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Study Objectives: To explore the effect of sleep loss on cognitive function, memory, and vigilance in resident physicians and nonphysicians and on residents' clinical performance.
Design: Meta-analysis of 60 studies on the effect of sleep deprivation, with a total sample of 959 physicians and 1,028 nonphysicians and 5,295 individual effect indexes.
Outcome Measures: Cognitive performance and performance on clinical tasks under acute and partial chronic sleep deprivation. Additional analyses stratified the data by physician/nonphysician, type of performance, and length and type of sleep loss and assessed the combined effect of several of these factors.
Results: Sleep loss of less than 30 hours reduced physicians' overall performance by nearly 1 standard deviation and clinical performance by more than 1.5 standard deviations. The effect of sleep deprivation was larger in nonphysicians than in physicians (corrected d value -.995 vs -.880 ), with
these smaller effects likely resulting from "study factors," primarily variation in the hours without sleep prior and chronically reduced sleep in the "rested" controls in physician studies.
Conclusions: The weekly hours and continuous wakefulness permitted under the current national minimum standards for residents may not completely guard against the negative effect of sleep loss on cognitive and clinical performance. Research is needed to explore the effect of continuous duty periods and chronic partial sleep loss in residents and to assess the clinical and educational consequences of sleep loss. The goal should be to combine scientifically based duty-hour limits with broader efforts to enhance patient safety and resident learning.
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## INTRODUCTION

LONG HOURS AND WORKING OVERNIGHT ARE COMMON IN MANY PROFESSIONS. THEY ARE A PROMINENT FEATURE OF MANY MEDICAL RESIDENCIES, the 3 to 7 years of clinical education in a specialty that follows graduation from medical school. Physicians' work depends on cognitive skills for patient care, and being awake and alert is equally important to the learning process. In 1971, Friedman et al found that residents who had been on call the night before made more errors in reading an electrocardiogram than their rested colleagues. ${ }^{1}$ In the ensuing 30 years, many editorials and articles have discussed the effect of sleep deprivation in residents on patient safety and residents' learning and well-being. The reduction in performance after 24 hours of sleep loss has been equated to the effect of a $.1 \%$ blood alcohol concentration. ${ }^{2,3}$ This comparison has received much attention because a physician with a blood alcohol level of $.1 \%$ would be considered unfit for duty. ${ }^{4}$ A growing focus on the relationship between sleep deprivation and reduced performance contributed to legislative proposals to limit resident hours. ${ }^{5}$ Reasons cited include risk for medical errors and negative outcomes for residents, including depression, motor vehicle accidents and
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needle-stick injuries. ${ }^{6-9}$ In July 2003, the Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education (ACGME) instituted minimum duty-hour standards for approximately 100,000 residents training in accredited programs. ${ }^{10}$ The common minimum standards, shown in Table 1, encompass an 80-hour weekly limit and a limit of 24 hours on continuous duty with an added period of up to 6 hours for the transfer of care and didactic activities. When the ACGME developed these limits, it established the weekly limit to reduce chronic partial sleep loss and the limit on continuous duty ( 24 plus up to 6 hours) to address acute sleep deprivation. ${ }^{11}$

The ACGME began to set standards for resident hours as far back as the 1980s, but initial steps were followed by extended debate within the educational community about the merits of such limits. ${ }^{12-14}$ The establishment of common minimum standards in 2003 was prompted in part by the impending threat of federal regulation. Given the medical profession's reliance on scientific evidence, A factor in the slow progress toward national duty hour limits for residents appears to have been disagreement among

Table 1-The ACGME Common Standards for Resident Duty Hours

- An 80-hour limit per week, averaged over 4 weeks
- 1 day in 7 free from all program responsibilities
- Adequate rest must be provided between duty periods (this should generally be 10 hours)
- In-house call no more often than every third night
- A limit on continuous duty of 24 hours + up to an added 6 hours for transfer of care and didactics; no new patients are allowed after 24 hours
- In-hospital hours during call from home counted
- Moonlighting must be approved by program director
- In-house moonlighting counts toward the weekly 80-hour limit

Accreditation Council on Graduate Medical Education (ACGME) Common Duty Hour Standards, Effective July 1, 2003. ${ }^{10}$
studies of how sleep loss affects performance. An early study concluded that sleep deprivation of less than 46 hours had a minimal effect on human performance. ${ }^{15}$ Some studies of sleep deprivation in physicians have found that it impairs performance, others have shown no effect, and, in a few, the sleep-deprived group appear to perform better than the rested controls. ${ }^{16-17}$ When the literature produces contradictory findings that frustrates the formulation of scientific theory, meta-analysis can be useful. It facilitates the aggregation data across many studies, by transforming data into d values that provide a standardized measure of the difference between the performance of the sleep-deprived and the rested cohorts. Meta-analysis also makes it possible to identify and address moderators and study factors that contribute to contradicting findings. ${ }^{18-21}$ The first goal of this meta-analysis was to offer a quantitative counterpart to reviews of the effect of sleep loss on physician performance; a second goal was to compare the findings in physicians and nonphysicians to explore differences found in earlier reviews; a third goal was to aggregate data on clinical performance; and a fourth was to analyze variables that moderate the effect, including type of performance and time without sleep, and differences between laboratory studies and the field studies and "natural experiments" comprising most studies of resident physicians.

## Literature Review

The literature on sleep loss and performance in physicians encompasses tests of cognitive performance and performance on clinical tasks, such as the early work by Friedman et al. ${ }^{1}$ They have found that sleep deprivation has a negative effect on human performance relevant to physicians' work, including cognitive function, working memory, vigilance, fine motor skills and mood. ${ }^{1,16,17,22-27}$ Studies of the clinical implications of sleep loss have found that it is associated with a greater complication rate and increased errors and lower effectiveness on actual and simulated care tasks. ${ }^{28-36}$ A meta-analysis assessed the effects of duration of sleep loss and type of performance, finding the largest reductions when sleep loss exceeded 46 hours and the pace of performance was dictated by the task. ${ }^{37}$ Another meta-analysis found that sleep loss reduced cognitive performance by -1.55 standard deviations in a sample that included physicians and nonphysicians and had a negative effect on mood that approached 3 standard deviations. ${ }^{38}$ To the author's knowledge, no other meta-analyses of the effect of sleep loss on performance in normal adults have been published.

Overnight call is common in many residency programs. It results in acute sleep deprivation, not infrequently approaching 24 hours without sleep. Two comprehensive qualitative reviews of the effect of sleep loss in resident physicians found that sleep loss consistently resulted in reduced cognitive performance, concentration, and mood. ${ }^{39,40}$ Both reported a decline in performance after 24 hours without sleep. Studies have shown that alertness is higher and errors are lower if continuous wakefulness is limited to 16 hours. ${ }^{41-43}$ A laboratory study in nonphysicians concluded that alertness was compromised once cumulative wakefulness exceeds 15.8 hours. ${ }^{44}$ A review of sleep and performance in residents also highlighted the effect of chronic partial sleep loss. ${ }^{40}$ Chronic partial sleep deprivation is defined as sleep duration of less than 5 to 6 hours for several consecutive nights. ${ }^{45}$ Chronic partial sleep loss is common in residency, with $20 \%$ of residents reporting sleep of 5 hours or less per night, and $66 \%$ indicating that they sleep 6
hours or less. ${ }^{46}$ Residents who report sleeping 5 hours or less are more likely to report having worked in an "impaired condition" and having made medical errors. ${ }^{46}$ Laboratory-based studies have found that chronic partial sleep loss has a negative effect on cognitive performance. ${ }^{45,47-51}$ Research conducted prior to implementation of the ACGME's duty-hour standards showed that residents felt that the sleep loss they experienced was detrimental to their performance and learning and affected their well-being. ${ }^{52}$

Moderator variables may contribute to conflicting findings across studies. Moderators explored in prior meta-analyses of sleep and performance have included cognitive versus motor performance versus mood; the extent of sleep loss; and task duration and task complexity. Qualitative reviews have added subject (physician vs nonphysician), and study quality (laboratory vs field studies, with lower control on the study variables in field studies) as additional potential moderators. ${ }^{39,40}$ Most studies in nonphysicians are controlled laboratory experiments, while the common approach for studying residents involves field studies that compare the performance of postcall residents to a "rested" resident group or study the same residents in a sleep-deprived and a "rested" state, with far less ability to control the independent variables than is present in laboratory studies. Reduced controls in field studies include variability in the number of hours subjects went without sleep before being tested and chronically reduced sleep in the "rested" residents that serve as controls. Additional differences may include a lack of objective or subjective assessments of sleepiness at time of testing ${ }^{53}$ and absence of pretest practice to reduce "practice" or "learning" effects that may mask the effect of sleep deprivation, especially if tests use infrequently practiced activities or have readily discernible solutions. ${ }^{54}$ Practice effects have been documented for neuropsychometric tests, including those used to assess the effects of sleep loss. ${ }^{55,56}$

Type of performance is important because mood and vigilance appear to be affected most by sleep loss, while other aspects of cognitive performance are less affected and gross motor performance is quite resilient. ${ }^{37,38,40,57}$ Hours without sleep influences the effect, with long-term total sleep deprivation having the most pronounced negative effect. ${ }^{37,38}$ Length and complexity of the test or task, and whether it is self-paced or performed at forced speed, also influence the effect. ${ }^{37,38,58}$ Finally, interindividual variation in how sleep loss affects performance may be a moderator. Some individuals appear to be profoundly and others minimally affected by the same number of hours without sleep. ${ }^{59-61}$

## Methodology

## Selection and Coding of Studies

Identification of studies started with an electronic search of the literature beginning in 1971, the year a study of sleep loss and performance in resident physicians first appeared in a United States medical or scientific journal. The literature search encompassed studies of sleep loss in physicians and nonphysicians. Databases searched included Medline, PsycLIT, SOCIOFILE, EBSCOHOST Academic Search Elite, and Web of Science. The reference sections of documents were reviewed for works not found in the electronic records. Contacting researchers for information on unpublished studies yielded a few abstracts and dissertation monographs. Studies were included if they (1) assessed the effect of sleep deprivation on cognitive function, memory, vigilance, or clinical performance, selected because they are relevant to physi-

Table 2-The Effect of Sleep Loss on Performance (Overall and by Subject)

cian functioning; ${ }^{39,40}$ (2) involved adults of at least 19 years of age; (3) reported data that could be transformed into a d value (the standardized difference between the sleep-deprived and the rested groups); and (4) provided the number of hours participants went without sleep. For studies that assessed the effect of napping, caffeine, and drugs, only the values for the groups that did not receive the intervention and for the non-sleep-deprived controls were included because the goal was to assess the effect of sleep loss in the absence of these alertness-promoting strategies.

The search produced 60 studies that met the selection criteria (listed in Appendix 1). ${ }^{1,3,26,2,7,2,9,30,4,8,51,58,6,6-112}$ All were in English, though several reported research performed in Europe, Asia, and India. Most studies had been published in the scientific literature. In addition to extracting the data to calculate d values, each study was coded to record information for the examination of potential moderator variables. Twenty studies used resident physicians as subjects, and 40 involved nonphysician participants. The total sample included 959 physicians and 1,028 nonphysician participants and 5,295 individual effect indexes ( 2,345 from physician and 2,950 from nonphysicians). Average sample size was 31.2 and ranged from 6 to 424, with 1 large study contributing more than $40 \%$ of the overall physician sample. Most studies reported participants' age, and the sample-weighted average was 24.7 years ( 27.3 for physicians, 22.9 for nonphysicians). Thirty-nine studies analyzed sleep loss of 24 to 30 hours, the period of continuous duty permitted under the ACGME's new duty-hour standards. Chronic partial sleep deprivation was of interest due to its prevalence in residents. Only 6 studies assessed the effects of partial sleep loss, and only 1 of these dealt with resident physicians, although a coexistence of acute and chronic sleep deprivation can be assumed in all studies of postcall residents. Another 61 studies that examined sleep loss and performance had to be excluded from the analysis because the authors did not provide the results that could be transformed into d values or the studies lacked control groups or baseline measures. This included several important studies of the effect of acute sleep loss on residents that did not collect data for rested controls. ${ }^{41,4,2,52}$.

## Meta-Analytic Procedures

The National Library of Medicine defines meta-analysis as "a quantitative method of combining the results of independent studies (usually drawn from the published literature) and synthe-

Table 3-The Effects of Type of Performance and Extent of Sleep Loss

| Analysis | T | Subjects, | $\delta$ | Lower 95\% CI | $\begin{gathered} \text { Upper } \\ \text { 95\% CI } \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{S}^{2}{ }_{1} \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of Performance |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clin. performance | 635 | 552 | -1.536 | -1.092 | -1.981 | 89 |
| Cognitive function | 2,025 | 1,429 | -. 564 | -. 406 | -. 722 | 67 |
| Memory | 1,049 | 815 | -. 806 | -. 574 | -1.038 | 69 |
| Vigilance | 1,610 | 835 | -1.330 | -1.124 | -1.536 | 77 |
| Sleep Loss, h |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 to < 30 | 2,899 | 1,218 | -1.142 | -1.006 | -1.278 | 71 |
| 30 to $<54$ | 1,498 | 460 | -. 553 | -. 332 | -. 784 | 78 |
| 54 | 337 | 97 | -1.589 | -1.056 | -2.116 | 85 |
| Chronic | 561 | 281 | -. 886 | -. 750 | -1,025 | 24 |
| Partial |  |  |  |  |  |  |

T, number of effect indexes; $\delta$, average effect corrected for measurement error; CI , confidence interval; $\mathrm{S}^{2}$, percentage of remaining variance not accounted for by statistical artifact.
sizing summaries and conclusions. ${ }^{1113}$ This meta-analysis used the Hunter and Schmidt approach, which assesses whether a construct (the effect of sleep loss on cognitive performance) has general validity. ${ }^{21}$ It has been used to cumulate the results of studies of human performance in the behavioral and social sciences ${ }^{114}$ and is an appropriate method for a meta-analyzing the effects of sleep loss on performance in healthy adults, such as the residents and volunteers in laboratory studies. It was the method used in both earlier meta-analyses of sleep loss and performance. ${ }^{37,38}$ Other meta-analytic approaches and statistical models for combining the results of independent studies exist, especially in the clinical trial literature. ${ }^{15,116}$ These methods have recently been compared to the Hunter and Schmidt approach. ${ }^{117}$

The measure of effect size used is the $d$ value, the difference between the sleep-deprived and the rested group, divided by the pooled standard deviation to allow an unbiased comparison of the results across different studies. d Values were computed using the Hunter and Schmidt formula, ${ }^{21}$ and their mathematical signs were adjusted as needed to ensure that reduced performance by the sleep-deprived cohort was reflected by a negative value. When studies reported more than 1 effect, all were included, as is commonly done in meta-analysis. ${ }^{21}$ Because the focus is on how sleep loss affects the performance of individuals, a repeated-measures design, in which the same individual performs in a sleep-deprived and a rested condition, is the appropriate design for the analysis. Studies using an independent group design were converted using the method described by Morris and DeShon. ${ }^{118}$ Studies were weighted by the inverse of their sampling error, giving greater weight to studies with large samples and lower sampling error. ${ }^{119}$ The square root of the average reliability of the cognitive tests in the studies was used to adjust the effect indexes for measurement error. ${ }^{21}$ Adjustment increased effect indexes by $8 \%$ to $14 \%$.

## RESULTS

Sleep Loss and Performance in Physicians and Nonphysicians Table 2 shows the results of the overall meta-analysis and the data for resident physicians and nonphysicians. Across all studies in the analysis, sleep loss reduced cognitive performance by

## Subset for Clinical Performance



Subset for Chronic Sleep Deprivation


Figure 1-Estimated effect sizes and 95\% confidence intervals for 2 subset analyses. The number below each effect index is the reference number of the study.
nearly 1 standard deviation (-.951). When physicians and nonphysicians were analyzed separately, the reduction in performance was smaller in physicians ( -.880 vs. -.995 ). Table 3 shows the results disaggregated by type of performance and length and type of sleep deprivation. This shows that the effects of sleep loss for vigilance and clinical performance were larger than those for memory and cognitive function, and the confidence intervals for vigilance and clinical performance and those for memory and cognitive function did not overlap. The analysis distinguished between 3 time increments. Sleep loss of 54 hours or more had the largest effect, but sleep loss of less than 30 hours-the period of continuous duty permitted under the ACGME's standards-had the second-largest effect. Chronic partial sleep loss also resulted in a significant reduction in cognitive performance, with a correct d value of -.886 .

The confidence intervals in Tables 2 and 3 are an index of the precision of the estimates, which is higher when many studies are combined. ${ }^{21}$ A $95 \%$ confidence interval reflects a probability of 19 to 1 that the range within the bounds includes the true population value. The last columns in Tables 2 and 3 show the variance after correcting for measurement error. Schmidt and Hunter suggest that remaining variance of $25 \%$ or less suggests homogeneity in the effect across studies. ${ }^{119}$ The data in Table 3 suggest that unexplained variance remains in all data subsets except for chronic partial sleep loss.

Figure 1 compares data for individual studies and the aggregated effect indexes for studies of clinical performance and chronic sleep deprivation, showing the difference between the 2 data sets, highlighting the greater variance and wider confidence intervals for most studies of clinical performance, compared to studies of

Table 4-Hierarchical Analysis by Subject, Type of Performance and Degree of Sleep Loss

| $\mathbf{2 4}$ to < $\mathbf{3 0}$ hours Acute Sleep Loss |  |  |  |  | Chronic Partial Sleep Loss |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analysis | T | $\delta$ | $\mathrm{S}_{1}^{2} \%$ | Q | T | $\delta$ | $\mathbf{S}^{2}$ \% | Q |
| MDs | 1,862 | -. 986 | 77 | 178.9 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Excl. clin. performance | 1,227 | -. 701 | 59 | 66.3 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Cognitive function | 697 | -. 560 | 81 | 42.6 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Memory | 316 | -. 771 | 21 | 15.2 | -- | -- | -- |  |
| Vigilance | 214 | -. 904 | 63 | 18.9 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Clin. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Performance | 635 | -1.536 | 89 | 128.9 | -- | -- | -- |  |
| Nonphysicians | 1,037 | -1.331 | 66 | 189.3 |  | -. 886 | 24 | 12.9* |
| Cognitive function. | 509 | -. 704 | 20 | 34.8* | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Memory | 178 | -1.237 | 51 | 26.5 |  | -. 794 | 03 | 3.1* |
| Vigilance | 350 | -2.083 | 86 | 174.9 |  | -. 977 | 14 | 7.0* |
| T, number of effect indexes in sample; $\delta$, average effect corrected for measurement error; $\mathrm{S}^{2}$, $\%$ of remaining variance not accounted for by statistical artifact; $\mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{Q}$ statistic for homogeneity in true effect across studies. <br> *Indicates Q statistic not significant at the .005 level, suggesting homogeneity. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

chronic partial sleep deprivation.
The finding of a -.886 reduction in performance for chronic sleep loss is consistent with the literature. ${ }^{46-51}$ It contrasts with Pilcher and Huffcutt's finding of a -3.01 standard deviation reduction in performance. ${ }^{38}$ A partial explanation is that 4 of 6 studies in their analysis were of postcall residents, which this metaanalysis assigned to the rubric short-term sleep loss, due to the assumed underlying chronic sleep deprivation in the "rested" controls under in these studies. Alternative assignment of these studies to the "chronic partial sleep loss" category increases the negative effect somewhat (-.951, data not shown), but it remained smaller than that found by Pilcher and Huffcutt.

Legend for Figure 1: Shows estimated effect indexes (corrected d values) and $95 \%$ confidence intervals for the outcomes of individual studies included in the subset and subset pooled effect size (shown by dotted line).

## Results of the Hierarchical Analysis of Moderators

Table 4 shows the results for the comprehensive moderator analysis for the 2 types of sleep loss most relevant to resident performance under the current ACGME standards. It shows data for physicians versus nonphysicians grouped by type of performance under sleep loss of 24 to 30 hours and chronic sleep deprivation, showing the combined effect of several moderators. The effect of sleep loss of 24 to 30 hours was smaller in physicians than in nonphysicians, and, when clinical performance was excluded, the difference became statistically significant ( .05 level). Clinical performance showed the largest decrement in physicians (-1.536). Vigilance showed the largest decline in nonphysicians (2.083). Chronic partial sleep loss in physicians could not be metaanalyzed, as this group included only 1 study.

Table 4 shows 2 indexes for the homogeneity of the effect across studies, the percentage of variance not attributed for by sampling and measurement error ( $\mathrm{S}^{2}{ }_{1} \%$ ) and a Q statistic. ${ }^{21}$ For 4

Table 5-"Study Factors" for Studies Including Less Than 30 Hours of Sleep Loss in Physicians

| Authors, Year | Control | Definition of Sleep Loss/Rested | Quality of Assessment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Browne et al, 199427 | Low | Postcall; less than 4 h of sleep, rested greater than 4 h of sleep. | Participants kept sleep logs; test used articles to assess short-term recall (practice effect not an issue). |
| Deaconson et al, 1988 ${ }^{70}$ | Low | Postcall; less than 4 h of sleep, rested greater than 4 h of sleep. | Participants kept sleep logs and recorded subjective fatigue. |
| Eastridge et al, 2003 ${ }^{76}$ | Low | Postcall; no difference in mean h of sleep between groups ( $\mathrm{P}=.17$ ). | Participants tested between 8 and 11 am; participants kept sleep logs; recorded subjective fatigue. |
| Engel et al, 1987 ${ }^{77}$ | High | Postcall; mean 3.6 h sleep, rested averaged 7.3 h sleep. | Testing involved simulated patient history. No added recording of conditions at testing. |
| Fluck et al, 1998 ${ }^{78}$ | High | Postcall; mean sleep of $2.75 \mathrm{~h} \pm 38 \mathrm{~min}$ over 28 h , rested not defined. | Subjects' sleepiness was rated in the rested and sleepdeprived condition. |
| Friedman et al, 1971 ${ }^{1}$ | High | Postcall; mean 1.8 h sleep in study group, rested averaged 7 h sleep. | Time of test administration varied; incentive offered to interns who made fewest mistakes. |
| Grantcharov et al, 200129 | High | Post "night-shift;" less than 3 h of sleep, rested not defined. | All participants tested at 9:30 am, testing scheme thought to reduce practice effects. |
| Hart et al, 198784 | High | Postcall; mean 2.7 h sleep, rested average 7.9 h sleep. | Resident recorded the number of hours slept for 2 nights prior to testing. |
| Hawkins et al, 1985 ${ }^{85}$ | Low | Postcall; less than 5 h of sleep, rested greater than 5 h of sleep. | Residents were dually categorized by their acute and chronic sleep deprivation. |
| Howard et al, 2003 ${ }^{89}$ | High | Participants kept aware at least 25 h prior to testing on simulated case; rested relieved of duties until 10 am . | Four-hour simulated anesthesia case; sleep monitored via wrist activity monitors and sleep log; sleepiness assessed via Stanford Sleepiness Scale. |
| Jacques et al, 1990 ${ }^{90}$ | Low | Postcall; sleep deprived and rested not further defined. | Tested using "in-training exam, practice effect may not be an issue. No assessment of hours of sleep. |
| Kannan et al, 1997 ${ }^{92}$ | Low | Postcall; Less than 4 h of sleep, rested not defined. | Testing spaced 1 week apart to reduce practice effect. |
| Lingenfelser et al, 1994* ${ }^{26}$ | High | Postcall; sleep-deprived not further defined, rested more than 6 h of sleep. | Residents kept sleep diary; all participants tested at 8:00 am. |
| Mak and Spurgeon, $2003{ }^{96}$ | Low | Postcall, slept mean 2.9 (1.0) h during 29.3 (3.8) h of call, sleep prior to testing in rested condition not defined. | Testing in sleep-deprived and rested condition spaced out a mean of 7 days. |
| Reznick and Folse, 1987 ${ }^{103}$ | Low | Postcall; less than 3 h of sleep, rested greater than 5 h of sleep. | Participants tested between 8 am and 6 pm , only 12 of 21 participants completed testing in both conditions. |
| Richardson et al, 1996 ${ }^{104}$ | High | Postcall; tested on the morning after call; study group average 3.7 h of sleep. | Sleep-deprived tested in the morning of post-call day; sleep recorded via electroencephalogram. |
| Stone et al, 2000 ${ }^{107}$ | Low | Postcall; sleep-deprived and rested not further defined. | Tested using ABSITE exam, practice effect may not be an issue. No assessment of hours of sleep. |
| Taffinder et al, 199830 | High | Sleep-deprived tested after night of no sleep; rested after an "undisturbed night." | Participants were pre-trained in the simulator to reduce practice effects, further controlled by Latin square design. |

ABSITE refers to the American Board of Surgery In-Training Exam.
subsets-cognitive performance in nonphysicians under less than 30 hours of sleep loss and cognitive performance, memory, and vigilance in nonphysicians under partial chronic sleep depriva-tion-both indexes suggested homogeneity. Unexplained variance remains in all other sets, suggesting residual study factors or moderators. Figure 2 presents the data for short-term sleep deprivation, disaggregated by subject and type of performance, highlighting the similarity in the patterns for physicians and nonphysicians and the smaller effect sizes in studies of physicians.

## The Role of "Study Factors"

Some studies of physicians found little or no reduction in per-
formance for the sleep-deprived group. One objective of this metaanalysis is to explore whether this was due to "study factors," as suggested in a qualitative review in 2002. ${ }^{40}$ Nearly all studies in nonphysicians were conducted in sleep laboratories. In contrast, most data on sleep loss in physicians came from field studies in which postcall residents were compared with their colleagues. To assess the implications of the different approaches, the analysis sought information on whether field studies of residents included in the meta-analysis used controls that were similar to laboratory studies. Examples encompass exact recording of the number of hours subjects went without sleep, assessing sleepiness prior to testing, and allowing pretest practice to guard against practice effects that may mask reduced performance in sleep-deprived subjects.

Table 5 categorizes the 15 studies of sleep deprivation of less than 30 hours duration in physicians that quantified the hours of sleep or wakefulness into "high control" and "low control" studies. Another 3 physician studies did not offer information on the hours the "sleep-deprived" postcall group had gone without sleep. Of the 15 studies, those that offered clear definitions of "sleep-deprived" and "rested" and assessed sleepiness or used other study controls were designated as "high" control, the remainder was designated as "low" control. Figure 3 shows that, for the 11 effect indexes for cognitive function and memory, the sample-weighted average effect size was larger in high control studies ( -1.16 vs .25). This suggests a relationship between the use of controls that make field studies more like studies conducted in sleep laboratories and effect size. Only studies assessing cognitive function and memory were included to provide a homogenous set of effects for this analysis.

Added support for the role of study factors comes from the results of an alternative analysis of the data for physicians that excluded the findings of 1 study with a very large sample (424 participants) ${ }^{107}$ classified as a "low" control study. When data for this study were excluded, the performance reduction in sleep-deprived physicians became comparable with that of nonphysicians (corrected d value $=-.965$, compared to -.995 ), and the decrement for clinical performance increased to -2.084 (data not shown). Alternative calculations that exclude data from studies with very large sample sizes are commonly done in meta-analysis to assess whether the results of 1 study bias the findings.

## DISCUSSION

This meta-analysis found that cognitive performance in physicians is affected by sleep deprivation, confirming the results of earlier literature reviews. ${ }^{39,40}$ Smaller effect sizes in physician studies likely relate to difficulty in field studies to control the exact hours of sleep loss or to compensate for the chronic reduced sleep in the "rested" cohort, not differences in how physicians and nonphysicians respond to sleep loss. In many studies of medical residents included in this analysis, the rested controls met the clinical definition for chronic sleep deprivation (less than 5 to 6 hours of sleep for a period of several nights), and both acute and chronic sleep loss likely were present in the study group. ${ }^{40,45,120}$

The analysis showed that components of performance important to the work of physicians respond differently to sleep deprivation. The published literature has suggested that vigilance is
most susceptible, ${ }^{121-124}$ but this analysis found a negative effect on clinical performance that was $70 \%$ greater than that for vigilance. This finding is important. It represents the first aggregation of studies of the effect of sleep deprivation on physicians' clinical performance and counters commentary that residents' reduced performance on cognitive tests would not translate into reduced clinical performance. ${ }^{125}$ At the same time, the results are tentative because of the limited sample and the heterogeneity of studies, which included clinical tasks, tests of clinical performance, and "high-fidelity" clinical simulations.

The findings of the effect of sleep loss on residents' cognitive and clinical performance have implications for the effort to set limits on resident hours. Both acute and chronic partial sleep loss is prevalent in many residents, and the ACGME minimum dutyhour standards seek to address both. Chronic sleep loss has been formally addressed in just 1 study of physicians, which found reduced performance only for acute sleep loss. ${ }^{85}$ Another focal area is the length of the call and the postcall period, given the continued emphasis on in-house call in many specialties. The ACGME limits continuous duty to 24 hours plus a period of up to 6 hours for transfer of care and didactics. The finding that sleep loss of 24 to 30 hours produces a -.986 reduction in physicians' aggregate cognitive and clinical performance suggests that residents may experience significant performance decrements under the current minimum standards. Applying Picher and Huffcutt's pragmatic


Figure 2-Effect of short-term sleep loss on performance by type of subject and type of study. The graph shows average effect size corrected for measurement error and standard error of the corrected effect sizes.


Figure 3-Estimated effect sizes and $95 \%$ confidence intervals for 2 subset analyses. The number below each effect index is the reference number of the study.
interpretation, individuals at the middle of the cohort with 24 hours to 30 hours of sleep deprivation performed comparably to individuals at the $15^{\text {th }}$ percentile of the rested group. ${ }^{38}$ For clinical performance ( $\mathrm{d}=-1.536$ ), sleep-deprived physicians performed at the seventh percentile of the comparison group. Since the ACGME established its limit on continuous duty in 2003, there have been requests to relax it in the interest of maintaining continuity of care, acculturating residents to the expectations of independent practice, and preserving time for clinical learning. ${ }^{126-128}$ There also have been calls to further reduce the maximum continuous hours permitted, with support provided by the findings of 2 studies in which a 16-hour limit increased alertness and reduced medication errors by first-year residents rotating in a critical-care setting. ${ }^{41,42}$

## Limitations

This study has limitations common to meta-analysis, including the inability to include all studies that investigate a given phenomenon, because of data, technical, and other exclusion factors. Another limitation may be the small number of nonpublished studies included. While there is disagreement about the importance of unpublished data, some feel that excluding it results in a bias toward studies that produce significant findings in the expected direction. ${ }^{129}$ The author's conscientious search for nonpublished materials and the inclusion of nonsignificant and positive effect indexes should reduce this concern. The analysis solely is concerned with the number of hours without sleep, although the effect of sleep loss on performance is more complex. It is influenced by time of day and circadian rhythm, which produce peaks and valleys in performance throughout the day that this analysis was not able to assess. ${ }^{69,86,130,131}$ Four aspects of sleep deprivation of interest to performance in physicians-chronic partial sleep deprivation and the effects of task duration, task pacing, and task complexity - could not be analyzed due to a lack of primary studies. Finally, this meta-analysis offers no evidence about the prevalence of sleep loss in residents. Studies done prior to the ACGME's institution of duty-hour limits found that many residents averaged more than 100 hours per week of work. ${ }^{132,133}$ Data under the new limits are being collected and suggest that hours have declined in specialties that previously exceeded 80 weekly hours. ${ }^{134}$

## Areas for Future Research

Further research is needed in several areas to enhance our understanding of the effects of sleep loss in residents. One area is chronic partial sleep deprivation, common in residency, yet only 1 study assessed its effect in physicians. ${ }^{85}$ Another involves the hours of continuous service, an area in which studies are beginning to raise concerns with the current length of the on-call and postcall period. ${ }^{41,42,44}$ Given the presumed continued prevalence of chronically reduced sleep and long continuous duty periods in many residencies, research is needed to find the limit on weekly and continuous hours and the organization of call and continuity experiences that will optimally foster the dual goals of resident learning and safe and effective patient care. Research also should analyze the effect of sleep deprivation on learning, a primary goal of residency.

No meta-analysis of sleep loss in physicians would be complete without discussing the link to human error. In 1 study, $41 \%$ of residents reported that their sleep deprivation had contributed
to a serious medical error. ${ }^{135}$ The reductions in clinical performance found in this meta-analysis highlight the need for further study of the clinical consequences of sleep loss and the benefits of duty-hour limits to patient safety. This research will not be easy to conduct or interpret. An analysis of the effect of interventions to reduce resident hours concluded that the evidence was insufficient to determine that these interventions improved patient safety, ${ }^{136}$ and a retrospective review of New York's statewide database to assess the benefits of its state-imposed limits found that reductions in mortality were comparable in teaching and nonteaching institutions and could not be attributed to the limits on resident hours. ${ }^{137}$ Errors result from a combination of individual and system factors, ${ }^{138,139}$ and resident performance must be viewed in the context of a host of factors in their learning environment that may enhance or detract from patient safety. Duty-hour limits are 1 important aspect. Research is needed to enhance the educational community's ability to adapt patient care and learning models to the reductions in resident hours. This includes efforts to improve the systems for patient hand-off and to counteract the effects of reduced practice in surgical residencies and diminished continuity of care. ${ }^{140,141}$ Finally, there is a need to find the best ways to educate residents and teaching faculty about the science of sleep and performance, recognition of sleep deprivation and fatigue, and strategies to promote alertness. ${ }^{11,142}$
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APPENDIX 1—List of Studies Included in the Meta-Analysis

| Authors, Year | Type | Subject | N | Sleep Loss | Performance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anderson et al, 2000 ${ }^{62}$ | IG | Other | 51 | Chronic Partial | Vigilance |
| Angus and Heslegrave, 1985 ${ }^{63}$ | RM | Other | 12 | >54 hours | Cognitive function, memory, vigilance |
| Beaumont et al, $2001{ }^{64}$ | RM | Other | 16 | $>54 \mathrm{hrs}$ | Vigilance |
| Belenky et al, 2003 | RM | Other | 66 | Chronic Partial | Vigilance |
| Blagrove et al, 199548 | IG | Other | 14 | Chronic Partial | Cognitive function, memory, vigilance |
| Bonnet, 1991 ${ }^{66}$ | IG | Other | 44 | $<30 \mathrm{hrs}$ | Cognitive function, memory |
| Bonnet et al, 1995 | RM | Other | 140 | $30-<54$ hrs | Cognitive Function, memory |
| Browne et al, 1994 ${ }^{27}$ | RM | Physician | 35 | $<30 \mathrm{hrs}$ | Memory |
| Caldwell and DeLuc, 199888 | RM | Other | 12 | $30-<54 \mathrm{hrs}$ | Cognitive function |
| Caldwell and Ramspott, 199888 | RM | Other | 17 | $<30 \mathrm{hrs}$ | Cognitive function, vigilance |
| Casagrande et al, 199769 | RM | Other | 20 | $30-<54 \mathrm{hrs}$ | Vigilance |
| Deaconson et al, 1988 ${ }^{70}$ | RM | Physician | 26 | $<30 \mathrm{hrs}$ | Cognitive function, memory, vigilance |
| DeGennaro et al, 2001 ${ }^{71}$ | RM | Other | 8 | $30-<54 \mathrm{hrs}$ | Vigilance |
| Dinges et al, 1997 ${ }^{51}$ | RM | Other | 16 | Chronic Partial | Memory, vigilance |
| Doran et al, 2001 ${ }^{72}$ | IG | Other | 28 | $30-<54 \mathrm{hrs}$ | Vigilance |
| Dorrian et al, 2000 ${ }^{73}$ | RM | Other | 18 | $<30 \mathrm{hrs}$ | Cognitive function, vigilance |
| Drummond et al, 1999 ${ }^{74}$ | RM | Other | 13 | $<30 \mathrm{hrs}$ | Cognitive function |
| Drummond et al, 200175 | RM | Other | 13 | $<30 \mathrm{hrs}$ | Memory |
| Eastridge et al, 2003 ${ }^{76}$ | RM | Physician | 35 | $<30 \mathrm{hrs}$ | Clinical performance |
| Engel et al, 198777 | RM | Physician | 7 | < 30 hrs | Clinical performance |
| Fluck et al, 1998 ${ }^{78}$ | RM | Physician | 6 | $<30 \mathrm{hrs}$ | Memory |
| Forest and Godbout, 2000 ${ }^{79}$ | IG | Other | 18 | $<30 \mathrm{hrs}$ | Memory, vigilance |
| Friedman et al, 1971 ${ }^{1}$ | RM | Physician | 14 | $<30 \mathrm{hrs}$ | Clinical performance |
| Gillberg et al, 1994* | IG | Other | 18 | $<30 \mathrm{hrs}$ | Vigilance |
| Gottlieb et al, 1993 ${ }^{81}$ | IG | Physician | 86 | $30-<54 \mathrm{hrs}$ | Cognitive function, memory, vigilance |
| Grantcharov et al, 2001 ${ }^{29}$ | RM | Physician | 14 | $<30 \mathrm{hrs}$ | Clinical performance |
| Harrison and Horne, 199982 | IG | Other | 20 | $30-<54 \mathrm{hrs}$ | Memory, vigilance |
| Harrison and Horne, 200083 | RM | Other | 30 | $<30 \mathrm{hrs}$ | Cognitive function |
| Hart et al, 1987 ${ }^{84}$ | IG | Physician | 30 | $<30 \mathrm{hrs}$ | Vigilance |
| Hawkins et al, 198585 | IG | Physician | 68 | $<30$ hrs, Chronic Partial | Cognitive function |
| Heuer et al, 199886 | RM | Other | 8 | $>54 \mathrm{hrs}$ | Memory |
| Hockey et al, 199887 | RM | Other | 16 | $<30 \mathrm{hrs}$ | Cognitive function |
| Horne, $1988{ }^{88}$ | IG | Other | 24 | $30-<54 \mathrm{hrs}$ | Cognitive function |
| Howard et al, 2003 ${ }^{89}$ | RM | Physician | 12 | $<30 \mathrm{hrs}$ | Memory, vigilance, clin. Performance |
| Jacques et al, 1990 ${ }^{90}$ | RM | Physician | 26 | $<30 \mathrm{hrs}$ | Cognitive function |
| Jaskowski et al, 199791 | RM | Other | 13 | $30-<54 \mathrm{hrs}$ | Vigilance |
| Kannan et al, 199792 | RM | Physician | 40 | $<30 \mathrm{hrs}$ | Cognitive function, memory, vigilance |
| Kim et al, 200193 | RM | Other | 18 | $<30 \mathrm{hrs}$ | Cognitive function, memory |
| Lamond and Dawson, 19993 | RM | Other | 22 | $<30 \mathrm{hrs}$ | Cogn, function, vigilance |
| Linde et al, 199994 | RM | Other | 12 | $30-<54 \mathrm{hrs}$ | Cogn, function, vigilance |
| Lingenfelser et al, 1994* ${ }^{26}$ | RM | Physician | 40 | $<30$ hours Cogn | itive funct., memory, vigilance, clin. Perform. |
| McCarthy and Waters, 199795 | RM | Other | 10 | $<30$ hours | Vigilance |
| Mak and Spurgeon, 2003 ${ }^{96}$ | RM | Physician | 21 | $<30$ hours | Cognitive function |
| Manly et al, 2002 ${ }^{97}$ | RM | Other | 8 | $<30$ hours | Memory, vigilance |
| Matsomoto et al, 2002 ${ }^{98}$ | IG | Other | 71 | $30-54$ hours | Cognitive function, memory, vigilance |
| Neri et al, $1995{ }^{99}$ | RM | Other | 10 | $<30$ hours | Vigilance |
| Pigeau et al, 1995 ${ }^{100}$ | RM | Other | 41 | > 54 hours | Cognitive function |
| Pilcher and Walters, $1997{ }^{101}$ | IG | Other | 44 | $<30$ hours | Cognitive function |
| Polzella, 1975 ${ }^{102}$ | RM | Other | 5 | $<30$ hours | Memory |
| Reznick and Folse, 1987 ${ }^{103}$ | IG | Physician | 33 | $<30$ hours | Cognitive function, memory |
| Richardson et al, 1996 ${ }^{104}$ | IG | Physician | 13 | $<30$ hours | Memory |
| Robbins and Gottlieb, 1990 ${ }^{105}$ | RM | Physician | 23 | $30-54$ hours | Cognitive function, memory, vigilance |
| Steyvers, $1987{ }^{106}$ | RM | Other | 16 | $<30$ hours | Vigilance |
| Stone et al, 2000 ${ }^{107}$ | IG | Physician | 424 | $<30$ hours | Cognitive function, clin. Performance |
| Taffinder et al, 199830 | RM | Physician | 6 | $<30$ hours | Clinical performance |
| Thomas et al, 2000 ${ }^{108}$ | RM | Other | 17 | $<30$ hours | Memory |
| Webb, $1985{ }^{109}$ | RM | Other | 6 | $<30$ hours | Cognitive function, memory, vigilance |
| Wesensten et al, 2002 ${ }^{110}$ | RM | Other | 50 | Chronic Partial | Memory, vigilance |
| Wimmer et al, 1992 ${ }^{111}$ | IG | Other | 25 | $<30$ hours | Cognitive function |
| Wright et al, 1997112 | RM | Other | 36 | $30-54$ hours | Cogn., function, vigilance |

IG refers to independent groups; RM, repeated measures

