumar K, Toth C. The role of spinal cord stimulation in the treatment of chronic pain postlaminectomy. Curr Pain Headache Rep 1998;2:85–92.

Kumar K, Toth C, Nath RK, Laing P. Epidural spinal cord stimulation for treatment of chronic pain—Some predictors of success. A 15-year experience. Surg Neurol 1998;50(2):110–20.

Leclercq TA. Electrode migration in epidural stimulation: Comparison between single electrode and four electrode programmable leads. Pain 1984;20(suppl 2):78.

Leveque JC, Villavicencio AT, Bulsara KR, Ruvin L, Gorecki JP. Spinal cord stimulation for failed back surgery syndrome. Neuromodulation 2001; 4(1);1–9.

Manola L, Holsheimer J. Technical performance of percutaneous and laminectomy leads analyzed by modeling. Neuromodulation 2004;7(4):231–41.

North RB, Kidd DH, Olin J, Sieracki JN, Petrucci L. Spinal cord stimulation for axial low back pain: A prospective, controlled trial comparing 16-contact insulated electrode arrays with 4-contact percutaneous electrodes. Neuromodulation 2006;9(1):56–67.

North RB, Kidd DH, Petrucci L, Dorsi MJ. Spinal cord stimulation electrode design: A prospective, randomized, controlled trial comparing percutaneous with laminectomy electrodes: Part II—clinical outcomes. Neurosurgery 2005;57(5): 990–5.

North RB, Kidd DH, Olin J, et al. Spinal cord stimulation for axial low back pain: A prospective, controlled trial comparing dual with single percutaneous electrodes. Spine 2005;30(12):1412–8.

North RB, Kidd DH, Olin J, Sieracki JN. Spinal cord stimulation electrode design: Prospective, controlled trial comparing percutaneous and laminectomy electrodes—Part I: Technical outcomes. Neurosurgery 2002;51(2):381–90.

North RB, Kidd DH, Petrucci L, Dorsi MJ. Spinal cord stimulation electrode design: A prospective, randomized, controlled trial comparing percutaneous with laminectomy electrodes: Part II-clinical outcomes. Neurosurgery 2005;57(5): 990–5.

North RB, Lanning A, Hessels R, Cutchis PN. Spinal cord stimulation with percutaneous and plate electrodes: Side effects and quantitative comparisons. Neurosurg Focus 1997;2(1:3), 1–5.

Sisson CB, Turner DM. Novel stimulation construct for a patient with intractable pelvic pain: A case report [Abstract]. Neuromodulation 2006; 9(1):18.

Slavin KV, Burchiel KJ, Anderson VC, Cooke B. Efficacy of transverse tripolar stimulation for relief of chronic low back pain: Results of a single center. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 1999;73(1–4):126–30.

Struijk JJ, Holsheimer J, Spincemaille GHJ, Gielen FLH, Hoekema R. Theoretical performance and clinical evaluation of transverse tripolar spinal cord stimulation. IEEE Trans Rehabil Eng 1998;6(3):277–85.

Tanabe Y, Kimura N, Kida N, et al. A case of intractable lower limb pain treated successfully by spinal cord stimulation with an electrode inserted retrogradely [Japanese]. Masui 2006;55(6):732–4.

Villavicencio AT, Leveque JC, Rubin L, Bulsara K, Gorecki JP. Laminectomy versus percutaneous electrode placement for spinal cord stimulation. Neurosurgery 2000;46(2):399–405.

Wesselink WA, Holsheimer J, King GW, Torgerson NA, Boom HBK. Quantitative aspects of the clinical performance of transverse tripolar spinal cord stimulation. Neuromodulation 1999;2(1):5–14.

Yearwood TL. Tripolar neurostimulator array in the cervical epidural space for the treatment of bilateral lower extremity pain [Abstract]. Neuromodulation 2006;9(1):18–9.

#### How many contact combinations are available?

The number of possible bipolar contact combinations ranges from 50 on an electrode with four contacts to 6050 on an electrode with eight contacts. The number of possible combinations soars to the millions for electrodes with 16 contacts, which exceeds the number of combinations that can be tested in a timely fashion, even with the aid of computerized adjustment.

Strength of recommendation	NA—information only
Evidence sources	NA

### What factors dictate the choice of contact configuration?

The results of computer modeling studies and clinical studies guide the contact configuration strategy, which aims to maximize pain/paresthesia overlap, the range of clinically useful stimulation, and battery life.

Strength of recommendation	A
Evidence source	<ul><li>Computer modeling studies</li><li>Well-designed clinical studies</li></ul>

Aló KM, Redko V, Charnov J. Four year follow-up of dual electrode spinal cord stimulation for chronic pain. Neuromodulation 2002;5(2):79–99.

Bennett DS, Aló KM, Oakley J, Feler CA. Spinal cord stimulation for Complex Regional Pain Syndrome I (RSD). A retrospective multicenter experience from 1995–1998 of 101 patients. Neuromodulation 1999;2(3):202–10.

Leclercq TA. Electrode migration in epidural stimulation: Comparison between single electrode and four electrode programmable leads. Pain 1984;20(suppl 2):78.

Law JD. Spinal stimulation: Statistical superiority of monophasic stimulation of narrowly separated, longitudinal bipoles having rostral cathodes. Appl Neurophysiol 1983;46(1–4):129–37.

North RB, Ewend ME, Lawton MA, Piantadosi S. Spinal cord stimulation for chronic, intractable pain: Superiority of "multi-channel" devices. Pain 1991;44(2):119–30.

North RB, Kidd DH, Olin J, et al. Spinal cord stimulation for axial low back pain: A prospective, controlled trial comparing dual with single percutaneous electrodes. Spine 2005;30(12):1412–8.

### What types of stimulator/power generators are available?

Implanted generators are available with three types of power source:

- A radiofrequency receiver with no battery, requiring the patient to wear an external antenna and transmitter during stimulation;
- A primary cell that requires surgical replacement when the battery is exhausted; and
- A rechargeable battery requiring only occasional use of a radiofrequency transmitter.

Generators have multiple outputs connected to individual electrode contacts. Most deliver single-channel (as opposed to multichannel) stimulation, i.e., they cannot simultaneously deliver different voltage or current levels to different electrode contacts, although they might do so sequentially.

Strength of recommendation	NA—information only
Evidence sources	NA

### What factors dictate the choice of stimulator/power generator?

The patient's ability to control the device, which can be limited by age (too young) or age-related infirmity (too old)

During implantation in children who have yet to reach their full stature, provisions for growth should be considered.

The amount of power required, which influences battery depletion and might be a factor even for rechargeable batteries, which must eventually be replaced S254 North and Shipley

Patient convenience

Patient cosmetic concerns

Grade	NA—information only
Evidence sources	NA—see Bibliography for relevant literature

Deer T, Kim C, Bowman R, et al. Initial experience with a new rechargeable generator: A report of twenty systems at 3 months status postimplant in patients with lumbar postlaminectomy syndrome [Abstract]. Neuromodulation 2006;9(1):9–10.

Devulder J, De Laat M, Rolly G. Dual channel electrostimulation in pain. Acta Neurol Belg 1998; 98(2):195–8.

North RB, Ewend ME, Lawton MA, Piantadosi S. Spinal cord stimulation for chronic, intractable pain: Superiority of "multi-channel" devices. Pain 1991;44(2):119–30.

North RB, Kidd DH, Zahurak M, James CS, Long DM. Spinal cord stimulation for chronic, intractable pain: Experience over two decades. Neurosurgery 1993;32(3):384–94.

Oakley J, Krames E, Weiner R, et al. Bilateral current fractionalization in spinal cord stimulation [Abstract]. Neuromodulation 2006;9(1):17–8.

Stultz M. Quality of life, function and pain relief attributed to two types of spinal cord stimulation systems: Result of a patient survey. Pain Digest 1999;9:348–52.

### Patient Management

What are the possible side effects of SCS treatment and how are these managed/avoided? (See also section on procedural risks above.)

Postural changes: Changes in paresthesia intensity and/or location corresponding to the patient's changes in posture are normal. Information about this phenomenon should be stressed during patient education and can be demonstrated within hours of placement of a temporary electrode. Most patients comment on postural changes and adjust quickly; some complain short-term, but patients rarely mention the phenomenon at long-term follow-up. Some patients appreciate their ability to control stimulation amplitude with simple postural changes; new SCS systems with multiple

program options might help the few who regard this as a problem to avoid the phenomenon.

Unwanted stimulation: Extraneous, local segmental paresthesia or motor responses usually can be avoided by careful electrode implantation technique and postoperative adjustment. The choice of electrode can be important; implanting an insulated surgical plate/paddle electrode can be advantageous.

Generator site pain: Pain/irritation from the extension lead or connector, or at the site of the pulse generator, is generally self-limited, unless of course it is a symptom of infection. The external antenna used in radio frequency-coupled devices can irritate the skin. Topical therapy usually suffices, but surgical revision is necessary in some cases.

Difficulty urinating: Occasionally, patients report difficulty urinating while stimulation is on; this is resolved by turning the unit off temporarily.

Strength of recommendation	NA—information only
Evidence sources	NA—see Bibliography for relevant literature

Henderson JM, Schade CM, Sasaki J, Caraway D, Oakley J. Prevention of mechanical failures in implanted spinal cord stimulation systems. Neuromodulation 2006;9:183–91.

Rosenow JM, Stanton-Hicks M, Rezai AR, Henderson JM. Failure modes of spinal cord stimulator hardware. J Neurosurg Spine 2006;5(3):183–90.

### Postural Changes

Barolat G, Schwartzman R, Woo R. Epidural spinal cord stimulation in the management of reflex sympathetic dystrophy. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 1989;53(1):29–39.

Olin JN, Kidd DH, North RB. Postural changes in spinal cord stimulation thresholds. Neuromodulation 1998;1(4):171–5.

### Unwanted Stimulation

North RB, Lanning A, Hessels R, Cutchis PN. Spinal cord stimulation with percutaneous and plate electrodes: Side effects and quantitative comparisons. Neurosurg Focus 1997;2(1:3):1–5.

### Generator Site Pain

Barolat G, Schwartzman R, Woo R. Epidural spinal cord stimulation in the management of

reflex sympathetic dystrophy. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 1989;53(1):29–39.

#### Difficulty Urinating

North RB, Fischell TA, Long DM. Chronic stimulation via percutaneously inserted epidural electrodes. Neurosurgery 1977;1(2):215–8.

#### Foreign Body Reaction

See "What risks are associated with SCS?" above.

### What long-term adverse events can occur, and how are these managed?

- Loss of pain/paresthesia overlap (with or without a sign of electrode migration): First, reassign contact combinations (anodes/cathodes/off); should this fail, the electrode might require revision.
- Loss of pain relief when paresthesia continues to cover the painful area: Treat with adjuvant medical therapy.

Grade	В
Evidence sources	Weighing risk versus potential benefit and expert consensus reveals a good likelihood of a favorable outcome

# Loss of Pain/Paresthesia Overlap (see also Electrode Migration under Procedural Risk Management)

Bennett DS, Aló KM, Oakley J, Feler CA. Spinal cord stimulation for Complex Regional Pain Syndrome I (RSD). A retrospective multicenter experience from 1995–1998 of 101 patients. Neuromodulation 1999;2(3):202–10.

#### Loss of Pain Relief

Barolat G, Schwartzman R, Woo R. Epidural spinal cord stimulation in the management of reflex sympathetic dystrophy. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 1989;53(1):29–39.

Lang P. The treatment of chronic pain by epidural spinal cord stimulation—A 15 year follow up: Present status. Axon 1997; 71–3.

#### Other

Barbara G, De Giorgio R, Stanghellini V, et al. Relapsing ulcerative colitis after spinal cord stimulation: A case of intestinal neurogenic inflammation? Gastroenterology 1999;117(5): 1256–7.

Katz PG, Greenstein A, Severs SL, Zampieri TA, Sahni KS. Effect of implanted epidural stimulator on lower urinary tract function in spinal cord injured patients. Eur Urol 1991;20:103–6.

Kemler MA, Barendse GA, Van Kleef M. Relapsing ulcerative colitis associated with spinal cord stimulation. Gastroenterology 1999;117(1):215–7.

Loubser PG. Adverse effects of epidural spinal cord stimulation on bladder function in a patient with chronic spinal cord injury pain. J Pain Symptom Manage 1997;13(5):251–2.

Meyer F, Sandvoss G. Compound acetabulum fracture caused by overstimulation with a PISCES system [German]. Zentralbl Neurochir 1990; 51(3):174–5.

### Patient Management: Device Interaction

### Cardiac Device Interaction Clinical Studies

Ekre O, Borjesson M, Edvardsson N, Eliasson T, Mannheimer C. Feasibility of spinal cord stimulation in angina pectoris in patients with chronic pacemaker treatment for cardiac arrhythmias. Pacing Clin Electrophysiol 2003;26(11):2134–41.

Ferrero P, Grimaldi R, Massa R, et al. Spinal cord stimulation for refractory angina in a patient implanted with a cardioverter defibrillator. Pacing Clin Electrophysiol 2007;30:143–6.

# Cardiac Device Interaction Case Reports/Series Andersen C, Oxhoj H, Arnsbo P. Management of spinal cord stimulators in patients with cardiac pacemakers. Pacing Clin Electrophysiol 1990; 13(5):574–7.

Colini Baldeschi G, Del Giudice GB, Scotti E, et al. Spinal cord stimulation in neuropathic pain of the lower limbs in a patient with Behcet's disease and permanent cardiac DDD pacing. Panminerva Med 2004;46(3):199–200.

Iyer R, Gnanadurai TV, Forsey P. Management of cardiac pacemaker in a patient with spinal cord stimulator implant. Pain 1998;74(2–3):333–5.

Monahan K, Casavant D, Rasmussen C, Hallet N. Combined use of a true-bipolar sensing implantable cardioverter defibrillator in a patient having a prior implantable spinal cord stimulator for intractable pain. Pacing Clin Electrophysiol 1998;21: 2669–72.

Romano M, Brusa S, Grieco A, et al. Efficacy and safety of permanent cardiac DDD pacing with

S256 North and Shipley

contemporaneous double spinal cord stimulation. Pacing Clin Electrophysiol 1998;21(2):465–7.

Romano M, Zucco F, Baldini MR, Allaria B. Technical and clinical problems in patients with simultaneous implantation of a cardiac pacemaker and spinal cord stimulator. Pacing Clin Electrophysiol 1993;16:1639–44.

Schimpf R, Wolpert C, Herwig S, et al. Potential device interaction of a dual chamber implantable cardioverter defibrillator in a patient with continuous spinal cord stimulation. Europace 2003;5(4): 397–402.

### What adjunct treatment is available?

Baclofen and gabapentin can potentiate the pain relief achieved with SCS. Because we have no indication of cross-tolerance between SCS and other pain treatments, all pain treatments remain available. The use of adjunct treatment is beneficial if a patient's pain has a nociceptive component, which SCS is not expected to treat.

Strength of recommendation	A
Evidence sources	<ul> <li>Weighing risk versus potential benefit and expert consensus reveals a high likelihood of a favorable outcome</li> </ul>

### Long-Term Follow-Up Studies

van Buyten J-P, van Zundert J, Vueghs P, Vanduffel L. Efficacy of spinal cord stimulation: 10 years of experience in a pain centre in Belgium. Eur J Pain 2001;5(3):299–307.

Lind G, Meyerson BA, Winter J, Linderoth B. Intrathecal baclofen as an adjuvant therapy to enhance the effect of spinal cord stimulation in neuropathic pain: A pilot study. Eur J Pain 2004; 8:377–83.

#### Experimental Study

Wallin J, Cui JG, Yakhnitsa V, et al. Gabapentin and pregabalin suppress tactile allodynia and potentiate spinal cord stimulation in a model of neuropathy. Eur J Pain 2002;6(4):261–72.

### What precautions should patients take in their daily lives after implantation of an SCS system?

• Avoid placing excessive strain on the system.

- Avoid bending, twisting, or lifting weights over 8 lb (1 gal) in the immediate postoperative period (up to 6 weeks) before the device becomes encapsulated in fibrous tissue.
- No scuba diving more than 10 m deep.
- No entry into hyperbaric chambers with the absolute pressure above 2.0 atmospheres.
- Disable the SCS system before entering electromagnetic fields produced by anti-theft devices, metal detectors, or other security screening systems.

Grade	A
Evidence sources	Weighing risk versus potential benefit and expert consensus reveals a high likelihood of a favorable outcome

### Case Report

Eisenberg E, Waisbrod H. Spinal cord stimulator activation by an antitheft device. Case report. J Neurosurg 1997;87(6):961–2.

# What medical procedures must be conducted with special precautions during the screening trial and after implantation of an SCS system?

- Routine medical tests that might interact with, or be influenced by, the stimulator (e.g., cardiac monitoring) should be avoided, if possible, during the screening trial and interpreted judiciously after implantation.
- Radiation therapy that might include the implanted pulse generator in the active field
- Radio frequency ablation and electrocautery
- Lithotripsy

Strength of recommendation	A
Evidence sources	Weighing risk versus potential benefit and expert consensus reveals a high likelihood of a favorable outcome

### What medical procedures are contraindicated after implantation of an SCS system?

 MRI: the manufacturers of SCS devices warn that MRI can cause serious patient injury or death.

- Ultrasound over the device
- Diathermy in all body locations

Grade	A
Evidence sources	<ul> <li>Weighing risk versus potential benefit and expert consensus reveals a high likelihood of a favorable outcome</li> </ul>

### MRI Case Reports

De Andres J, Valia JC, Cerda-Olmedo G, et al. Magnetic resonance imaging in patients with spinal neurostimulation systems. Anesthesiology 2007;106:779–86.

Kiriakopoulos ET, Tasker RR, Nicosia S, Wood ML, Mikulis DJ. Functional magnetic resonance imaging: A potential tool for the evaluation of spinal cord stimulation: Technical case report. Neurosurgery 1997;41(2):501–4.

Liem LA, van Dongen VC. Magnetic resonance imaging and spinal cord stimulation systems. Pain 1997;70(1):95–7.

Schueler BA, Parrish TB, Lin J-C, et al. MRI compatibility and visibility assessment of implantable medical devices. J Magn Reson Imaging 1999; 9(4):596–603.

Shah RV, Smith HK, Chung J, Hegazi A, Racz GB. Cervical spinal cord neoplasm in a patient with an implanted cervical spinal cord stimulator: The controversial role of magnetic resonance imaging. Pain Phys 2004;7:273–8.

### Should on/off time be imposed?

On/off time (the duty cycle) has a direct effect on battery longevity (or on the recharging interval), but the impact of an imposed duty cycle on pain relief is unknown. In some patients, pain relief persists for a week after the device is turned off; others must operate the stimulator continuously to obtain pain relief.

Strength of recommendation	NA—information only
Evidence sources	NA

Kumar K, Hunter G, Demeria D. Spinal cord stimulation in treatment of chronic benign pain:

Challenges in treatment planning and present status, a 22-year experience. Neurosurgery 2006; 58(3):481–96.

Monhemius R, Simpson BA. Efficacy of spinal cord stimulation for neuropathic pain: Assessment by abstinence. Eur J Pain 2003;7(6):513–9.

### How can an SCS patient receive appropriate emergency treatment?

All SCS patients are provided with identification cards from the device manufacturer that contain information on how to contact the implanting physician and the manufacturer's representative, both of whom maintain pertinent patient records.

Grade	A
Evidence sources	Weighing risk versus potential benefit and expert consensus reveals a high likelihood of a favorable outcome

### What is the appropriate number and timing of follow-up visits for SCS patients?

Follow-up visits should occur as often as necessary to ensure the safe and effective operation of the stimulator. Thus, the patient should have a post-operative surgical check and SCS adjustment, and on postoperative day 7 to 14, the patient should return for suture or staple removal and any needed additional adjustment. From that point forward, monthly visits should gradually taper to yearly visits.

Strength of recommendation	A
Evidence sources	Weighing risk versus potential benefit and expert consensus reveals a high likelihood of a favorable outcome

# When and how is it appropriate to provide routine follow-up care for an SCS patient who was implanted elsewhere?

Elective follow-up of a new patient who was implanted elsewhere should adhere to the routine that applies to any new patient. (For example, before an appointment is scheduled, the patient S258 North and Shipley

should provide sufficient information to allow the new physician to form an opinion about whether the patient could benefit from the physician's help.) As is standard in every field of medicine, a physician has the discretion to accept or reject any new patient.

In emergencies (e.g., an obvious implantrelated infection), however, the patient might require immediate treatment.

Grade	A
Evidence sources	<ul> <li>Weighing risk versus potential benefit and expert consensus reveals a high likelihood of a favorable outcome</li> <li>Only option (in some cases)</li> </ul>

### Factors Affecting the Delivery and Quality of SCS Treatment

Does the setting (e.g., hospital vs free-standing clinic) in which it is delivered have an impact on the outcome of SCS therapy?

No definitive evidence is available.

Strength of recommendation	NA
Evidence sources	NA—see Bibliography for relevant literature

Kupers RC, Van den Oever R, Van Houdenhove B, et al. Spinal cord stimulation in Belgium: A nation-wide survey on the incidence, indications and therapeutic efficacy by the health insurer. Pain 1994;56(2):211–6.

Lazorthes Y, Siegfried J, Verdie JC, Casaux J. Chronic spinal cord stimulation in the treatment of neurogenic pain. Cooperative and retrospective study on 20 years of follow-up [French]. Neurochirurgie 1995;41(2):73–86.

### How can a physician best be trained to offer SCS treatment?

A physician who offers SCS therapy should have successfully completed residency or fellowship training or a preceptorship in SCS (including proctoring by an experienced clinician) in a setting with an adequate patient volume to include candidates for SCS and for a full range of alternative procedures. Such a setting will allow the physician to gain experience in patient selection (including behavioral evaluation) for each procedure, even if the physician does not provide direct care in every situation.

Grade	A
Evidence sources	Weighing risk versus potential benefit and expert consensus reveals a high likelihood of a favorable outcome

### Does physician experience (i.e., number of years/ number of procedures per year) have an impact on SCS outcome?

No pertinent studies have been performed for SCS, but, for other therapies/conditions, retrospective studies show that high patient volume and increased physician experience correlate positively with improved patient outcome.

Strength of recommendation	В
Evidence sources	Weighing risk versus potential benefit and expert consensus reveals a good likelihood of favorable outcome

### Cost-Effectiveness

Is SCS cost-effective in the treatment of FBSS? Yes.

Strength of recommendation	A
Evidence sources	<ul> <li>Well-designed RCTs</li> <li>Well-designed clinical studies</li> <li>Weighing risk versus potential benefit and expert consensus reveals a high likelihood of a favorable outcome</li> </ul>

### Estimates of Annual Cost of Nonsurgical Treatment

Bell GK, Kidd D, North RB. Cost-effectiveness analysis of spinal cord stimulation in treatment of failed back surgery syndrome. J Pain Symptom Manage 1997;13:286–95.

de Lissovoy G, Brown RE, Halpern M, Hassenbusch SJ, Ross E. Cost effectiveness of long-term intrathecal morphine for pain associated with failed back syndrome. Clin Ther 1997;19:96–112.

Luo X, Pietrobon R, Sun SX, Liu GG, Hey L. Estimates and patterns of direct health care expenditures among individuals with back pain in the United States. Spine 2004;29:79–86.

Straus BN. Chronic pain of spinal origin: The costs of intervention. Spine 2002;27(22):2614–19.

#### **RCT**

North RB, Kidd D, Shipley J, Taylor R. Spinal cord stimulation versus reoperation for failed back surgery syndrome: A cost effectiveness and cost utility analysis based on a randomized, controlled trial. Neurosurgery 2007;61(2):361–9.

#### Clinical Trials

Blond S, Buisset N, Dam Hieu P, et al. Costbenefit evaluation of spinal cord stimulation treatment for failed-back surgery syndrome patients [French]. Neurochirurgie 2004;50(4):443–53.

Health Technology Assessment Information Service [a branch of a World Health Organization collaborating center]. Spinal cord (dorsal column) stimulation for chronic intractable pain, Plymouth Meeting, PA, ECRI, October, 1993.

Kumar K, Malik S, Deneria D. Treatment of chronic pain with spinal cord stimulation versus alternative therapies: Cost-effectiveness analysis. Neurosurgery 2002;51(1):106–16.

### Modeling Study

Bell GK, Kidd D, North RB. Cost-effectiveness analysis of spinal cord stimulation in treatment of failed back surgery syndrome. J Pain Symptom Manage 1997;13:286–95.

### Cost Descriptions Lacking Comparators

Bel S, Bauer BL. Dorsal column stimulation (DCS): Cost to benefit analysis. Acta Neurochir 1991;52(suppl):121–3.

Budd K. Spinal cord stimulation: Cost-benefit study. Neuromodulation 2002;5(2):75–8.

Devulder J, de Laat M, van Bastelaere M, Rolly G. Spinal cord stimulation: A valuable treatment for chronic failed back surgery patients. J Pain Symptom Manage 1997;13(5):296–301.

### Is SCS cost-effective in the treatment of CRPS? Yes.

Grade	A
Evidence sources	<ul> <li>Well-designed RCTs</li> <li>Well-designed clinical studies</li> <li>Weighing risk versus potential benefit and expert consensus reveals a high likelihood of a favorable outcome</li> </ul>

#### **RCT**

Kemler MA, Furnee CA. Economic evaluation of spinal cord stimulation for chronic reflex sympathetic dystrophy. Neurology 2002;59:1203–9.

Is SCS cost-effective in the treatment of other (cervical root injury pain, thoracic root injury pain, PHN, postamputation pain, peripheral neuropathic pain, spinal cord injury, spinal cord lesion) neuropathic pain syndromes?

No definitive evidence is available.

Strength of recommendation	NA
Evidence sources	NA—see Bibliography for relevant literature

### Low Back Pain

Raphael JH, Southall JL, Gnanadurai TV, Treharne GJ, Kitas GD. Chronic mechanical low back pain: A comparative study with intrathecal opioids drug delivery. Neuromodulation 2004;7(4):260–6.

#### Unspecified Indications

Willis KD. A simple approach to outcomes assessment of the therapeutic and cost-benefit success rates for spinal cord stimulation therapy. Anesthesiol Clin North America 2003;21(4):817–23.

### How can the cost-effectiveness of SCS be optimized?

• Adjusting stimulation parameters to optimize battery life (the impact of rechargeable batteries on cost-effectiveness remains to be studied)

S260 North and Shipley

- Minimizing the incidence of complications, especially those that require removal and replacement of an implanted system
- Improving equipment design
- Careful patient selection and improving patient selection criteria
- Offering SCS before ablative therapies, such as sympathectomy, dorsal root gangliectomy, or repeat operation

Grade	NA—information only
Evidence sources	NA—see Bibliography for relevant literature

### Adjusting Stimulation Parameters to Optimize Battery Life

North RB, Brigham DD, Khalessi A, et al. Spinal cord stimulator adjustment to maximize implanted battery longevity: A randomized controlled trial using a computerized, patient-interactive programmer. Neuromodulation 2004;7(1):13–25.

### Minimizing the Incidence of Complications

Kumar K, Wilson JR, Taylor RS, Gupta S. Complications of spinal cord stimulation, suggestions to improve outcome, and financial impact. J Neurosurg Spine 2006;5(3):191–203.

Improving Equipment Design See Device Options section above.

Careful Patient Selection and Improving Patient Selection Criteria

See Patient Selecton section above.

### Systematic Reviews, Meta-Analyses, Recommendations

Boswell MV, Trescot AM, Datta S, et al. Interventional techniques: Evidence-based practice guidelines in the management of chronic spinal pain. Pain Phys 2007;10(7):7–111.

Cameron T. Safety and efficacy of spinal cord stimulation for the treatment of chronic pain: A 20-year literature review. J Neurosurg Spine 2004; 100(3):254–67.

Carter ML. Spinal cord stimulation in chronic pain: A review of the evidence. Anaesth Intensive Care 2004;32(1):11–21.

Grabow TS, Tella PK, Raja SN. Spinal cord stimulation for complex regional pain syndrome: An evidence-based medicine review of the literature. Clin J Pain 2003;19(6):371–83.

Henderson JM, Schade CM, Sasaki J, Caraway DL, Oakley JC. Prevention of mechanical failures in implanted spinal cord stimulation systems. Neuromodulation 2006;9(3):183–91.

Kumar K, Buchser E, Linderoth B, Meglio M, Van Buyten J-P. Avoiding complications from spinal cord stimulation: Practical recommendations from an international panel of experts. Neuromodulation 2007;10(1):24–33.

Mailis-Gagnon A, Furlan AD, Sandoval JA, Taylor R. Spinal cord stimulation for chronic pain. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2004; Issue 3. Art. No.: CD003783. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD003783.pub2.

Middleton P, Simpson B, Maddern G. Spinal cord stimulation (neurostimulation): An accelerated systematic review. AERSNIP-S R Report No. 43. 2003. Adelaide, South Australia, Australian Safety and Efficacy Register of New Interventional Procedures—Surgical (ASERNIP-S).

Taylor RS. Spinal cord stimulation in complex regional pain syndrome and refractory neuropathic back and leg pain/failed back surgery syndrome: Results of a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Pain Symptom Manage 2006;31(4 suppl):S13–9.

Taylor RS, Taylor RJ, Van Buyten J-P, et al. The cost effectiveness of spinal cord stimulation in the treatment of pain: A systematic review of the literature. J Pain Symptom Manage 2004;27:370–8.

Taylor RS, Van Buyten J-P, Buchser E. Spinal cord stimulation for complex regional pain syndrome: A systematic review of the clinical and cost-effectiveness literature and assessment of prognostic factors. Eur J Pain 2006;10(2):91–101.

Taylor RS, Van Buyten J-P, Buchser E. Spinal cord stimulation for chronic back and leg pain and failed back surgery syndrome: A systematic review and analysis of prognostic factors. Spine 2005; 30(1):152–60.

Turner JA, Loeser JD, Bell KG. Spinal cord stimulation for chronic low back pain: A systematic literature synthesis. Neurosurgery 1995;37:1088–96.

Turner JA, Loeser JD, Deyo RA, Sanders SB. Spinal cord stimulation for patients with failed back surgery syndrome or complex regional pain syndrome: A systematic review of effectiveness and complications. Pain 2004;108(1):137–47.

Wetzel FT, Hassenbusch S, Oakley JC, et al. Treatment of chronic pain in failed back surgery patients with spinal cord stimulation: A review of current literature and proposal for future investigation. Neuromodulation 2000;3(2):59-74.

### Glossary

Anode—a stimulating contact programmed as a positive pole, which attracts negative ions.

**Cathode**—a stimulating contact programmed as a negative pole, which attracts positive ions. Compared with an anode, a cathode more readily attains the amplitude stimulation threshold that initiates action potential generation (depolarization); thus, cathodal effects predominate in SCS.

Guarded cathode—an array in which two contacts programmed as anodes bracket a contact programmed as a cathode. The boundary created by the anodes for the depolarizing effect of the cathode helps define the area of paresthesia. In general (statistically), patients prefer a guarded cathode array.

**Channel**—a multichannel generator allows simultaneous delivery of pulses of different amplitude to different contacts. (A programmable generator that allows rapid sequential delivery of pulses to different contacts is not, strictly speaking, a multichannel device; instead it is a "gated single channel" generator.)

**Contact**—the electrically conductive portion of the electrode. An individual contact can be programmed as an anode, a cathode, or neither (i.e., off).

Contact combination—anode or cathode or off assignment of contacts.

Electrode—an assembly comprising contacts, wire, insulating spacers, catheters, and backing material. "Electrode" is generally applied to the part of the assembly that contains the contacts (with "lead" used to describe the wire leading between the electrode and the power source). Using "electrode" to refer solely to a contact is imprecise because it ignores the other components of the assembly. Using "lead" to refer to an electrode is inappropriate; the electrode does not lead anywhere.

Electrode array—the arrangement of electrodes, which can (for example) be longitudinal or transverse; single, dual, or triple; end-to-end or parallel.

**Lead**—the insulated wire that connects (leads) the power source to the electrode contacts. (This term is often, but confusingly, used to refer to an electrode assembly.)

"Monopolar" stimulation—the misnomer "monopolar stimulation" (stimulation, of course, requires two poles) refers to the use of the metallic case of an implanted generator as a remote anode. Thus, the electrode has only one contact that is stimulated (i.e., one pole) as a cathode.

**Paresthesia**—a tingling sensation caused by SCS. In order for SCS to provide pain relief, it is generally necessary for the paresthesia to overlap the pain. Such overlap, however, does not guarantee pain relief. Achieving pain/paresthesia overlap is, thus, a necessary, but not sufficient, condition for pain relief.

Percutaneous catheter electrode—an electrode that can be inserted through a needle, in a manner that is less invasive than laminectomy or laminotomy.

Surgical plate/paddle electrode—also known as a laminectomy, laminotomy, or insulated electrode. Because of their shape, paddle or plate electrodes must be inserted via laminectomy or laminotomy. Because they do not rotate, the dorsal surface can be insulated. Because they are insulated, surgical plate/paddle electrodes reduce the incidence of extraneous stimulation, in particular that attributable to recruitment of dorsal structures.

Stimulation parameters—the amplitude, width, and repetition rate of stimulation pulses. See also contact combination.

#### **Acronyms**

FBSS = failed back surgery syndrome

MRI = magnetic resonance imaging

PHN = postherpetic neuralgia

RCT = randomized controlled trial

SCS = spinal cord stimulation

VAS = visual analog scale

### Alphabetical Bibliography

Abejón D, Reig E, del Pozo C, Contreras R, Insausti J. Dual spinal cord stimulation for complex pain: Preliminary study. Neuromodulation 2005;8(2):105–11.

S262 North and Shipley

Ahmed SU. Complex regional pain syndrome type I after myocardial infarction treated with spinal cord stimulation. Reg Anesth Pain Med 2003; 28(3):245–7.

Allegri M, Arachi G, Barbieri M, et al. Prospective study of the success and efficacy of spinal cord stimulation. Minerva Anestesiol 2004;70(3):117–24.

Aló KM, Redko V, Charnov J. Four year follow-up of dual electrode spinal cord stimulation for chronic pain. Neuromodulation 2002;5(2):79–99.

Aló KM, Yland MJ, Charnov JH, Redko V. Multiple program spinal cord stimulation in the treatment of chronic pain: Follow-up of multiple program SCS. Neuromodulation 1999;2(4):266–72.

Aló KM, Yland MJ, Kramer DL, Charnov JH, Redko V. Computer assisted and patient interactive programming of dual octrode spinal cord stimulation in the treatment of chronic pain. Neuromodulation 1998;1(1):30–45.

Andersen C, Oxhoj H, Arnsbo P. Management of spinal cord stimulators in patients with cardiac pacemakers. Pacing Clin Electrophysiol 1990; 13(5):574–7.

Arxer A, Busquets C, Vilaplana J, Villalonga A. Subacute epidural abscess after spinal cord stimulation implantation [letter]. Eur J Anesthesiol 2003;20:753–9.

Balldeschi GC, Babbolin G. A new and alternative leads positioning for complex regional pain syndrome treatment: Paraforaminal stimulation. Neuromodulation 2007;10(1):12–7.

Barbara G, De Giorgio R, Stanghellini V, et al. Relapsing ulcerative colitis after spinal cord stimulation: A case of intestinal neurogenic inflammation? Gastroenterology 1999;117(5): 1256–7. Comment in: Peck OC, Wood JD. Brain-gut interactions in ulcerative colitis. Gastroenterology 2000;118(4):807–8.

Barolat G, Massaro F, He J, Zeme S, Ketcik B. Mapping of sensory responses to epidural stimulation of the intraspinal neural structures in man. J Neurosurg 1993;78(2):233–9.

Barolat G, Oakley JC, Law JD, et al. Epidural spinal cord stimulation with a multiple electrode paddle lead is effective in treating intractable low back pain. Neuromodulation 2001;4(2):59–66.

Barolat G, Schwartzman R, Woo R. Epidural spinal cord stimulation in the management of reflex sympathetic dystrophy. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 1989;53(1):29–39.

Barolat G, Singh-Sahni K, Staas WE, Jr., et al. Epidural spinal cord stimulation in the management of spasms in spinal cord injury: A prospective study. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 1995;64(3): 153–64.

Barolat G. Experience with 509 plate electrodes implanted epidurally from C1 to L1. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 1993;61(2):60–79.

Batier C, Frerebeau P, Kong A, Siou D, et al. Posterior spinal cord neurostimulation in lumbar radiculitis pain. Apropos of 14 cases [French]. Agressologie 1989;30(3):137–8.

Beck A, Steer RA, Garbin M. Psychometric properties of the BDI: Twenty-five years of evaluation. Clin Psychol Rev 1988;8(1):77–100.

Beck AT, Ward CH, Mendelson M, Mock J, Erbaugh J. An inventory for measuring depression. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1961;4:561–71.

Bel S, Bauer BL. Dorsal column stimulation (DCS): Cost to benefit analysis. Acta Neurochir 1991;52(suppl):121–3.

Bell GK, Kidd D, North RB. Cost-effectiveness analysis of spinal cord stimulation in treatment of failed back surgery syndrome. J Pain Symptom Manage 1997;13:286–95.

Beltrutti D, Lamberto A, Barolat G, et al. The psychological assessment of candidates for spinal cord stimulation for chronic pain management. Pain Pract 2004;4(3):204–21.

Bennett DS, Aló KM, Oakley J, Feler CA. Spinal cord stimulation for Complex Regional Pain Syndrome I (RSD). A retrospective multicenter experience from 1995–1998 of 101 patients. Neuromodulation 1999;2(3):202–10.

Bergner M, Bobbitt RA, Carter WB, Gibson BS. The Sickness Impact Profile: Development and final revision of a health status measure. Med Care 1981;19:787–805.

Bergner M, Bobbitt RA, Pollard WE, Martin DP, Gilson BS. The sickness impact profile: Validation of a health status measure. Med Care 1976;14:56–67.

Blond S, Armignies P, Parker F, et al. Chronic sciatalgia caused by sensitive deafferentiation fol-

lowing surgery for lumbar disk hernia: Clinical and therapeutic aspects. Apropos of 110 patients [French]. Neurochirurgie 1991;37(2):86–95.

Blond S, Buisset N, Dam Hieu P, et al. Costbenefit evaluation of spinal cord stimulation treatment for failed-back surgery syndrome patients [French]. Neurochirurgie 2004;50(4):443–53.

Bombardier C. Outcome assessments in the evaluation of treatment of spinal disorders: Summary and general recommendations. Spine 2000;25: 3100–3.

Boswell MV, Trescot AM, Datta S, et al. Interventional techniques: Evidence-based practice guidelines in the management of chronic spinal pain. Pain Phys 2007;10(7):7–111.

Brandwin MA, Kewman DG. MMPI indicators of treatment response to spinal epidural stimulation in patients with chronic pain and patients with movement disorders. Psychol Rep 1982;51:1059–64.

Broggi G, Servello D, Dones I, Carbone G. Italian multicentric study on pain treatment with epidural spinal cord stimulation. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 1994;62(1–4):273–8.

Broseta J, Roldan P, Gonzalez-Darder J, Bordes V, Barcia-Salorio JL. Chronic epidural dorsal column stimulation in the treatment of causalgic pain. Appl Neurophysiol 1982;45:190–4.

Buchhaas U, Koulousakis A, Nittner K. Experience with spinal cord stimulation in the management of chronic pain in a traumatic transverse lesion syndrome. Neurosurg Rev 1989;12 (suppl 1):582–7.

Budd K. Spinal cord stimulation: Cost-benefit study. Neuromodulation 2002;5(2):75–8.

Buonocore M, Demartini L, Bonezzi C. Lumbar spinal cord stimulation can improve muscle strength and gait independently of the analgesic effect: A case report. Neuromodulation 2006;9(4): 309–13.

Burchiel KJ, Anderson VC, Brown FD, et al. Prospective, multicenter study of spinal cord stimulation for relief of chronic back and extremity pain. Spine 1996;21(23):2786–94.

Burchiel KJ, Anderson VC, Wilson BJ, et al. Prognostic factors of spinal cord stimulation for chronic back and leg pain. Neurosurgery 1995; 36(6):1101–10.

Burton AW, Fukshansky M, Brown J, Hassenbusch SJ III. Refractory insomnia in a patient with spinal cord stimulator lead migration. Neuromodulation 2004;7(4):242–5.

Busschbach JJ, McDonnell J, Essink-Bot ML, van Hout BA. Estimation of parametric relationships between health description and health valuation with an application to the EuroQol EQ-5D. J Health Econ 1999;18:551–71.

Butler JD, Miles J. Dysaesthetic neck pain with syncope. Pain 1998;75(2–3):395–7.

Calvillo O, Racz G, Didie J, Smith K. Neuroaugmentation in the treatment of complex regional pain syndrome of the upper extremity. Acta Orthop Belg 1998;64(1):57–63.

Cameron T. Safety and efficacy of spinal cord stimulation for the treatment of chronic pain: A 20-year literature review. J Neurosurg Spine 2004; 100(3):254–67.

Carhart MR, He J, Herman R, D'Luzansky S, Willis WT. Epidural spinal-cord stimulation facilitates recovery of functional walking following incomplete spinal-cord injury. IEEE Trans Neural Syst Rehabil Eng 2004;12(1):32–42.

Carlsson AM. Assessment of chronic pain. I. Aspects of the reliability and validity of the visual analog scale. Pain 1983;16:87–101.

Carlsson AM. Assessment of chronic pain. II. Problems in the selection of relevant question-naire items for classification of pain and evaluation and prediction of therapeutic effects. Pain 1984; 19(2):173–84.

Carlsson AM. Personality characteristics of patients with chronic pain in comparison with normal controls and depressed patients. Pain 1986;25(3):373–82.

Carter ML. Spinal cord stimulation in chronic pain: A review of the evidence. Anaesth Intensive Care 2004;32(1):11–21.

Cata JP, Cordella JV, Burton AW, et al. Spinal cord stimulation relieves chemotherapy-induced pain: A clinical case report. J Pain Symptom Manage 2004;27(1):72–8.

Ceran F, Ozcan A. The relationship of the Functional Rating Index with disability, pain, and quality of life in patients with low back pain. Med Sci Monit 2006;12(10):CR435-9.

S264 North and Shipley

Cioni B, Meglio M, Pentimalli L, Visocchi M. Spinal cord stimulation in the treatment of paraplegic pain. J Neurosurg 1995;82(1):35–9.

Colini Baldeschi G, Del Giudice GB, Scotti E, et al. Spinal cord stimulation in neuropathic pain of the lower limbs in a patient with Behcet's disease and permanent cardiac DDD pacing. Panminerva Med 2004;46(3):199–200.

Collins SL, Moore A, McQuay HJ. The visual analogue pain intensity scale: What is moderate pain in millimetres? Pain 1997;72:95–7.

Daniel MS, Long C, Hutcherson WL, Hunter S. Psychological factors and outcome of electrode implantation for chronic pain. Neurosurgery 1985;17(5):773–6.

Dario A, Fortini G, Bertollo D, et al. Treatment of failed back surgery syndrome. Neuromodulation 2001;4(3):105–10.

Davidson M, Keating JL. A comparison of five low back disability questionnaires: Reliability and responsiveness. Phys Ther 2002;82:8–24.

De Andres J, Quiroz C, Villanueva V, Valia JC, Lopez Alarcon D, Moliner S, Monsalve V. Patient satisfaction with spinal cord stimulation for failed back surgery syndrome [Spanish]. Rev Esp Anestesiol Reanim 2007;54(1):17–22.

De Andres J, Valia JC, Cerda-Olmedo G, et al. Magnetic resonance imaging in patients with spinal neurostimulation systems. Anesthesiology 2007;106:779–86.

De Mulder PA, te Rijdt B, Veeckmans G, Belmans L. Evaluation of a dual quadripolar surgically implanted spinal cord stimulation lead for failed back surgery patients with chronic low back and leg pain. Neuromodulation 2005;8(4):219–24.

deBruin AF, Diederiks LP, deWitte PL, Stavens CJ, Philipsen H. The development of a short generic version of the sickness impact profile. J Clin Epidemiol 1994;47:407–18.

Deer T, Kim C, Bowman R, et al. Initial experience with a new rechargeable generator: A report of twenty systems at 3 months status postimplant in patients with lumbar postlaminectomy syndrome [Abstract]. Neuromodulation 2006;9(1):9–10.

Derogatis LR. Symptom-Checklist-90-R: Scoring and Procedures Manual I for the Revised Version. Eagan, NM: Pearson Assessments; 1977.

Derogatis LR. The Affect Balance Scale. Baltimore, MD: Clinical Psychometric Research; 1975.

Devulder J, De Colvenaer L, Rolly G, et al. Spinal cord stimulation in chronic pain therapy. Clin J Pain 1990;6:51–6.

Devulder J, De Laat M, Rolly G. Dual channel electrostimulation in pain. Acta Neurol Belg 1998; 98(2):195–8.

Devulder J, de Laat M, van Bastelaere M, Rolly G. Spinal cord stimulation: A valuable treatment for chronic failed back surgery patients. J Pain Symptom Manage 1997;13(5):296–301.

Devulder J, Vermeulen H, De Colvenaer L, et al. Spinal cord stimulation in chronic pain: Evaluation of results, complications, and technical considerations in sixty-nine patients. Clin J Pain 1991; 7:21–2.

Deyo R. Comparative validity of the sickness impact profile and shorter scales for functional assessment in low-back pain. Spine 1986;11: 951–4.

Deyo RA, Battie M, Beurskens AJ, et al. Outcome measures for low back pain research. A proposal for standardized use. Spine 1998;23:2003–13.

Deyo RA, Rainville J, Kent DL. What can the history and physical examination tell us about low back pain? JAMA 1992;268:760–5.

Deyo RA. Measuring the functional status of patients with low back pain. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 1988;69:1044–53.

Doleys DM, Klapow J, Hammer M. Psychological evaluation in spinal cord stimulation therapy. Pain Reviews 1997;4:189–207.

Dumoulin K, Devulder J, Castille F, et al. A psychoanalytic investigation to improve the success rate of spinal cord stimulation as a treatment for chronic failed back surgery syndrome. Clin J Pain 1996;12(1):43–9.

Eisenberg E, Backonja MM, Fillingim RB, et al. Quantitative sensory testing for spinal cord stimulation in patients with chronic neuropathic pain. Pain Pract 2006;6(3):161–5.

Eisenberg E, Waisbrod H. Spinal cord stimulator activation by an antitheft device. Case report. J Neurosurg 1997;87(6):961–2.

Ekre O, Borjesson M, Edvardsson N, Eliasson T, Mannheimer C. Feasibility of spinal cord stimula-

tion in angina pectoris in patients with chronic pacemaker treatment for cardiac arrhythmias. Pacing Clin Electrophysiol 2003;26(11):2134–41.

Eldrige JS, Weingarten TN, Rho RH. Management of cerebral spinal fluid leak complicating spinal cord stimulator implantation. Pain Pract 2006;6(4):285–8.

Erickson DL. Percutaneous trial of stimulation for patient selection for implantable stimulating devices. J Neurosurg 1975;34:440–4.

Fairbank JC, Davies JB, Couper J, O'Brien JP. The Oswestry Low Back Pain Disability Questionnaire. Physiotherapy 1980;66(8):271–3.

Farrar JT, Young JP, LaMoreaux L, Werth JL, Poole RM. Clinical importance of changes in chronic pain intensity measured on an 11-point numerical pain rating scale. Pain 2001;94:149–58.

Fassio B, Sportes J, Romain M, Vallespir R. Chronic medullary neuro-stimulation in lumbosacral spinal arachnoiditis [French]. Rev Chir Orthop Reparatrice Appar Mot 1988;74(5):473–9.

Feise RJ, Menke JM. Functional Rating Index: A new valid and reliable instrument to measure the magnitude of clinical change in spinal conditions. Spine 2001;26:78–87.

Fenollosa P, Pallares J, Cervera J, et al. Chronic pain in the spinal cord injured: Statistical approach and pharmacological treatment. Paraplegia 1993; 31(11):722–9.

Ferrante FM, Rana MV, Ferrante MA. Conversion disorder mimicking Dejerine-Roussy syndrome (thalamic stroke) after spinal cord stimulation. Reg Anesth Pain Med 2004;29(2):164–7.

Ferrero P, Grimaldi R, Massa R, et al. Spinal cord stimulation for refractory angina in a patient implanted with a cardioverter defibrillator. Pacing Clin Electrophysiol 2007;30:143–6.

Fishbain DA, Cole B, Cutler RB, et al. A structured evidence-based review on the meaning of nonorganic physical signs: Waddell signs. Pain Med 2003;4(2):141–81.

Fisher K, Johnson M. Validation of the Oswestry low back pain disability questionnaire, its sensitivity as a measure of change following treatment and its relationship with other aspects of the chronic pain experience. Physiother Theory Pract 1997; 13:67–80.

Fiume D, Sherkat S, Callovini GM, Parziale G, Gazzeri G. Treatment of the failed back surgery syndrome due to lumbo-sacral epidural fibrosis. Acta Neurochir Suppl 1995;64:116–8.

Follett KA, Boortz-Marx RL, Drake JM, et al. Prevention and management of intrathecal drug delivery and spinal cord stimulation system infections. Anesthesiology 2004;100(6):1582–94.

Follick MJ, Smith TW, Ahern DK. The Sickness Impact Profile: A global measure of disability in chronic low back pain. Pain 1985;21:67–76.

Fordyce WE, Bigos S, Battie M, Fisher L. MMPI scale 3 as a predictor of back injury report: What does it tell us? Clin J Pain 1992;8:222–6.

Forouzanfar T, Kemler MA, Weber WE, Kessels AG, van Kleef M. Spinal cord stimulation in complex regional pain syndrome: Cervical and lumbar devices are comparably effective. Br J Anaesth 2004;92(3):348–53.

Frank ED, Menefee LA, Jalali S, et al. The utility of a 7-day percutaneous spinal cord stimulator trial measured by a pain diary: A long-term retrospective analysis. Neuromodulation 2005;8(3): 162–70.

Franzini A, Ferroli P, Marras C, Broggi G. Huge epidural hematoma after surgery for spinal cord stimulation. Acta Neurochir (Wien) 2005;147(5): 565–7.

Fritz JM, George SZ, Delitto A. The role of fear-avoidance beliefs in acute low back pain: Relationships with current and future disability and work status. Pain 2001;94:7–15.

Fritz JM, Irrgang JJ. A comparison of a modified Oswestry Low Back Pain Disability Questionnaire and the Quebec Back Pain Disability Scale. Phys Ther 2001;81:776–88.

Garcia-March G, Sanchez-Ledesma MJ, Diaz P, et al. Dorsal root entry zone lesion versus spinal cord stimulation in the management of pain from brachial plexus avulsion. Acta Neurochir Suppl (Wien) 1987;39:155–8.

Gutierrez Vall dCV, Solans LR, Pigrau SC, Pahissa BA. Psoas abscess due to an epidural spinal cord stimulation catheter. Scand J Infect Dis 1992; 24(1):119.

Gonzalez-Darder JM, Canela P, Gonzalez-Martinez V, et al. Spinal electrical stimulation.

S266 North and Shipley

Current indications and results in a series of 46 patients [Spanish]. Rev Esp Anestesiol Reanim 1992;39(2):86–90.

Grabow TS, Tella PK, Raja SN. Spinal cord stimulation for complex regional pain syndrome: An evidence-based medicine review of the literature. Clin J Pain 2003;19(6):371–83.

Greenough CG, Fraser RD. Assessment of outcome in patients with low-back pain. Spine 1992;17:36–41.

Gronbald M, Jarvinen E, Hurri H, Hulpi M, Karaharju EO. Relationship of the Pain Disability Index (PDI) and the Oswestry Disability Questionnaire (ODQ) with three dynamic physical tests in a group of patients with chronic low back and leg pain. Clin J Pain 1994;10(3):197–203.

Gronblad M, Hupli M, Wennerstrand P, et al. Intercorrelation and test-retest reliability of the Pain Disability Index (PDI) and the Oswestry Disability Questionnaire (ODQ) and their correlation with pain intensity in low back pain patients. Clin J Pain 1993;9:189–95.

Hale G, Calava J. Using tripolar spinal cord stimulation leads to treat concurrent low back leg pain: Preliminary results. [Abstract]. Neuromodulation 2006;9(1):15.

Hanson JL, Goodman EJ. Labor epidural placement in a woman with a cervical spinal cord stimulator. Int J Obstet Anesth 2006;15(3):246–9.

Harden RN, Weinland SR, Remble TA, et al. Medication Quantification Scale Version III: Update in medication classes and revised detriment weights by survey of American Pain Society Physicians. J Pain 2005;6(6):364–71.

Harding VR, Williams AC, Richardson PH, et al. The development of a battery of measures for assessing physical functioning of chronic pain patients. Pain 1994;58:367–75.

Harke H, Gretenkort P, Ladleif HU, Koester P, Rahman S. Spinal cord stimulation in postherpetic neuralgia and in acute herpes zoster pain. Anesth Analg 2002;94(3):694–700.

Harke H, Gretenkort P, Ladleif HU, Rahman S, Harke O. The response of neuropathic pain and pain in complex regional pain syndrome I to carbamazepine and sustained-release morphine in patients pretreated with spinal cord stimulation: A double-blinded randomized study. Anesth Analg 2001;92(2):488–95.

Harke H, Gretenkort P, Ladleif HU, Rahman S. Spinal cord stimulation in sympathetically maintained complex regional pain syndrome type I with severe disability. A prospective clinical trial. Eur J Pain 2005;9(4):363–73.

Harney D, Magner JJ, O'Keeffe D. Early intervention with spinal cord stimulation in the management of a chronic regional pain syndrome. Ir Med J 2005;98(3):89–90.

Hassenbusch SJ, Paice JA, Patt RB, Bedder MD, Bell GK. Clinical realities and economic considerations: Economics of intrathecal therapy. J Pain Symptom Manage 1997;14(3 Suppl):S36–48.

Hassenbusch SJ, Stanton-Hicks M, Covington EC. Spinal cord stimulation versus spinal infusion for low back and leg pain. Acta Neurochir Suppl 1995;64:109–15.

Hayek SM. Four-extremity spinal cord stimulation using dual lower-cervical epidural octapolar percutaneous neuroelectrodes [Abstract]. Neuromodulation 2006;9(1):16.

He J, Barolat G, Holsheimer J, Struijk JJ. Perception threshold and electrode position for spinal cord stimulation. Pain 1994;59(1):55–63.

Health Technology Assessment Information Service [a branch of a World Health Organization collaborating center]. Spinal cord (dorsal column) stimulation for chronic intractable pain, Plymouth Meeting, PA: ECRI, 1993.

Heidecke V, Rainov NG, Burkert W. Hardware failures in spinal cord stimulation for failed back surgery syndrome. Neuromodulation 2000;3(1): 27–30.

Henderson JM, Schade CM, Sasaki J, Caraway D, Oakley J. Prevention of mechanical failures in implanted spinal cord stimulation systems. Neuromodulation 2006;9:183–91.

Hieu PD, Person H, Houidi K, et al. Treatment of chronic lumbago and radicular pain by spinal cord stimulation. Long-term results [French]. Rev Rhum Ed Fr 1994;61(4):271–7.

Hirata Y, Murakami M, Ushio Y. Successful treatment by spinal cord stimulation for gait disturbance in a patient with diffuse axonal injury. Acta Neurochir Suppl 2003;87:49–52.

Holroyd KA, Holm JE, Keefe FJ, et al. A multicenter evaluation of the McGill Pain Questionnaire: Results from more than 1700 chronic pain patients. Pain 1992;48:301–11.

Holsheimer J, Barolat G, Struijk JJ, He J. Significance of the spinal cord position in spinal cord stimulation. Acta Neurochir Suppl 1995;64:119–24.

Holsheimer J, den Boer JA, Struijk JJ, Rozeboom AR. MR assessment of the normal position of the spinal cord in the spinal canal. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 1994;15(5):951–9.

Holsheimer J, Khan YN, Raza SS, Khan EA. Effects of electrode positioning on perception threshold and paresthesia coverage in spinal cord stimulation. Neuromodulation 2007;10(1):34–41.

Holsheimer J, Nuttin B, King GW, et al. Clinical evaluation of paresthesia steering with a new system for spinal cord stimulation. Neurosurgery 1998;42(3):541–7.

Holsheimer J, Struijk JJ. Effects of electrode geometry and combination on paresthesia coverage and usage range in ESCS. Proceedings of the Second Congress of the International Neuromodulation Society, Göteborg, Sweden, 1994.

Holsheimer J, Struijk JJ. How do geometric factors influence epidural spinal cord stimulation? A quantitative analysis by computer modeling. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 1991;56(4):234–49.

Holsheimer J, Wesselink WA. Effect of anodecathode configuration on paresthesia coverage in spinal cord stimulation. Neurosurgery 1997;41: 654–60.

Holsheimer J, Wesselink WA. Optimum electrode geometry for spinal cord stimulation: The narrow bipole and tripole. Med Biol Eng Comput 1997; 35(5):493–7.

Hoppenstein R. Electrical stimulation of the ventral and dorsal columns of the spinal cord for relief of chronic intractable pain: Preliminary report. Surg Neurol 1975;4(1):187–94.

Hord ED, Cohen SP, Cosgrove GR, et al. The predictive value of sympathetic block for the success of spinal cord stimulation. Neurosurgery 2003;53(3):626–32.

Hosobuchi Y, Adams JE, Weinstein PR. Preliminary percutaneous dorsal column stimulation prior to permanent implantation. J Neurosurg 1972;17:242–5.

Huang H, He J, Herman R, Carhart MR. Modulation effects of epidural spinal cord stimulation on muscle activities during walking. IEEE Trans Neural Syst Rehabil 2006;14(1):14–23.

Hutchinson PJ, Laing RJ, Waran V, Hutchinson E, Hollingworth W. Assessing outcome in lumbar disc surgery using patient completed measures. Br J Neurosurg 2000;14:195–9.

Iyer R, Gnanadurai TV, Forsey P. Management of cardiac pacemaker in a patient with spinal cord stimulator implant. Pain 1998;74(2–3):333–5.

Jensen MP, Karoly P, Braver S. The measurement of clinical pain intensity: A comparison of six methods. Pain 1986;27:117–26.

Kames LD, Naliboff BD, Heinrich RL, Schag CC. The Chronic Illness Problem Inventory: Problem-oriented psychosocial assessment of patients with chronic illness. Int J Psychiatry Med 1984;14(1):65–75.

Katayama Y, Yamamoto T, Kobayashi K, et al. Motor cortex stimulation for phantom limb pain: Comprehensive therapy with spinal cord and thalamic stimulation. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 2001;77(1–4):159–62.

Katz PG, Greenstein A, Severs SL, Zampieri TA, Sahni KS. Effect of implanted epidural stimulator on lower urinary tract function in spinal cord injured patients. Eur Urol 1991;20:103–6.

Kavar B, Rosenfeld JV, Hutchinson A. The efficacy of spinal cord stimulation for chronic pain. J Clin Neurosci 2000;7(5):409–13.

Kavar B, Rosenfeld JV, Hutchinson A. The efficacy of spinal cord stimulation for chronic pain. J Clin Neurosci 2000;7(5):409–13.

Kay AD, McIntyre MD, Macrae WA, Varma TR. Spinal cord stimulation—A long-term evaluation in patients with chronic pain. Br J Neurosurg 2001;15(4):335–41.

Kemler MA, Barendse GA, van Kleef M, et al. Spinal cord stimulation in patients with chronic reflex sympathetic dystrophy. N Engl J Med 2000; 343(9):618–24.

Kemler MA, Barendse GA, van Kleef M, et al. Electrical spinal cord stimulation in reflex sympathetic dystrophy: Retrospective analysis of 23 patients. J Neurosurg 1999;90(1 Suppl):79–83.

S268 North and Shipley

Kemler MA, Barendse GA, van Kleef M. Recurrent rejection of a spinal cord stimulation system. Contact Dermatitis 2000;42(5):304–5.

Kemler MA, Barendse GA, Van Kleef M. Relapsing ulcerative colitis associated with spinal cord stimulation. Gastroenterology 1999;117(1):215–7.

Kemler MA, De Vet HC, Barendse GA, Van Den Wildenberg FA, Van Kleef M. The effect of spinal cord stimulation in patients with chronic reflex sympathetic dystrophy: Two years' follow-up of the randomized controlled trial. Ann Neurol 2004; 55(1):13–8.

Kemler MA, de Vet HCM, Barendse GAM, van den Wildenberg FAJM, van Kleef M. Spinal cord stimulation for chronic reflex sympathic dystrophy—Five-year follow-up [Letter]. N Engl J Med 2006;354:2394.

Kemler MA, Furnee CA. Economic evaluation of spinal cord stimulation for chronic reflex sympathetic dystrophy. Neurology 2002;59:1203–9.

Kim SH, Tasker RR, Oh MY. Spinal cord stimulation for nonspecific limb pain versus neuropathic pain and spontaneous versus evoked pain. Neurosurgery 2001;48(5):1056–64.

Kiriakopoulos ET, Tasker RR, Nicosia S, Wood ML, Mikulis DJ. Functional magnetic resonance imaging: A potential tool for the evaluation of spinal cord stimulation: Technical case report. Neurosurgery 1997;41(2):501–4.

Kirvela OA, Kotilainen E. Successful treatment of whiplash-type injury induced severe pain syndrome with epidural stimulation: A case report. Pain 1999;80(1–2):441–3.

Kiwerski J. Stimulation of the spinal cord in the treatment of traumatic injuries of cervical spine. Appl Neurophysiol 1986;49(3):166–71.

Koeze TH, Williams AC, Reiman S. Spinal cord stimulation and the relief of chronic pain. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1987;50(11):1424–9.

Kopec JA, Esdaile JM, Abrahamowicz M, et al. The Quebec Back Pain Disability Scale. Measurement properties. Spine 1995;20:341–52.

Kopec JA, Esdaile JM, Abrahamowicz M, et al. The Quebec Back Pain Disability Scale: Conceptualization and development. J Clin Epidemiol 1996;49:151–61.

Kopec JA, Esdaile JM. Functional disability scales for back pain. Spine 1995;20:1943–9.

Kovac FM, Abraira V, Zamora J, et al. Correlation between pain, disability, and quality of life in patients with common low back pain. Spine 2004; 29:206–10.

Krainick JU, Thoden U, Riechert T. Pain reduction in amputees by long-term spinal cord stimulation. Long-term follow-up study over 5 years. J Neurosurg 1980;52(3):346–50.

Krainick JU, Thoden U, Riechert T. Spinal cord stimulation in post-amputation pain. Surg Neurol 1975;4(1):167–70.

Kumar K, Buchser E, Linderoth B, Meglio M, Van Buyten J-P. Avoiding complications from spinal cord stimulation: Practical recommendations from an international panel of experts. Neuromodulation 2007;10(1):24–33.

Kumar A, Felderhof C, Eljamel MS. Spinal cord stimulation for the treatment of refractory unilateral limb pain syndromes. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 2003;81(1–4):70–4.

Kumar K, Hunter G, Demeria D. Spinal cord stimulation in treatment of chronic benign pain: Challenges in treatment planning and present status, a 22-year experience. Neurosurgery 2006; 58(3):481–96.

Kumar K, Malik S, Deneria D. Treatment of chronic pain with spinal cord stimulation versus alternative therapies: Cost-effectiveness analysis. Neurosurgery 2002;51(1):106–16.

Kumar K, Nath R, Wyant GM. Treatment of chronic pain by epidural spinal cord stimulation: A 10-year experience. J Neurosurg 1991;75(3): 402–7.

Kumar K, Nath RK, Toth C. Spinal cord stimulation is effective in the management of reflex sympathetic dystrophy. Neurosurgery 1997;40(3): 503–8.

Kumar K, Toth C. The role of spinal cord stimulation in the treatment of chronic pain postlaminectomy. Curr Pain Headache Rep 1998;2:85–92.

Kumar K, Toth C, Nath RK, Laing P. Epidural spinal cord stimulation for treatment of chronic pain—Some predictors of success. A 15-year experience. Surg Neurol 1998;50(2):110–20.

Kumar K, Toth C, Nath RK. Spinal cord stimulation for chronic pain in peripheral neuropathy. Surg Neurol 1996;46(4):363–9.

Kumar K, Wilson JR, Taylor RS, Gupta S. Complications of spinal cord stimulation, suggestions to improve outcome, and financial impact. J Neurosurg Spine 2006;5(3):191–203.

Kupers RC, Van den Oever R, Van Houdenhove B, et al. Spinal cord stimulation in Belgium: A nation-wide survey on the incidence, indications and therapeutic efficacy by the health insurer. Pain 1994;56(2):211–6.

Laffey JG, Murphy D, Regan J, O'Keeffe D. Efficacy of spinal cord stimulation for neuropathic pain following idiopathic acute transverse myelitis: A case report. Clin Neurol Neurosurg 1999; 101(2):125–7

Lang P. The treatment of chronic pain by epidural spinal cord stimulation—A 15 year follow up: Present status. Axon 1997;18(4):71–3.

Lau RR, Ware JF, Jr. Refinements in the measurement of health-specific locus-of-control beliefs. Med Care 1981;19(11):1147–58.

Law JD. Spinal stimulation: Statistical superiority of monophasic stimulation of narrowly separated, longitudinal bipoles having rostral cathodes. Appl Neurophysiol 1983;46(1–4):129–37.

Lazorthes Y, Siegfried J, Verdie JC, Casaux J. Chronic spinal cord stimulation in the treatment of neurogenic pain. Cooperative and retrospective study on 20 years of follow-up [French]. Neurochirurgie 1995;41(2):73–86.

Leclercq TA, Russo E. Epidural stimulation for pain control [French]. Neurochirurgie 1981;27(2): 125–8.

Leclercq TA. Electrode migration in epidural stimulation: Comparison between single electrode and four electrode programmable leads. Pain 1984;20(suppl 2):78.

LeDoux MS, Langford KH. Spinal cord stimulation for the failed back syndrome. Spine 1993; 18(2):191–4.

Leibrock L, Meilman P, Cuka D, Green C. Spinal cord stimulation in the treatment of chronic low back and lower extremity pain syndromes. Nebraska Med J 1984;69:180–3.

Leveque JC, Villavicencio AT, Bulsara KR, Ruvin L, Gorecki JP. Spinal cord stimulation for failed back surgery syndrome. Neuromodulation 2001; 4(1);1–9.

Levita E, Rilan M, Waltz JM. Psychological effects of spinal cord stimulation: Preliminary findings. Appl Neurophysiol 1981;44(1-3):93–6.

Levita E, Sorkin BA, Waltz JM. Spinal cord stimulation revisited: Psychological effects. Appl Neurophysiol 1986;49(1–2):69–75.

Liem LA, van Dongen VC. Magnetic resonance imaging and spinal cord stimulation systems. Pain 1997;70(1):95–7.

Lind G, Meyerson BA, Winter J, Linderoth B. Intrathecal baclofen as an adjuvant therapy to enhance the effect of spinal cord stimulation in neuropathic pain: A pilot study. Eur J Pain 2004; 8:377–83.

de Lissovoy G, Brown RE, Halpern M, Hassenbusch SJ, Ross E. Cost effectiveness of long-term intrathecal morphine for pain associated with failed back syndrome. Clin Ther 1997;19:96–112.

Long DM, Erickson D, Campbell J, North R. Electrical stimulation of the spinal cord and peripheral nerves for pain control. A 10-year experience. Appl Neurophysiol 1981;44(4):207–17.

Long DM, Erickson DE. Stimulation of the posterior columns of the spinal cord for relief of intractable pain. Surg Neurol 1975;4:134–41.

Loubser PG. Adverse effects of epidural spinal cord stimulation on bladder function in a patient with chronic spinal cord injury pain. J Pain Symptom Manage 1997;13(5):251–2.

Luo X, Pietrobon R, Sun SX, Liu GG, Hey L. Estimates and patterns of direct health care expenditures among individuals with back pain in the United States. Spine 2004;29:79–86.

Mailis-Gagnon A, Furlan AD, Sandoval JA, Taylor R. Spinal cord stimulation for chronic pain. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2004;3:CD003783.

Manola L, Holsheimer J. Technical performance of percutaneous and laminectomy leads analyzed by modeling. Neuromodulation 2004;7(4):231–41.

Matsui M, Tomoda A, Otani Y, Miike T, Hirata Y. Spinal cord stimulation for complex regional pain syndrome: Report of 2 cases [Japanese]. No To Hattatsu 2003;35(4):331–5.

May MS, Banks C, Thomson SJ. A retrospective, long-term, third-party follow-up of patients considered for spinal cord stimulation. Neuromodulation 2002;5(3):137–44.

S270 North and Shipley

Mearini M, Podetta S, Catenacci E, et al. Spinal cord stimulation for the treatment of upper and lower extremity neuropathic pain due to Lyme Disease. Neuromodulation 2007;10(2):142–7.

Meglio M, Cioni B, Prezioso A, Talamonti G. Spinal cord stimulation (SCS) in the treatment of postherpetic pain. Acta Neurochir Suppl (Wien) 1989:46:65–6.

Meglio M, Cioni B, Prezioso A, Talamonti G. Spinal cord stimulation in deafferentation pain. Pacing Clin Electrophysiol 1989;12(4 Pt 2):709–12

Meglio M, Cioni B, Rossi GF. Spinal cord stimulation in management of chronic pain. A 9-year experience. J Neurosurg 1989;70(4):519–24.

Meglio M, Cioni B, Visocchi M, Tancredi A, Pentimalli L. Spinal cord stimulation in low back and leg pain. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 1994;62(1–4):263–6.

Melzack R. The McGill Pain Questionnaire: Major properties and scoring methods. Pain 1975; 1:277–99.

Meyer F, Sandvoss G. Compound acetabulum fracture caused by overstimulation with a PISCES system [German]. Zentralbl Neurochir 1990; 51(3):174–5.

Middleton P, Simpson B, Maddern G. Spinal cord stimulation (neurostimulation): An accelerated systematic review. Australian Safety and Efficacy Register of New Interventional Procedures—Surgical. Adelaide, South Australia: The Royal Australasian College of Surgeons, AERSNIP-S R Report No. 43. 2003.

Milhous RL, Haugh LD, Frymoyer JW, et al. Determinants of vocational disability in patients with low back pain. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 1989; 70:589–93.

Monahan K, Casavant D, Rasmussen C, Hallet N. Combined use of a true-bipolar sensing implantable cardioverter defibrillator in a patient having a prior implantable spinal cord stimulator for intractable pain. Pacing Clin Electrophysiol 1998;21: 2669–72.

Monhemius R, Simpson BA. Efficacy of spinal cord stimulation for neuropathic pain: Assessment by abstinence. Eur J Pain 2003;7(6):513–9.

Moore J, Armentrot D, Parker J, Kiviahan D. Empirically derived pain-patient's MMPI

subgroups: Prediction of treatment outcome. J Behav Med 1986;9:51–63.

Mundinger F, Neumüller H. Programmed stimulation for control of chronic pain and motor diseases. Appl Neurophysiol 1982;45:102–11.

Murphy D, Laffy J, O'Keeffe D. Electrical spinal cord stimulation for painful peripheral neuropathy secondary to coeliac disease. Gut 1998;42(3): 448–9.

Nelson DV, Kenington M, Novy DM, Squitieri P. Psychological selection criteria for implantable spinal cord stimulators. Pain Forum 1996;5:93–103.

Nielson KD, Adams JE, Hosobuchi Y. Experience with dorsal column stimulation for relief of chronic intractable pain: 1968–1973. Surg Neurol 1975;4:148–52.

Nittner K. Localization of electrodes in cases of phantom limb pain in the lower limbs. Appl Neurophysiol 1982;45:205–8.

Nomura Y, Fukuuchi A, Iwade M, et al. A case of spasticity following spinal cord injury improved by epidural spinal cord stimulation [Japanese]. Masui 1995;44(5):732–4.

North RB, Brigham DD, Khalessi A, et al. Spinal cord stimulator adjustment to maximize implanted battery longevity: A randomized, controlled trial using a computerized, patient-interactive programmer. Neuromodulation 2004;7(1):13–25.

North RB, Calkins SK, Campbell DS, et al. Automated, patient-interactive spinal cord stimulator adjustment: A randomized, controlled trial. Neurosurgery 2003;52(3):572–9.

North RB, Ewend ME, Lawton MA, Piantadosi S: Spinal cord stimulation for chronic, intractable pain: Superiority of "multi-channel" devices. Pain 1991;44(2):119–30.

North RB, Ewend ME, Lawton MA, Kidd DH, Piantadosi S. Failed back surgery syndrome: 5-year follow-up after spinal cord stimulator implantation. Neurosurgery 1991;28(5):692–9.

North RB, Fischell TA, Long DM. Chronic stimulation via percutaneously inserted epidural electrodes. Neurosurgery 1977;1(2):215–8.

North RB, Fischell TA, Long DM. Chronic dorsal column stimulation via percutaneously inserted

epidural electrodes: Preliminary results in 31 patients. Appl Neurophysiol 1977–78;40(2–4): 184–91.

North RB, Fowler KR, Nigrin DA, Szymanski RE, Piantadosi S. Automated pain drawing analysis by computer-controlled, patient-interactive neurological stimulation system. Pain 1992;50: 51–7.

North RB, Fowler K, Nigrin DJ, Szymanski R. Patient-interactive, computer-controlled neurological stimulation system: Clinical efficacy in spinal cord stimulator adjustment. J Neurosurg 1992;76(6):967–72.

North RB, Kidd D, Shipley J, Taylor R. Spinal cord stimulation versus reoperation for failed back surgery syndrome: A cost effectiveness and cost utility analysis based on a randomized, controlled trial. Neurosurgery 2007;61(2):361–9.

North RB, Kidd DH, Farrokhi F, Piantadosi S. Spinal cord stimulation versus repeated lumbosacral spine surgery for chronic pain: A randomized, controlled trial. Neurosurgery 2005;56(1):98–106.

North RB, Kidd DH, Olin J, Sieracki JN. Spinal cord stimulation electrode design: Prospective, controlled trial comparing percutaneous and laminectomy electrodes—Part I: Technical Outcomes. Neurosurgery 2002;51(2):381–90.

North RB, Kidd DH, Olin J, et al. Spinal cord stimulation for axial low back pain: A prospective, controlled trial comparing dual with single percutaneous electrodes. Spine 2005;30(12):1412–8.

North RB, Kidd DH, Olin J, Sieracki JN, Petrucci L. Spinal cord stimulation for axial low back pain: A prospective, controlled trial comparing 16-contact insulated electrode arrays with 4-contact percutaneous electrodes. Neuromodulation 2006;9(1):56–67.

North RB, Kidd DH, Petrucci L, Dorsi MJ. Spinal cord stimulation electrode design: A prospective, randomized, controlled trial comparing percutaneous with laminectomy electrodes: Part II—clinical outcomes. Neurosurgery 2005;57(5): 990–5.

North RB, Kidd DH, Wimberly RL, Edwin D. Prognostic value of psychological testing in patients undergoing spinal cord stimulation: A prospective study. Neurosurgery 1996;39(2):301–10.

North RB, Kidd DH, Zahurak M, James CS, Long DM. Spinal cord stimulation for chronic, intractable pain: Experience over two decades. Neurosurgery 1993;32(3):384–94.

North RB, Lanning A, Hessels R, Cutchis PN. Spinal cord stimulation with percutaneous and plate electrodes: Side effects and quantitative comparisons. Neurosurg Focus 1997;2(1:3), 1–5.

North RB, Nigrin DJ, Fowler KR, Szymanski RE, Piantadosi S. Automated "pain drawing" analysis by computer-controlled, patient-interactive neurological stimulation system. Pain 1992;50(1): 51–7.

North RB, Sieracki JN, Fowler KR, Alvarez B, Cutchis PN: Patient-interactive, microprocessor-controlled neurological stimulation system. Neuromodulation 1998;1(4):185–93.

North RB. SCS trial duration [editorial]. Neuro-modulation 2003;6:4–5.

North RB. The glass is half full. Pain Forum 1999; 8(4):195–7.

Novy DM, Nelson DV, Berry LA, Averill PM. What does the Beck Depression Inventory measure in chronic pain?: A reappraisal. Pain 1995;61:261–71.

Oakley J, Krames E, Weiner R, Grandhe V, Moffitt M, Bradley K. Bilateral current fractionalization in spinal cord stimulation [Abstract]. Neuromodulation 2006;9(1):17–8.

Oakley J, Varga C, Krames E, Bradley K. Real-time paresthesia steering using continuous electric field adjustment. Part I: Intraoperative performance. Neuromodulation 2004;7(3):157–67.

Oakley JC, Weiner RL. Spinal cord stimulation for complex regional pain syndrome: A prospective study of 19 patients at two centers. Neuromodulation 1999;(1):47–50.

Ochani TD, Almirante J, Siddiqui A, Kaplan R. Allergic reaction to spinal cord stimulator. Clin J Pain 2000;16(2):178–80.

Ohnhaus EE, Adler R. Methodological problems in the measurement of pain: A comparison between the verbal rating scale and the visual analogue scale. Pain 1975;1:379–84.

Ohnmeiss DD, Rashbaum RF, Bogdanffy GM. Prospective outcome evaluation of spinal cord stimulation in patients with intractable leg pain. Spine 1996;21(11):1344–50.

S272 North and Shipley

Ohnmeiss DD, Rashbaum RF. Patient satisfaction with spinal cord stimulation for predominant complaints of chronic, intractable low back pain. Spine J 2001;1(5):358–63.

Ohta Y, Akino M, Iwasaki Y, Abe H. Spinal epidural stimulation for central pain caused by spinal cord lesion [Japanese]. No Shinkei Geka 1992; 20(2):147–52.

Olin JN, Kidd DH, North RB: Postural changes in spinal cord stimulation thresholds. Neuromodulation 1998;1(4):171–5.

Olson KA, Bedder MD, Anderson VC, Burchiel KJ, Villanueva MR. Psychological variables associated with outcome of spinal cord stimulation trials. Neuromodulation 1998;1(1):6–13.

Parker H, Wood PL, Main CL. The use of the pain drawing as a screening measure to predict psychological distress in chronic low back pain. Spine 1995;20:236–43.

Prager J, Jacobs M. Evaluation of patients for implantable pain modalities: Medical and behavioral assessment. Clin J Pain 2001;17(3):206–14.

Price DD, McGrath PA, Rafii A, Buckingham B. The validation of the visual analogue scales as ratio scale measures for chronic and experimental pain. Pain 1983;17:45–56.

de la Porte C, Siegfried J. Lumbosacral spinal fibrosis (spinal arachnoiditis). Its diagnosis and treatment by spinal cord stimulation. Spine 1983; 8(6):593–603.

de la Porte C, Van de Kelft E. Spinal cord stimulation in failed back surgery syndrome. Pain 1993; 52(1):55–61.

Probst C. Spinal cord stimulation in 112 patients with epi-/intradural fibrosis following operation for lumbar disc herniation. Acta Neurochir (Wien) 1990;107(3-4):147–51.

Quigley DG, Arnold J, Eldridge PR, et al. Long-term outcome of spinal cord stimulation and hardware complications. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 2003;81(1–4):50–6.

Racz GB, McCarron RF, Talboys P. Percutaneous dorsal column stimulator for chronic pain control. Spine 1989;14(1):1–4.

Rainov NG, Heidecke V, Burkert W. Short testperiod spinal cord stimulation for failed back surgery syndrome. Minim Invasive Neurosurg 1996;39(2):41–4. Ransford AO, Cairns D, Mooney V. The pain drawing as an aid to the psychologic evaluation of patients with low back pain. Spine 1976;1:127–34.

Raphael JH, Southall JL, Gnanadurai TV, Treharne GJ, Kitas GD. Chronic mechanical low back pain: A comparative study with intrathecal opioid drug delivery. Neuromodulation 2004;7(4):260–6.

Renard VM, North RB. Prevention of percutaneous electrode migration in spinal cord stimulation by a modification of the standard implantation technique. J Neurosurg Spine 2006;4(4):300–3.

Robaina FJ, Rodriguez JL, de Vera JA. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation and spinal cord stimulation for pain relief in reflex sympathetic dystrophy. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 1989;52: 53–62.

Rogano L, Teixeira MJ, Lepski G. Chronic pain after spinal cord injury: Clinical characteristics. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 2003;81(1-4):65–9.

Roland M, Morris R. A study of the natural history of back pain. Part I: Development of a reliable and sensitive measure of disability in low-back pain. Spine 1983;8:141–4.

Romano JM, Syrjala KL, Levy RL, et al. Overt pain behaviors: Relationship to patient functioning and treatment outcome. Behav Res Ther 1988; 19:191–201.

Romano JM, Turner JA, Jensen MP. The Chronic Illness Problem Inventory as a measure of dysfunction in chronic pain patients. Pain 1992;49(1): 71–5.

Romano M, Brusa S, Grieco A, et al. Efficacy and safety of permanent cardiac DDD pacing with contemporaneous double spinal cord stimulation. Pacing Clin Electrophysiol 1998;21(2):465–7.

Romano M, Zucco F, Baldini MR, Allaria B. Technical and clinical problems in patients with simultaneous implantation of a cardiac pacemaker and spinal cord stimulator. Pacing Clin Electrophysiol 1993;16:1639–44.

Rosenow JM, Stanton-Hicks M, Rezai AR, Henderson JM. Failure modes of spinal cord stimulator hardware. J Neurosurg Spine 2006;5(3):183–90.

Rütten S, Komp M, Godolias G. Spinal cord stimulation (SCS) using an 8-pole electrode and double-electrode system as minimally invasive therapy of the post-discotomy and post-fusion syndrome—Prospective study results in 34 patients [German]. Z Orthop Ihre Grenzgeb 2002;140(6):626–31.

Sanchez-Ledesma MJ, Garcia-March G, Diaz-Cascajo P, Gomez-Moreta J, Broseta J. Spinal cord stimulation in deafferentation pain. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 1989;53(1):40–5.

Sarason IG, Levine HM, Basham RB, Sarason BR. Assessing social support: The Social Support Questionnaire. J Pers Soc Psychol 1983;44:127–39.

Schimpf R, Wolpert C, Herwig S, et al. Potential device interaction of a dual chamber implantable cardioverter defibrillator in a patient with continuous spinal cord stimulation. Europace 2003;5(4): 397–402.

Schueler BA, Parrish TB, Lin J-C, et al. MRI compatibility and visibility assessment of implantable medical devices. J Magn Reson Imaging 1999; 9(4):596–603.

Scott J, Huskisson EC. Graphic representation of pain. Pain 1976;2:175–84.

Segal R. Spinal cord stimulation, conception, pregnancy, and labor: Case study in a complex regional pain syndrome patient. Neuromodulation 1999;2(1):41–5.

Segal R, Stacey BR, Rudy TE, Baser S, Markham J. Spinal cord stimulation revisited. Neurol Res 1998;20(5);391–6.

Shah RV, Smith HK, Chung J, Hegazi A, Racz GB. Cervical spinal cord neoplasm in a patient with an implanted cervical spinal cord stimulator: The controversial role of magnetic resonance imaging. Pain Phys 2004;7:273–8.

Sharan A, Cameron T, Barolat G. Evolving patterns of spinal cord stimulation in patients implanted for intractable low back and leg pain. Neuromodulation 2002;5(3):167–79.

Shealy CN. Dorsal column stimulation: Optimization of application. Surg Neurol 1975;4(1): 142–5.

Shimoji K, Hokari T, Kano T, et al. Management of intractable pain with percutaneous epidural spinal cord stimulation: Differences in pain-relieving effects among diseases and sites of pain. Anesth Analg 1993;77:110–6.

Siegfried J, Lazorthes Y. Long-term follow-up of dorsal cord stimulation for chronic pain syndrome after multiple lumbar operations. Appl Neurophysiol 1982;45:201–4.

Simpson BA, Bassett G, Davies K, Herbert C, Pierri M. Cervical spinal cord stimulation for pain: A report on 41 patients. Neuromodulation 2003; 6(1):20–6.

Simpson BA. Selection of patients and assessment of outcome. In: Simpson BA, ed. Electrical Stimulation and the Relief of Pain. Amsterdam: Elsevier Science; 2003, 236–47.

Simpson BA. Spinal cord stimulation in 60 cases of intractable pain. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1991;54(3):196–9.

Sindou MP, Mertens P, Bendavid U, Garcia-Larrea L, Mauguiere F. Predictive value of somatosensory evoked potentials for long-lasting pain relief after spinal cord stimulation: Practical use for patient selection. Neurosurgery 2003;52(6): 1374–83.

Sisson CB, Turner DM. Novel stimulation construct for a patient with intractable pelvic pain: A case report [Abstract]. Neuromodulation 2006; 9(1):18.

Slavin KV, Burchiel KJ, Anderson VC, Cooke B. Efficacy of transverse tripolar stimulation for relief of chronic low back pain: Results of a single center. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 1999;73(1-4):126–30.

Spiegelmann R, Friedman WA. Spinal cord stimulation: A contemporary series. Neurosurgery 1991;28(1):65–70.

Spielberger C. Manual for the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI). Palo Alto, CA: Consulting Psychologists Press, 1983.

Spielberger C. The State-Trait Anxiety Inventory. New York, NY: Academic Press; 1970.

Spielberger CD, Johnson EH, Russell EF, et al. The experience and expression of anger: Construction and validation of an anger expression scale. In: Chesney MA, Rosenman RH, eds. *Anger and Hostility in Cardiovascular and Behavioral Disorders*. Washington, DC: Hemisphere Publishing Corp.; 1985:5–30.

Spincemaille GH, Barendse G, Rouwet EV, et al. Spinal cord stimulation and reflex sympathetic dystrophy. Pain Clinic 1995;8:155–60.

S274 North and Shipley

Spincemaille GH, Beersen N, Dekkers MA, Theuvenet PJ. Neuropathic limb pain and spinal cord stimulation: Results of the Dutch prospective study. Neuromodulation 2004;7(3):184–92.

Spitzer WO. State of science 1986: Quality of life and functional status as target variables for research. J Chron Dis 1987;40:465–71.

Steedman SM, Middaugh SJ, Kee WG, et al. Chronic-pain medications: Equivalence levels and method of quantifying usage. Clin J Pain 1992;8: 201–4.

Stratford P, Solomon P, Binkley J, Finch E, Gill C. Sensitivity of sickness impact profile items to measure change over time in a low-back pain patient group. Spine 1993;18:1723–7.

Stratford PW, Binkley J, Solomon P, et al. Defining the minimum level of detectable change for the Roland-Morris questionnaire. Phys Ther 1996;76:359–65.

Straus BN. Chronic pain of spinal origin: The costs of intervention. Spine 2002;27(22):2614–9.

Struijk JJ, Holsheimer J, Spincemaille GHJ, Gielen FLH, Hoekema R. Theoretical performance and clinical evaluation of transverse tripolar spinal cord stimulation. IEEE Trans Rehabil Eng 1998;6(3):277–85.

Stultz M. Quality of life, function and pain relief attributed to two types of spinal cord stimulation systems: Result of a patient survey. Pain Digest 1999;9:348–352.

Sundaraj SR, Johnstone C, Noore F, Wynn P, Castro M. Spinal cord stimulation: A seven-year audit. J Clin Neurosci 2005;12(3):264–70.

Tanabe Y, Kimura N, Kida N, et al. A case of intractable lower limb pain treated successfully by spinal cord stimulation with an electrode inserted retrogradely [Japanese]. Masui 2006;55(6):732–4.

Taylor RS, Taylor RJ, Van Buyten J-P, et al. The cost effectiveness of spinal cord stimulation in the treatment of pain: A systematic review of the literature. J Pain Symptom Manage 2004;27:370–8.

Taylor RS, Van Buyten J-P, Buchser E. Spinal cord stimulation for complex regional pain syndrome: A systematic review of the clinical and cost-effectiveness literature and assessment of prognostic factors. Eur J Pain 2006;10(2):91–101.

Taylor RS, Van Buyten J-P, Buchser E. Spinal cord stimulation for chronic back and leg pain and

failed back surgery syndrome: A systematic review and analysis of prognostic factors. Spine 2005; 30(1):152–60.

Taylor RS. Spinal cord stimulation in complex regional pain syndrome and refractory neuropathic back and leg pain/failed back surgery syndrome: Results of a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Pain Symptom Manage 2006;31(4 Suppl):S13–9.

Tellegren A, Atkinson G. Openness to absorbing and self-altering experiences ("absorption"), a trait related to hypnotic susceptibility. J Abnorm Psychol 1974;83:268–77.

Tseng SH. Treatment of chronic pain by spinal cord stimulation. J Formos Med Assoc 2000;99(3): 267–71.

Tsuda T, Tasker RR. Percutaneous epidural electrical stimulation of the spinal cord for intractable pain—swith special reference to deafferentation pain [Japanese]. No Shinkei Geka 1985;13(4):409–15.

Turk DC, Rudy TE, Salovey P. The McGill questionnaire reconsidered: Confirming the factor structure and examining appropriate uses. Pain 1985;21:385–97.

Turner JA, Loeser JD, Bell KG. Spinal cord stimulation for chronic low back pain: A systematic literature synthesis. Neurosurgery 1995; 37:1088–96.

Turner JA, Loeser JD, Deyo RA, Sanders SB. Spinal cord stimulation for patients with failed back surgery syndrome or complex regional pain syndrome: A systematic review of effectiveness and complications. Pain 2004;108(1):137–47.

Vallejo R, Kramer J, Benyamin R. Neuromodulation of the cervical spinal cord in the treatment of chronic intractable neck and upper extremity pain: A case series and review of the literature. Pain Physician 2007;10(2):305–11.

Van Buyten J-P. The performance and safety of an implantable spinal cord stimulation system in patients with chronic pain: A 5-year study. Neuromodulation 2003;6(2);79–87.

Van Buyten J-P, van Zundert JV, Milbouw G. Treatment of failed back surgery syndrome patients with low back and leg pain: A pilot study of a new dual lead spinal cord stimulation system. Neuromodulation 1999;2(3):258–65.

Van Buyten J-P, van Zundert J, Vueghs P, Vanduffel L. Efficacy of spinal cord stimulation: 10 years of experience in a pain centre in Belgium. Eur J Pain 2001;5(3):299-307.

Van de Kelft E, De La Porte C. Long-term pain relief during spinal cord stimulation. The effect of patient selection. Qual Life Res 1994;3(1):21–7.

Vijayan R, Ahmad TS. Spinal cord stimulation for treatment of failed back surgery syndrome—Two case reports. Med J Malaysia 1999;54(4):509–13.

Villavicencio AT, Burneikiene S. Elements of the pre-operative workup, case examples. Pain Med 2006;7(Suppl 1):S35–46.

Villavicencio AT, Leveque JC, Rubin L, Bulsara K, Gorecki JP. Laminectomy versus percutaneous electrode placement for spinal cord stimulation. Neurosurgery 2000;46(2):399–405.

Waddell G, McCulloch JA, Kummel EG, Venner RM. Nonorganic physical signs in low back pain. Spine 1980;5:117–25.

Waddell G, Newton M, Henderson I, Somerville D, Main CJ. A Fear-Avoidance Beliefs Questionnaire (FABQ) and the role of fear-avoidance beliefs in chronic low back pain and disability. Pain 1993;52:157–68.

Wallin J, Cui JG, Yakhnitsa V, et al. Gabapentin and pregabalin suppress tactile allodynia and potentiate spinal cord stimulation in a model of neuropathy. Eur J Pain 2002;6(4):261–72.

Wallston BS, Wallston KA, Kaplan GD, Maides SA. Development and validation of the Health Locus of Control (HLC) Scales. J Consult Clin Psychol 1976;44:580–5.

Waltz JM. Spinal cord stimulation: A quarter century of development and investigation. A review of its development and effectiveness in 1,336 cases. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 1997; 69(1–4 Pt 2):288–99.

Ware JE, Sherbourne CD. The MOS 36-item short-form health survey (SF-36). I. Conceptual framework and item selection. Med Care 1992;30: 473–83.

Weinand ME, Madhusudan H, Davis B, Melgar M. Acute vs. prolonged screening for spinal cord stimulation in chronic pain. Neuromodulation 2003;6(1):15–9.

Wesselink WA, Holsheimer J, King GW, Torgerson NA, Boom HBK. Quantitative aspects of the clinical performance of transverse tripolar spinal cord stimulation. Neuromodulation 1999;2(1):5–14.

Wetzel FT, Hassenbusch S, Oakley JC, et al. Treatment of chronic pain in failed back surgery patients with spinal cord stimulation: A review of current literature and proposal for future investigation. Neuromodulation 2000;3(2):59–74.

Whiteside JL, Walters MD, Mekhail N. Spinal cord stimulation for intractable vulvar pain. A case report. J Reprod Med 2003;48(10):821–3.

Williams DA. Psychological screening and treatment for implantables: A continuum of care. Pain Forum 1996;5:115–7.

Willis KD. A simple approach to outcomes assessment of the therapeutic and cost-benefit success rates for spinal cord stimulation therapy. Anesthesiol Clin North America 2003;21(4):817–23.

de Witte L, Jacobs H, van der Horst F, Luttik A, Joosten J, Philipsen H. The value of the Sickness Impact Profile in the measurement of patient functioning [Dutch]. Gezondheid En Samenleving 1987;8:120–7.

Woo-Sam J, Lo S, Wilemon W. Sex differences in adaptation to peripheral electrostimulating surgical implants. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 1972;53(9): 425–9.

Yearwood TL. Tripolar neurostimulator array in the cervical epidural space for the treatment of bilateral lower extremity pain [Abstract]. Neuromodulation 2006;9(1):18–9.