doi: 10.1093/toxsci/kfv149 Advance Access Publication Date: July 16, 2015 Research Article

Low-Dose Cadmium Causes Metabolic and Genetic Dysregulation Associated With Fatty Liver Disease in Mice

Young-Mi Go,* Roy L. Sutliff,* Joshua D. Chandler,* Rahman Khalidur,[†] Bum-Yong Kang,* Frank A. Anania,[†] Michael Orr,* Li Hao,* Bruce A. Fowler,* and Dean P. Jones^{*,1}

*Division of Pulmonary, Allergy and Critical Care Medicine, and [†]Digestive Diseases, Department of Medicine, Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia 30322

¹To whom correspondence should be addressed at Emory University, 205 Whitehead Research Center, Atlanta, GA 30322. Fax: (404) 712-2974. E-mail: dpjones@emory.edu

ABSTRACT

Cadmium (Cd) is present in food at low levels and accumulates in humans throughout life because it is not effectively excreted. Cd from smoking or occupational exposure shows adverse effects on health, but the mechanistic effect of Cd at low dietary intake levels is poorly studied. Epidemiology studies found that nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), common in U.S. adults, is associated with Cd burden. In cell studies, we found that environmental low-dose Cd oxidized proteins and stimulated inflammatory signaling. However, little is known about low-dose Cd effects on liver function and associated metabolic pathways in vivo. We investigated effects of low-level Cd exposure on liver gene transcripts, metabolites, and associated metabolic pathways and function after challenging mice with Cd (10 mg/l) by drinking water. Results showed liver Cd in treated mice was similar to adult humans without occupational or smoking exposures and 10-fold higher than control mouse values. Pathway analysis of significantly altered liver genes and metabolites mapped to functional pathways of lipid metabolism, cell death and mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation. These are well-recognized pathways associated with NAFLD. Cd-treated mice had higher liver enzymes in plasma and a trend toward fat accumulation in liver. To verify low-dose Cd-induced stimulation of cell death pathways, phosphorylation of c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) was examined in cultured hepatic cells. Consistent with mouse liver data, low-dose Cd stimulated JNK activation. Together, the results show that low-dose Cd exposure causes liver function changes consistent with a role in NAFLD and possibly also nonalcoholic steatohepatitis.

Key words: nonalcoholic fatty liver disease; environmental toxicant; apoptosis; metabolomics; transcriptomics

An extensive literature exists for Cd toxicity from occupational exposures and smoking, but critical issues remain for low-dose environmental Cd exposures. A key issue is that Cd is not effectively excreted and accumulates in humans (10–35 year half-life) (Goyer, 1997; Peters *et al.*, 2010). Most of the Cd *in vivo* is protein bound (Nordberg *et al.*, 1985), and analyses of human organs have shown the greatest accumulations in liver and kidney. Both liver and kidney mass decline with age (Tiran *et al.*,

1995), potentially increasing the Cd burden with aging (Ruiz et al., 2010). The total Cd burden is reflected by the urinary Cd output, which increases with age for both men and women (Ruiz et al., 2010). Food is the major source of Cd exposure for nonsmokers; daily intake of Cd for adult males and females is about 0.35 and 0.30 μ g/kg, respectively (ATSDR, 2012). The European Food Safety Authority has recommended a tolerable intake of 2.5 μ g/kg per week (Authority, 2011), which

© The Author 2015. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Society of Toxicology. All rights reserved. For Permissions, please e-mail: journals.permissions@oup.com



approximates the average Cd intake by U.S. adults. Our earlier in vitro studies with Cd at concentrations expected from food showed widespread protein oxidation in cell cultures (Go *et al.*, 2013a).

In a previous mechanistic study of liver mitochondrial protein oxidation in mice, an acute high dose of Cd caused oxidation of mitochondrial proteins related to fatty acid and branch chain amino acid metabolism (Go et al., 2014). Associated changes in metabolites of these pathways suggested a possible role of these changes the development of fatty liver, but this has not been studied at low, environmental levels. Excess lipid deposition in liver is a critical abnormal characteristic of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD). NAFLD is defined as hepatic steatosis without significant alcohol consumption and is common among U.S. population (19.0%) (Lazo et al., 2013) and in the elderly (Bertolotti et al., 2014; Hamaguchi et al., 2012). The prevalence of NAFLD is increasing in association with obesity (Adams et al., 2005; Williams et al., 2011), although lean NAFLD also occurs in a minority of cases (Chen et al., 2006; Kim et al., 2004). NAFLD can progress to nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), a major cause of cirrhosis resulting in permanent liver damage. Epidemiologic research using National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) III data shows that after correction for all other known risk factors, fatty liver disease and liver-related mortality are associated with urinary Cd levels (Hyder et al., 2013). A recent study using NHANES data further found a substantial increase in prevalence of NAFLD in the U.S. population during two decades, from 18% in 1988-1991 to 31% in 2011-2012 (Ruhl and Everhart, 2015). Of special concern, the prevalence of suspected NAFLD has more than doubled over the past 20 years in adolescents (Welsh et al., 2013), providing an expectation for a continuing increase in NAFLD during coming decades.

The liver functions in many aspects of metabolic homeostasis, and development of NAFLD is associated with dysregulation of multiple metabolic pathways in the liver (Cave et al., 2007; Min et al., 2012). The major metabolic pathways associated with NAFLD are summarized in Figure 1, modified from the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) metabolic pathway map of NAFLD, "http://www.genome.jp/kegg-bin/show_ pathway?hsa04932." Central pathways include fatty acid biosynthesis and oxidation, apoptosis, and mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation regulation, and hepatocellular carcinoma proliferation. Lipid accumulation is associated with insulin resistance (IR) and defects in transcriptional regulation of genes involved in free fatty acid disposal and biosynthesis regulated by transcription factors, peroxisome proliferator activated receptor (PPAR) and sterol response element binding protein-1c. Perturbations in these metabolic networks stimulate oxidative stress by elevating reactive oxygen species involving mitochondrial β -oxidation of fatty acids and oxidative phosphorylation, and endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress (Basseri and Austin, 2012; Chen et al., 2015; Du et al., 2014; Muthukumar and Nachiappan, 2013). Increased cellular oxidative stress causes further elevations in inflammation with the production of cytokines [Fas ligand (FasL), TNF- α , IL-1, and IL-6], promoting apoptotic cell death with activation of c-Jun-N terminal Kinase (JNK) and Bax, and stimulating fibrosis with TGF- β activation.

In this study, we used an integrated omics (transcriptomics plus metabolomics) approach to examine effects of low-level Cd in mouse liver. The dose of Cd was selected to cause liver Cd accumulation to levels similar to those in middle age Americans without cigarette or occupational exposure (Baker *et al.*, 2002; Tiran *et al.*, 1995; Yilmaz, 2002). Livers were examined for Cd accumulation, lipid accumulation, gene expression, and metabolomics; plasma was analyzed for liver enzyme activities and metabolomics. The results indicate that well-known hepatotoxicity from occupational Cd exposure or smoking may also occur from other sources of low-dose Cd exposure in the general population.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals. Male C57BL/6 mice were chosen as a well-established animal model for studies of liver disease related to NAFLD with detailed prior characterization of Cd sensitivity (Thijssen *et al.*, 2007a,b). Greater absorption in females compared with males (Olsson *et al.*, 2002) therefore suggests that any observed toxicity in the male at this dose should replicate or be worsened in a female cohort. The age of 8 weeks at the start of the study was chosen because we did not intend to assess developmental toxicity, and at 8 weeks the majority of mouse development is completed; older mice may show more pronounced effects due to greater sensitivity to NAFLD.

Eight-week-old male C57BL/6J mice were purchased from Jackson Laboratory and housed under conventional conditions of 21–24°C and 12:12 light dark cycle. A total number of 17 mice were randomly assigned to control and Cd treatment groups and subsets were used for sample collections for different assays as indicated. Mice were provided free access to standard lab chow (Harlan Teklad 2018S) and tap water. Mice were randomly assigned to either a control group [0 mg/l CdCl₂ (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, Missouri) in drinking water] or Cd-treated group (10 mg/l CdCl₂ in drinking water) and received treatment for 20 weeks. According to the information provided by Harlan Laboratories, the average Cd content in mouse food is close to 70 ppb (70 ng/g) which is negligible (<1.0%) compared with Cd amount given by drinking water. Urine was collected from the mice at experiment termination and the mice were euthanized using isoflurane overdose. All studies were approved by the Atlanta Veterans Affair Medical Center Institutional Animal Use and Care Committee (V018-03).

Cd measurement in liver tissues by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry. Liver tissues collected from control and Cd-treated mice as described above were analyzed for Cd content by liver tissues by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS). Protein (1.5 mg) was digested with nitric acid for the complete removal of bio-organic material, then measured. ICP-MS procedures confirmed the previously stated accuracy and precision standards (Caudill et al., 2008).

Cell culture, Cd and selenium treatment, and Western blot analysis. HepG2 hepatocytes purchased from American Type Culture Collection were maintained (37°C, 5% CO₂) in a growth medium (Dulbecco's Minimal Essential Medium, containing 10% fetal bovine serum). Cells were treated with 2% fetal bovine serum without or with Cd (CdCl₂) for 2 h and analyzed for phosphorylation of JNK and Akt using Western blotting. Briefly, blots were probed with an antibody specific to phosphorylation of JNK1/2 (Cell Signaling Transduction, Danvers, Massachusetts) or phospho-Akt (Ser 473, Cell Signaling Transduction). A subset of HepG2 cells was treated with Selenium (Se) (Na₂SeO₃, Sigma) 2 h prior to Cd treatment, lysed and followed by Western blot analysis to examine JNK phosphorylation.

Transcriptomics. RNA was isolated from liver tissue with the mirVana total RNA isolation kit (Life Technologies, Grand




FIG. 1. Metabolic network dysregulation associated with NAFLD. Major pathways contributing to NAFLD include dysregulations in fatty acid biosynthesis/oxidation, apoptosis, inflammation, ER stress, and mitochondria oxidative phosphorylation. Low-dose Cd-altered genes and metabolites were added to the KEGG metabolic pathways associated with NAFLD (http://www.genome.jp/kegg-bin/show_pathway?hsa04932+3643) and highlighted by green color.

Island, New York). Total tissue RNA was hybridized on Affymetrix Mouse Gene ST 2.0 exon chips following NuGEN Ovation RNA Amplification. Robust multiarray average (RMA) was used to create summarized data of the chips as an Affymetrix Expression set to determine fold change and significant differences using Limma in R (Linear Models for Microarray Data; Bioconductor) at alpha = .05. The data of significantly different genes in expression compared between control and Cd treatment has been provided in supplementary data (Supplementary Material S1). Significantly different transcripts were validated with partial least squares discriminant analysis (PLS-DA) analysis [variable importance of projection (VIP) score \geq 1.5] as a multivariate alternative to limma. For pathway and network analyses, significant transcripts were annotated according to the Affymetrix na33.2 annotation release and data were loaded into MetaCore (https://portal.genego.com).

Metabolomics. To prepare samples for metabolomics analysis by liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS), $50 \,\mu$ l of plasma was added to $100 \,\mu$ l of acetonitrile containing a mixture of 14 stable isotope standards as previously described (Go *et al.*, 2015a,b). Plasma extracts ($10 \,\mu$ l) were analyzed in triplicate via a high-resolution metabolomics platform using C18 LC-MS (85-2000 *m/z*) on LTQ-Velos Orbitrap mass spectrometer. MS data [mass-to-charge (*m/z*), retention time (RT), intensity] were extracted using xMSanalyzer with apLCMS (Uppal *et al.*, 2013). Triglyceride (TG) and diglyceride (DG) annotations were obtained using high-resolution (10 ppm) matches to Metlin (http://metlin.scripps.edu/metabo_search_alt2.php) and are representative of possible chain lengths consistent with the measured *m/z*.

Fatty liver disease markers. Fat accumulation was examined on frozen liver sections from 6 mice for each group. The 41 and 43

frozen liver sections of control and Cd mice, respectively (6-8 sections from each liver), were prepared for fat accumulation analysis using Oil-Red-O (ORO) staining as described (Mehlem et al., 2013). At least 3 different areas of each stained section were quantified using NIH image J software following the procedures reported by Mehlem et al. (2013). Blinded scoring of ORO-stained sections for fatty liver was also obtained. Subsets of frozen section were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, Missouri) following standard H&E staining protocol (Fischer et al., 2008). To evaluate collagen deposition, liver tissue sections were stained with picrosirius red solution (Picro Sirius Red Stain kit, Abcam, Cambridge, Massachusetts) following the procedure provided by manufacturer. Histology images were obtained using Zeiss Light Microscope. Liver enzymes including ALT (alanine transaminase) and AST (aspartate transaminase) were quantified by measuring activities in plasma collected from Cd and control mice (Cayman, Ann Arbor, Michigan).

Quantitative real-time-polymerase chain reaction. Total mRNA was isolated from liver tissues collected from mice treated with or without Cd using RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen) following the manufacturer's protocol, and reverse transcription was performed to generate cDNA (Clonetech, Mountain View, California). Briefly, gene expression level was quantified by an absolute quantification method that was achieved by comparing amount of target nucleic acids of each sample to a standard curve. Standard curves for the targeted nucleic acids of MT1, MT2, and Bax were constructed from serially diluted template (concentration measured at 260 nm). The detailed procedure for absolute quantification method is provided in the link http://www.bio-rad. com/webroot/web/pdf/lsr/literature/Bulletin_5279.pdf.

Quantitative real-time-polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) was performed in triplicate on an iCycler IQ Multicolor RT-PCR

Detection System (Bio-Rad, Hercules, California) as described previously (Go *et al.*, 2013b). PCR primer sequences for mouse Bax and mouse metallothioneins (MT), MT1 and MT2 are as follows: mouse Bax, forward: 5'-GTG AGC GGC TGC TTG TCT-3', reverse: 5'-GGT CCC GAA GTA GGA GAG GA-3'; mouse MT1, forward: 5'-ATG GAC CCC AAC TGC TCC TGC TCC ACC-3', reverse: 5'-GGC ACA GCA CGT GCA CTT GTC CGC-3'; mouse MT2, forward: 5'- ATG GAC CCC AAC TGC TCC TGT GCC-3', reverse: 5'- GCT GCA CTT GTC GGA AGC CTC TTT-3'.

Statistics. As described above for microarray data analysis, tabularized affymetrix data was generated using RMA processing (Forrest et al., 2005). Limma (Diboun et al., 2006) was used as a univariate test to isolate significant genes and fold change information from the RMA table. These procedures incorporate false discovery rate (FDR) to provide an FDR-corrected P value, with significance taken as P < .05. These results were also validated by PLS-DA as a multivariate approach (VIP > 1.5). π score was generated as a ranking measure of both fold change and significance using the following formula: $-\log(p)$ * fold change (Xiao et al., 2014). For TG, DG, glycerophospholipids, sphingomyelin (SM), and fatty acids determined by metabolomics, highresolution mass spectral data were filtered to include only m/zfeatures where at least 1 group had nonmissing values for 70% of samples. Additionally, features were required to have nonmissing values in 30% of samples overall. Log2 transformation was performed to reduce heteroscedasticity and to normalize the data. Quantile normalization of samples was done to minimize sample variability. Quantile normalization is a normalization procedure that aims to make the distributions of feature intensities similar across all the samples. Limma package in Bioconductor was used to identify differentially expressed features at P < .05. Statistical comparisons of Cd content, qRT-PCR, Oil-Red O staining, JNK activation, conventional lipid analyses, and targeted lipid analyses were carried out using 2 sample t test (independent t test) of the OriginLab (Data Analysis and Graphing software, OriginLab Co.). P < .05 was considered to be significant.

Results

Accumulation of Cd and Increased mRNA Level of MT in Mouse Liver by Low-Level Cd Exposure

A key issue of Cd effects on biological systems is that Cd is not effectively excreted and accumulates in humans (10-35 year half-life) (Authority, 2011; Peters et al., 2010), which could be a potential problem to human health even at low dietary intake levels. To examine the Cd amounts accumulated in mouse liver and whether the liver responded to the Cd treatment, we first analyzed liver tissues for Cd content by ICP-MS and MT mRNA level by qRT-PCR, respectively. Cd measurement in liver showed that the level was substantially higher in the mouse group exposed to Cd (1.6 \pm 0.16 ng Cd/mg protein, n = 8) than the control mice group (0.16 \pm 0.12 ng Cd/mg protein, n = 8) (Fig. 2A). The Cd amounts were comparable to the levels found in normal human livers (Baker et al., 2002; Yilmaz, 2002). MT including MT1 and MT2 are a family of stress responsive proteins that play a key role in the detoxification of Cd, and an increased MT level is an indication of cellular response to metals such as Cd. Consistently, the data showed that mouse exposed to Cd elevated mRNA levels of both MT1 (Cont, 1.3 ± 1.0 pM; Cd, 10.3 \pm 3.7 pM) and MT2 (Cont, 0.9 \pm 0.6 pM; Cd, 5.3 \pm 1.8 pM) in liver (Fig. 2B). Together, these data suggest that Cd level within




FIG. 2. Elevated levels of Cd and MT in Cd-treated mouse liver. Livers isolated from mouse challenged with Cd (10 mg Cd/l, 16 weeks) or control (Cont, no Cd) by drinking water were measured for Cd content (A) and mRNA levels of MT1 and MT2 (B) by ICP-MS and qRT-PCR, respectively. Results are shown as mean \pm SE; n = 6. *P < .05 versus control group (Cont).

a range comparable to normal human liver Cd level is sufficient to alter liver expression levels of MT.

Low-Level Oral Cd Exposure Stimulates Lipid Accumulation in Liver by Perturbing Metabolic Pathways for Fatty Acid Biosynthesis/ Oxidation Regulation

As shown in Figure 1 and Table 1, transcripts for many genes were altered by Cd (highlighted by green color, Cd-induced fold change > 1.2, P < .05); associated metabolic pathways regulated fatty acid biosynthesis and oxidation and IR. These genes include IL-4, oxisterol-binding protein (Osbp), insulin-induced gene (Insig) 2, phospholipase A (PLA)-2, and PPAR γ coactivator 1α (PGC- 1α). We further examined liver sections to examine whether Cd had an effect on lipid accumulation due to dysregulation in lipid and fatty acid metabolisms. Livers collected from mice with or without low-dose Cd were examined for lipid accumulation by performing ORO staining because ORO staining analysis has shown to be the most reliable way to assess liver steatosis (Levene et al., 2010). The results of ORO staining including representative images (Fig. 3A) and quantification on liver sections show that level of lipids in Cd-treated mouse livers $(23.9\% \pm 0.4\%)$ were higher than those in control mice $(20.2\% \pm 0.4\%)$ (Fig. 3B, Cont, number of ORO images = 116, number of sections = 41; Cd, number of ORO images = 127, number of sections = 43). Additional representative ORO-stained sections are provided in Supplementary Material S3. These results show that lipid deposition in liver was elevated by Cd and illustrate that there was variability of fat accumulation in different mice exposed to low levels of Cd (Fig. 3B right, Cd mouse 1, 25.1% \pm 0.6%; Cd mouse 2, 25.8% \pm 0.6%; Cd mouse 3, 20.8% \pm 0.5%; Cd mouse 4, 22.8% \pm 0.7%; Cd mouse 5, 19.0% \pm 0.7%; Cd

Annot Spinol Entro Edita Fold Turno Fold Curno Public Functional bathways Instructional Entrollation						
Insig2 7299 12 01 Ital 114 16189 112 00 phospical/SCC.1x 28906 -112 00 phospical/SCC.1x 28906 -112 00 phost 76803 12 00 pix 1003 12 00 pix 12028 12 00 pix 12028 12 00 pix 12028 12 00 pix 12028 12 00 pix 13028 12 00 pix 14084 12 00 pix 14084 12 00 pix 14084 12 00 pix 11545 112 02 pix 11545 113 00 pix 11545 12 04 pix 11545 12 04 pix 252903 12 04 pix <th>Name</th> <th>Symbol</th> <th>Entrez Gene ID</th> <th>Fold Change: Cd/CR</th> <th>P Value</th> <th>Functional Pathways</th>	Name	Symbol	Entrez Gene ID	Fold Change: Cd/CR	P Value	Functional Pathways
Ind. 168 12 01 mma.coactivator 1 alpha Paragcta/PCC-1x 19017 112 02 popolicity accountivator 1 alpha Paragcta/PCC-1x 29906 -12 09 popolicity accountivator 1 alpha Sicaral 3 Sicaral 3 Sicaral 3 59906 -12 09 pottely, member 13 Sicaral 3 Sicaral 408 12038 01 04 post Fain 17335 120 00 03 03 paiz Pary 1 2028 12 03 03 03 print 217335 11547 11545 12 03 04 Pary 1 217335 11537 11547 13 03 04 print Ar 11545 11547 11547 11 04 print Ar 11547 11547 12 236-02 04 print Ar 11545 11567 12 246-03 04 prin	Insulin induced gene 2	Insig2	72999	1.2	.01	Fatty acid synthesis and
mma, coactivator 1 alpha pargr(34 PGC. $1x$ 1907 112 02 porter), member 13 S1C at13 25970 -112 03 part S1C at13 25906 -112 03 part S1C at13 51C at13 29159 03 part S1C at13 51C at13 29169 -112 03 part S1C at13 9159 -112 03 03 part Part 14084 112 03 03 part Part 14084 12 03 03 part Part 14084 12 03 03 part Part 14084 12 03 03 part Part 24735 12 03 03 part Part 14364 12 03 03 part Part 24739 12 03 03 part Part Part 11355 12 04 <td>Interleukin 4</td> <td>114</td> <td>16189</td> <td>1.2</td> <td>.01</td> <td>lipid metabolism</td>	Interleukin 4	114	16189	1.2	.01	lipid metabolism
phospholipase A2, group IIE 26970 -1.12 0.03 spatter 13 Scaa13 232060 -1.12 0.04 bax 75030 1.22028 1.12 0.03 Falm 05 pp 75030 1.23 0.03 Falm 12028 1.22 0.03 Falm 14064 1.2 0.03 Falm 14064 1.2 0.03 Falm 14064 1.2 0.03 Falm 14064 1.2 0.03 Falm 217335 1.12 0.03 Falm 217335 1.12 0.03 Falm 217335 1.12 0.03 Arr 217335 1.13 0.01 Arr 21335 1.13 0.01 Arr 31 21735 1.13 0.01 Arr 31 225903 1.13 0.01 Arr 31 3266 1.14 0.01 Arr 310	Peroxisome proliferative activated receptor, gamma, coactivator 1 alpha	Ppargc1a/PGC-1 α	19017	1.2	.02	
potterl, member 13 Sicat3 239606 -1.2 04 postp bass 1303 1.1 895:04 03 Fain3 6169 -1.3 003 895:04 12 895:04 Fain3 6169 -1.1 0.03 915:0 12 03 Fain3 6163 12 234:0 12 03 Pap7 1454 12 03 04 Pap7 1557 12 03 04 Pap7 1556 12 03 03 Pap7 1557 11555 12 04 Pap7 1556 12 03 04 Ar 113 27335 12 03 04 Mut67 76645 12 266:02 12 04 Mut67 76645 12 275:02 04 04 Mut67 76645 12 276:02 04 04 04 Mut67<	Phospholipase A2, group IIE	phospholipase A2, group IIE	26970	-1.2	.03	
Osbp 75303 12 895-04 Bax 12008 12 895-04 Faff 14004 12 003 Faff 14004 12 003 Faff 14004 12 003 Faff 14004 12 003 Faff 15507 15507 12 003 Farp1 11545 112 003 01 Faf7a 271355 12 003 01 Faf7a 271355 12 003 01 Faf7a 11855 13 00 01 Marb3 Muffaf 26604 12 04 Mu Nduffaf 275903 112 04 Mu Nduffaf 26604 12 04 Muffaf 0404 12 04 04 Muffaf 0404 12 12 206-02 Muffaf 0404 12 12 206-02 <tr< td=""><td>Solute carrier family 2 (facilitated glucose transporter), member 13</td><td>Slc2a13</td><td>239606</td><td>-1.2</td><td>.04</td><td></td></tr<>	Solute carrier family 2 (facilitated glucose transporter), member 13	Slc2a13	239606	-1.2	.04	
bax 12028 112 02 Fain3 6046 113 003 Fain3 14044 12 02 Fain3 14044 12 02 Fain2 14044 12 03 Fain2 14044 12 03 Fain2 1557 12 04 Parp-1 11545 12 03 Fain3 229317 13 04 Ar 11557 12 04 Maria 229317 13 04 Natris 229317 13 04 Maria 239303 13 04 Natris 27197 12 206-02 omplex, 1 Ndufaf 27197 12 206-02 omplex, 1 Ndufaf 277197 12 206-02 omplex, 1 Ndufaf 277197 12 206-02 omplex, 1 Ndufaf 277197 12 206-02	Oxysterol binding protein	Osbp	76303	1.2	8.9E-04	
Faim3 69169 -1.3 0.03 Faf1 217354 1.2 0.03 Faf1 217355 1.2 0.03 Faf1 217355 1.2 0.03 Faf7 217355 1.2 0.03 Fary-1 115355 1.2 0.03 Fary-1 118355 1.2 0.03 Ar 213355 1.2 0.03 Ar 118355 1.1 0.04 Ar 118355 1.1 0.04 Muth5 Nutrifor 203266 1.4 0.4 Nutrifor 30926 1.4 0.4 0.4 Nutrifor 30926 1.1 2.06-02 0.4 Nutrifor Nutrifor 274405 1.1 2.46-02 Nutrifor Nutrifor 274405 1.1 2.46-02 Nutrifor Nutrifor 274405 1.1 2.46-02 Nonshifer, S Nutrifor 274405 1.2 2.46-02 <td>BCL2-associated X protein</td> <td>bax</td> <td>12028</td> <td>1.2</td> <td>.02</td> <td>Cell death and survival</td>	BCL2-associated X protein	bax	12028	1.2	.02	Cell death and survival
Fafi 14084 1.2 0.2 Pbf1 217335 1.12 0.0 pap27 15507 13 0.0 pap7 15507 13 0.0 Parp-1 11545 1.2 0.0 Errp-1 11545 1.2 0.0 Frap-1 11545 1.2 0.0 Frap-1 11545 1.2 0.0 Frap-1 11545 1.2 0.0 Ar 11545 1.2 0.0 Ar 11545 1.2 0.0 Ar 11575 113 0.0 Ar 11 110 11467 0.0 Nutrist 735203 1.12 0.0 Ar Nutrist 735203 1.12 0.0 Ar Nutrist 735203 1.2 0.0 Ar Nutrist 735203 1.2 0.0 Ar Nutrist 735203 1.2 0.0	Fas apoptotic inhibitory molecule 3	Faim3	69169	$^{-1.3}$.003	
Fbf1 217335 -1.2 0.2 pak2 1557 1257 12 04 hsp27 1557 125 04 04 hsp27 11557 113 04 04 Ff2a 239317 11355 12 04 Ar 11353 123 04 04 Ar 11353 123 04 04 Muta 040165 04 04 04 pkc 30926 14 04 04 pkc 30926 12 04 04 omplex 3 Ndufa1 227197 12 226:02 04 omplex 4 Ndufa1 227197 12 24f-02 04 omplex 5 Ndufa1 227197 12 24f-02 04 omplex 4 14 227197 12 24f-02 04 omplex 3 Ndufa1 227197 12 24f-02 04 omplex 4	Fas-associated factor 1	Fafl	14084	1.2	.02	
pak2 224105 112 04 Parp-1 115507 113 04 Parp-1 115577 113 04 Farp-1 115577 12 02 Fif2a 23317 12 03 Ar Ap1s3 23317 13 01 Mufa 75593 1.13 04 Nu 166476 1.13 04 Nu 166476 1.12 04 Nu 3026 1.4 04 Nu Ndufa 227137 1.2 235-02 complex, 5 Ndufa 227137 1.2 246-02 complex, 1 Ndufa 54405 1.2 246-03 corplex, 1 Stabut 54405 1.2 246-03 <t< td=""><td>Fas (TNFRSF6) binding factor 1</td><td>Fbf1</td><td>217335</td><td>-1.2</td><td>.02</td><td></td></t<>	Fas (TNFRSF6) binding factor 1	Fbf1	217335	-1.2	.02	
hsp27 15507 13 04 $\operatorname{Parp-1}$ 111545 12 22 $\operatorname{Parp-1}$ 111545 12 22 $\operatorname{Parp-1}$ 111545 12 22 Arr 11835 13 01 Arr 11835 13 01 Arr 11835 13 01 Arr 11835 13 01 Arr 11835 16476 14 04 $\operatorname{complex}$ Ndufs1 252903 12 20E-02 $\operatorname{complex}$ Ndufs1 252949 12 20E-02 $\operatorname{complex}$ Ndufs1 227197 12 20E-02 $\operatorname{complex}$ N	Serine/threonine protein kinase PAK2	pak2	224105	1.2	.04	
Farp-1 11545 12 02 Eff2a 293317 13 0 Ar 11835 13 03 Ar 11835 13 03 Ar 11835 13 03 Ap1s3 252903 15 04 bm PKC 30926 14 04 pr Ndufaf 73594 12 20 04 mplex, 1 Ndufaf 73594 12 20 04 complex, 1 Ndufa1 227197 12 20E-02 04 omplex, 1 Ndufa1 227197 12 32E-03 32E-03 complex, 1 Ndufa1 227197 12 20E-02 04 complex, 1 Ndufa1 227197 12 20E-02 04 complex, 1 Ndufa1 227197 12 20E-02 04 complex, 1 Ndufa1 27197 12 20E-02 04 corrested	Heat shock protein 27	hsp27	15507	1.3	.04	
Ef2a 229317 13 01 Ar Ar 11835 13 03 Ar 11835 113 03 Ar 11835 113 03 Min 1 11835 13 04 Jun 16 04 04 Nouth3 80056 1,4 04 Nutr51 73694 1.2 206-02 complex 3 Nutr51 73694 1.2 206-02 complex 4 Nutr51 227197 1.2 206-02 complex 5 Nutr61 57405 1.2 206-02 complex 4-like 2 Nutr61 57405 1.2 04 corr 2 solu 54405 1.2 266-02 corr 2 solu 5745 1.2 04 corr 2 solu 5745 1.2 04 corr 2 solu 57405 1.2 04 corr 2 solu 57405 1.2 <td>Poly [ADP-ribose] polymerase 1</td> <td>Parp-1</td> <td>11545</td> <td>1.2</td> <td>.02</td> <td></td>	Poly [ADP-ribose] polymerase 1	Parp-1	11545	1.2	.02	
Ar 11835 1.3 0.3 Ap1s3 252903 -1.3 0.3 pun 16476 1.6 0.4 pun $10n$ 16476 1.6 0.4 pun pun 16476 1.3 0.6 pun $ndufa7$ 30926 1.12 0.6 $omplex3$ $Ndufa7$ 73694 1.2 $20E-02$ $omplex3$ $Ndufb5$ 66495 1.2 $20E-02$ $omplex,1$ $Ndufa1$ 277197 1.2 $20E-02$ $omplex,1$ $Ndufa1$ 27790 1.2 $20E-02$ $omplex,1$ $Ndufa2 1.2 3.8E-03 0.4 omplex,1 Ndufa2 1.2 2.0E-02 0.4 omplex,1 Ndufa2 1.2 2.0E-02 0.4 omplex,1 Ndufa2 1.2 2.0E-02 0.4 omplex,1 Ndufa2 1.2 1.2 1.2 $	eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2A	Eif2a	229317	1.3	.01	
Ap1s3 252903 -1.3 0.4 Jun PKC 30926 1.6 0.4 PKC 30926 1.6 0.4 PKC 30926 1.1 0.4 ocmplex assembly factor 7 Nduffat 75654 1.2 0.4 omplex 3 Nduffat 66046 1.2 3.95.02 omplex 1 Nduffat 227197 1.2 2.06-02 omplex 1 Nduffat 27790 1.2 2.06-02 omplex 1 Nduffat 277197 1.2 2.06-02 omplex 1 Nduffat 277197 1.2 2.06-02 omplex 1 Nduffat 277197 1.2 2.06-02 omplex 4hile 2 Nduffat 266046 1.2 2.06-02 omplex 4hile 2 Nduffat 26605 1.2 2.06-02 omplex 4hile 2 Nduffat 26605 1.2 0.4 or sulfur (p) Sdhb 67680 1.2 0.4 on sulfur (p)	Androgen receptor	Ar	11835	1.3	.03	Hepatocellular carcinoma
	adaptor-related protein complex AP-1, sigma 3	Ap1s3	252903	-1.3	.04	cell formation
FKC 30926 1.4 04 subcomplex assembly factor 7 Ndufafy 73694 1.3 2.06-02 b0complex 3 Ndufafy 56495 1.2 2.06-02 tein 1 Ndufafy 56495 1.2 2.06-02 tein 1 Ndufafy 56495 1.2 2.06-02 ubcomplex 1 Ndufafy 56406 1.2 2.06-02 abcomplex 1 Ndufafy 54405 1.2 2.06-02 subcomplex, 1 Ndufafy 54405 1.2 3.86-03 subcomplex, 4-like 2 Ndufa412 407790 -1.3 7.4E-03 subcomplex, 4-like 2 Ndufa412 56052 1.2 0.4 subcomplex, 4-like 2 Ndufa412 56052 1.2 2.4E-02 subcomplex, 4-like 2 Ndufa412 56052 1.2 2.4E-02 subcomplex, 4-like 2 Sdhaf2 56063 1.2 2.4E-02 subcomplex, 66052 1.2 2.0917 1.2 2.4E-02 Schabp	Jun oncogene	Jun	16476	1.6	.04	
subcomplex assembly factor 7 Ndufa7 73694 1.3 $2.0E-02$ ubcomplex 3 Ndufb3 66495 1.2 $3.9E-02$ ubcomplex 4 Ndufb3 66046 1.2 $3.9E-02$ ubcomplex, 5 Ndufb3 66046 1.2 $3.9E-02$ ubcomplex, 1 Ndufb3 66046 1.2 $2.0E-02$ ubcomplex, 1 Ndufa1 54405 1.2 $2.0E-02$ ubcomplex, 1 Ndufa41 66046 1.2 $3.8E-03$ ubcomplex, 1 Ndufa41 66046 1.2 $2.0E-02$ subcomplex, 1 Ndufa412 407790 1.2 $2.0E-02$ subcomplex, 4-like 2 Ndufa412 66072 1.2 $2.4E-02$ subcomplex, 4-like 2 Sdhb 67660 1.2 $2.4E-02$ Subcomplex, 4-like 2	Protein kinase C	PKC	30926	1.4	.04	
	NADH dehydrogenase (ubiquinone) 1 alpha subcomplex assembly factor 7	Ndufaf7	73694	1.3	2.0E-02	Oxidative phosphorylation
tein 1 Ndufs1 227197 1.2 2.0E-02 bloomplex, 5 Ndufb5 66046 1.2 $3.8E-03$ subcomplex, 1 Ndufa1 $5 4405$ 1.2 0.4 $3.8E-03$ subcomplex, 1 Ndufa1 $5 4405$ 1.2 $3.8E-03$ -13 3.66046 1.2 $3.8E-03$ -112 $3.8E-03$ -112 $-$	NADH dehydrogenase (ubiquinone) 1 beta subcomplex 3	Ndufb3	66495	1.2	3.9E-02	
	NADH dehydrogenase (ubiquinone) Fe-S protein 1	Ndufs1	227197	1.2	2.0E-02	
subcomplex, 1 Ndufa1 54405 1.2 04 subcomplex, 4-like 2 Ndufa4l2 407790 -1.3 $7.4E-03$ c, integral membrane protein Sdhc 66022 1.2 $3.3E-02$ r, integral membrane protein Sdhc 66072 1.2 $2.4E-02$ r, beta subunit Suclg2 66072 1.2 0.4 B, iron sulfur (lp) Sdhb 67680 1.2 0.4 Cyb55fd2 Suclg2 67680 1.2 0.4 B, iron sulfur (lp) Sdhb 67680 1.2 0.4 Cyb55fd2 Suclg2 657630 1.2 0.4 Cyb55fd2 Cyb55fd2 55368 1.3 0.2 Cox4i1 12857 1.2 0.4 Cox4i2 12866 1.2 0.4 Cox4i1 12857 1.2 0.4 Cox4i2 12864 1.2 0.4 Cox4i2 12866 1.2 0.4 Gox4i1 12866 1.2 0.4 <	NADH dehydrogenase (ubiquinone) 1 beta subcomplex, 5	Ndufb5	66046	1.2	3.8E-03	
subcomplex, 4-like 2 Ndufa4l2 407790 -1.3 $7.4E-03$ c, integral membrane protein Sdhc 66022 1.2 $3.3E-02$ r, integral membrane protein Sdhaf2 66072 1.2 $2.4E-02$ r, beta subunit Suclg2 6072 1.2 $2.4E-02$ b, iron sulfur (lp) Sdhb 67680 1.2 $2.4E-02$ Cyb55fd2 Suclg2 67680 1.2 $2.4E-02$ B, iron sulfur (lp) Sdhb 67680 1.2 $2.4E-02$ Cyb55fd2 Stob 55368 1.3 0.2 Cox4i1 1.2857 1.2 0.4 Cox4i1 12857 1.2 0.4 Cox4i1 12857 1.2 0.4 Cox4i2 0.8466 1.2 $2.5E-02$ Cox4i2 12866 1.2 2.6669 Cox4i2 1.2866 1.2 $2.66-02$ Cox4i2 1.2866 1.2 $2.66-02$ Gox4i4 1.2 $2.67-02$ 0.4 Gox6c </td <td>NADH dehydrogenase (ubiquinone) 1 alpha subcomplex, 1</td> <td>Ndufa1</td> <td>54405</td> <td>1.2</td> <td>.04</td> <td></td>	NADH dehydrogenase (ubiquinone) 1 alpha subcomplex, 1	Ndufa1	54405	1.2	.04	
C, integral membrane protein Sdhc 6602 1.2 3.3E-02 y factor 2 Sdhaf2 66072 1.2 2.4E-02 y factor 2 Suclg2 56072 1.2 2.4E-02 y beta subunit Suclg2 50917 1.2 2.4E-02 y beta subunit Suclg2 56690 1.2 2.4E-02 y beta subunit Sdhb 67680 1.2 2.4E-02 y beta subunit Suclg2 566690 1.2 2.4E-02 y beta subunit Cyb561d2 566690 1.2 2.8E-03 y cox15 Cox411 12857 1.2 1.3E-02 y cox15 Cox413 12857 1.2 2.5E-02 $z cox15 Cox6c 1.2 2.2E-02 0.4 z cox7a2 12866 1.2 2.8E-02 0.4 z fdr complex, delta subunit Arp5d 66043 1.2 2.8E-02 z fdr complex, delta subunit Arp5d 2.12 0.4 0.4 z fdr complex, delta subunit Arp5d 2.12 0.4 0.4 $	NADH dehydrogenase (ubiquinone) 1 alpha subcomplex, 4-like 2	Ndufa4l2	407790	-1.3	7.4E-03	
	Succinate dehydrogenase complex, subunit C, integral membrane protein	Sdhc	66052	1.2	3.3E-02	
beta submit Suclg2 20917 1.2 $.04$ B, iron sulfur (lp) Sdhb 67680 1.2 $.04$ Cyb5r4 266690 1.5 $.04$ Cyb561d2 56368 1.3 $.02$ Cyb561d2 56368 1.3 $.02$ Cox4i1 12857 1.2 $.04$ Cox4i2 Cox45 12864 1.2 $.04$ Cox6c 12866 1.2 $2.5E-02$ $.04$ Idhc 1.6 1.2 2.126 $.04$ Idhc 1.2 1.2 1.2 $2.5E-02$ Idhc 1.2 1.2 2.12 0.4 If tomplex, delta subunit Arp5d 66043 1.2 0.4 Tgfbr1 21812 1.2 1.2 0.4 If tomplex, delta subunit	Succinate dehydrogenase complex assembly factor 2	Sdhaf2	66072	1.2	2.4E-02	
B, iron sulfur (Ip) Sdhb 67680 1.2 2.8E-03 Cyb561d2 56690 1.5 0.4 Cyb561d2 56368 1.3 0.2 Cox4i1 12857 1.2 1.3E-02 Cox15 226139 1.2 0.4 Cox6c 12864 1.2 2.5E-02 Cox6c 12866 1.2 2.5E-02 I.dhc 1.8833 -1.6 4.0E-03 I.dhc 1.66043 1.2 2.8E-02 Tgfbr1 2.1812 1.2 0.4 Tgfbr1 1.3 Tgfbr1 1.3	Succinate-Coenzyme A ligase, GDP-forming, beta subunit	Suclg2	20917	1.2	.04	
Cyb5r4 266690 1.5 .04 Cyb561d2 56368 1.3 .02 Cyb561d2 56368 1.3 .02 Cox4i1 12857 1.2 1.3E-02 Cox15 226139 1.2 .04 Cox6c 12864 1.2 2.5E-02 Cox7a2 12866 1.2 2.5E-02 Ldhc 16833 -1.6 4.0E-03 Ldhc 16833 -1.2 2.8E-02 Tgfbr1 21812 1.2 2.8E-02 Tgfbr1 21812 1.2 .04 Tagbr1 21812 1.2 .04	Succinate dehydrogenase complex, subunit B, iron sulfur (Ip)	Sdhb	67680	1.2	2.8E-03	
Cyb561d2 56368 1.3 .02 Cox4i1 12857 1.2 1.3E-02 Cox15 Cox16 12857 1.2 1.3E-02 Cox16 Cox15 226139 1.2 1.3E-02 Cox6c 12866 1.2 2.5E-02 .04 Cox7a2 12866 1.2 2.5E-02 2.5E-02 Ldhc 16833 -1.6 4.0E-03 Ldhc 66043 1.2 2.8E-02 Tgfbr1 21812 1.2 .04 Tgfbr1 21812 1.2 .04 Tgfbr1 21812 1.2 .04 1.3 1.3 1.3 .04	Cytochrome b5 reductase 4	Cyb5r4	266690	1.5	.04	
Cox4i1 12857 1.2 1.3E-02 Cox15 226139 1.2 0.4 Cox6c 1.2 226139 1.2 0.4 Cox6c 1.2 1.2 2.5E-02 0.4 Cox7a2 12866 1.2 2.5E-02 Ldhc 1.2 1.2 2.5E-02 Ldhc 1.6833 -1.6 4.0E-03 Tqfbr1 21812 1.2 2.8E-02 Tgfbr1 21812 1.2 0.4 Tgfbr1 1.3 1.2 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3	Cytochrome b-561 domain containing 2	Cyb561d2	56368	1.3	.02	
Cox15 226139 1.2 .04 Cox6c 12864 1.2 2.5E-02 Cox7a2 12866 1.2 2.5E-02 Ldhc 1.2 2.5E-02 1.2 Ldhc 1.2 2.5E-02 1.2 Tdhc 1.2 1.2 2.5E-02 Tdhc 1.2 1.2 2.5E-02 Tdhc 1.6833 -1.6 4.0E-03 Tghr1 21812 1.2 2.8E-02 Tghr1 21812 1.2 .04 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 Tghr1 21812 1.2 .04 1.3 1.3 1.3 .04	Cytochrome c oxidase subunit IV isoform 1	Cox4i1	12857	1.2	1.3E-02	
Cox6c 12864 1.2 2.5E-02 Cox7a2 1.2866 1.2 2.5E-02 Ldhc 1.2 2.5E-02 Ldhc 1.2 2.5E-02 ial F1 complex, delta subunit Atp5d 66043 1.2 2.8E-02 Tgfbr1 21812 1.2 .04 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.4fbr1 21812 1.2 .04 1.3 1.3 1.3 .04	Cytochrome c oxidase assembly protein 15	Cox15	226139	1.2	.04	
Cox7a2 12866 1.2 2.5E-02 Ldhc Ldhc 1.6 4.0E-03 ial F1 complex, delta subunit Atp5d 66043 1.2 2.8E-02 Tgfbr1 21812 1.2 .04 1.3 1.3 1.3 .04	Cytochrome c oxidase subunit VIc	Cox6c	12864	1.2	2.5E-02	
Ldhc 16833 –1.6 4.0E-03 ial F1 complex, delta subunit Atp5d 66043 1.2 2.8E-02 Tgfbr1 21812 1.2 .04 1.3 .04 1.3	Cytochrome c oxidase subunit VIIa 2	Cox7a2	12866	1.2	2.5E-02	
ial F1 complex, delta subunit Atp5d 66043 1.2 2.8E-02 Tgfbr1 21812 1.2 0.4 1.3 1.3 1.2	Lactate dehydrogenase C	Ldhc	16833	-1.6	4.0E-03	
Tgfbr1 21812 1.2 .04 1.3 1.2	ATP synthase, H+ transporting, mitochondrial F1 complex, delta subunit	Atp5d	66043	1.2	2.8E-02	
1.3 1.2	Transforming growth factor, beta receptor I	Tgfbr1	21812	1.2	.04	Fibrosis
1.2	Derlin-2			1.3		ER-associated protein
	EDEM			1.2		degradation

Positive values of fold change indicate Cd-upregualted genes. Negative values of fold change indicate Cd-down regulated genes.




FIG. 3. Accumulation of lipids in liver of mouse challenged with low-dose Cd. Livers collected from Cd and control (Cont) mice groups were prepared to quantitate lipid accumulation in livers. A, Representative images of lipids stained by ORO in liver sections prepared from Cont and Cd mice. Additional ORO-stained images from Cont and Cd mice are provided in Supplementary Material S4. Quantitation of ORO-stained lipid intensity (% area per image) using NIH image J software is shown by histogram (B left, P = .09), box plot (B middle), and bar graph (B right). Number of image: 116 (cont), 127 (Cd), number of section; 41 (cont), 43 (Cd). *P < .05 versus control group [number of section; 8 (Cd mouse 1), 8 (Cd mouse 2), 7 (Cd mouse 4), 6 (Cd mouse 5), 6 (Cd mouse 6), 41 (cont)].

mouse 6, $30.0\% \pm 0.8\%$). Moreover, liver tissues and plasma were analyzed for TGs and DGs (Fig. 4 and Table 2) which are critical markers measured to characterize fatty liver disease. Consistent with ORO staining data, abundance of TG [m/z 945.6967 (RT 111s), (58:12), (M+H)⁺ adduct] measured by highresolution MS (HRM) was greater in Cd-treated mice $[4.1 \pm 1.1,$ peak area (×10⁶)] than control group $[1.6 \pm 0.7, \text{ peak area } (\times 10^6)]$ (Fig. 4A). DG [m/z 539.467 (RT 154s), (30:0), (M+H)⁺ adduct] was also greater in Cd-treated mice group $[11.7 \pm 4.3, \text{ peak} area$ $(\times 10^6)$] than control group [61.6 ± 9.0, peak area ($\times 10^5$)], but this increase was not significant (Fig. 4B). To evaluate indications of inflammation and fibrosis, liver sections were analyzed by hematoxylin and eosin (H & E) staining and Sirius Red staining, respectively. The former showed no evidence of inflammation in the Cd group compared with control mice while the latter showed subtle evidence for fibrosis in terms of increased collagen formation (Supplementary Material S5). Together, these results suggest that low-dose Cd could potentiate fatty liver as a result of metabolic perturbation in lipid synthesis and regulation, but not all mice are affected equally.

Following the results of increased accumulation of lipids in liver, we further examined Cd effect on liver function by measuring the activities of the liver enzymes, ALT and AST in plasma. As shown in Figure 4, both ALT [871.5 \pm 66 (Cont); 961.3 \pm 34.6 (Cd), n = 9, Fig. 4C] and AST [0.4 \pm 0.06 (Cont); 0.6 \pm 0.03 (Cd), n = 9, Fig. 4D] levels were greater in plasma of Cd-treated mouse group than control group, suggesting that liver function could have been affected by Cd exposure. Using the same plasma samples, we measured TG and DG levels using HRM. Consistent with liver tissue data, plasma TG [m/z

1023.7436 (RT 268s), (22:6/22:6/22:6), (M+H)+ adduct] and DG [*m*/z 625.5766 (RT 77 s), (18:0/18:0/0:0), (M+H)⁺ adduct] were also increased (P < .05; FDR < 0.2) in Cd-treated mice [Fig. 4E, TG, Cont, 3.8 ± 2.2 (×10⁶); Cd, 26.0 ± 10.9 (×10⁶) and Fig. 4F, DG, Cont, $3.5 \pm 2.3 (\times 10^5)$; Cd, $9.4 \pm 1.9 (\times 10^5)$]. Note that the accurate mass is consistent with multiple isobaric TG. And this is the same for the DG. Additionally, the data of plasma total cholesterol, TG, high density lipoprotein (HDL) and low density lipoprotein (LDL) levels measured by conventional enzymatic methods (Beckman AU480 chemistry analyzer) showed that cholesterol (cont, 860.8 \pm 100.7 mg/l; Cd, 960.3 \pm 130.4 mg/l) and TG (cont, 600.7 \pm 30.8 mg/l; Cd, $720.0 \pm 60.6 \text{ mg/l}$ levels were higher in Cd-treated mice while HDL level (cont, $520.7 \pm 60.9 \text{ mg/l}$; Cd, $480.1 \pm$ 50.1 mg/l) was lower in Cd-treated mice compared with control. Moreover, to evaluate low-dose Cd effects on other lipid categories, metabolomics data were further analyzed for glycerophospholipids, sphingolipids and fatty acids. Table 2 shows significantly increased species of phosphatidylcholine, phosphatidylethanolamine, phosphatidylglycerol, phosphatidylinositol, phosphatidylserine, SM, and fatty acids (octadecatertraenoic acid, octadecatrienoic acid, 3-oxotetradecanoic acid glycerides) by Cd. Together, these data support the conclusion that low-dose Cd disrupts lipid metabolism.

Low-Level Cd Exposure Affects Liver Cell Survival and Death Regulatory Metabolism

In addition to metabolic disruption in lipid regulatory metabolism, more severe fatty liver is associated with perturbation in cell survival and death regulatory pathways. Figure 1 includes Cd-affected significant genes that are involved in cell death/




FIG. 4. Low-dose Cd elevated liver enzymes and plasma lipids. Metabolomics of liver tissues (respective to $250 \,\mu$ g protein) were performed using HRM. Metabolites corresponding to TG (A, E) and DG (B, F) were identified based on mass to charge (*m*/z) and retention time (RT) by searching Metlin software (https://metlin.scripps.edu/metabo_search_alt2.php). Bar graphs show amount of TG and DG in liver tissue and plasma quantified from intensity of respective peak spectrum. The data are shown as mean ± SE; *n* = 8. *P < .05 versus control group (Cont). Plasma collected from control and Cd mice groups were analyzed for liver enzymes, alanine aminotransferase (ALT, C) and aspartate aminotransferase (AST, D). Plasma levels of these enzymes were quantified by measuring enzyme activity (box plots). The data are shown as mean ± SE; *n* = 8. *P < .05 versus control group (Cont).

TABLE 2. HRM-Identified Liver and Plasm	a Lipid Species That	Are Significantly Higher in	Abundance by Low-Dose Cd
---	----------------------	-----------------------------	--------------------------

Lipids, Fatty Acid	Name	m/z	RT (s)	Fold Increase(Cd/cont)	
DG	DG (36:0)	625.5765	77	2.7	Plasma
Fatty acid	Octadecatetraenoic acid	277.2168	581	1.6	Liver
	Octadecatrienoic acid	325.2019	556	2.0	Liver
	3-Oxotetradecanoic acid glycerides	334.2349	157	1.9	Plasma
Glycerophospholipids	LysoPE (22:5)	550.2935	135	1.6	Liver
	PC (38:8)	802.5381	54	1.6	Liver
	PE (46:1)	886.7259	70	3.1	Liver Plasma Liver Liver Plasma
	PE (20:0)	524.3395	97	1.8	
	PG (40:5)	825.5640	50	1.7	
	PI (38:6)	883.5336	581	1.6	
	PI (30:1)	781.4916	77	2.1	
	PS (36:4)	784.5153	136	1.6	Liver
Sphingolipid	SM (32:1)	675.5436	59	5.6	Plasma
TG	TG (58:12)	945.6967	111	2.5	Liver
	TG (62:0)	1003.9627	163	1.6	Liver
	TG (64:15)	1023.7436	268	5.4	Plasma

PE, phosphoethanolamine; PC, phosphatidylcholine; PG, phsphatidylglycerol; PI, phosphatidylinositol; PS, phosphatidylserine; SM, sphingomyelin. P < .05.

survival regulatory pathway (see Table 1 for the details and also Supplementary Material S1 for all significantly altered genes by Cd). These genes include adaptor-related protein complex (AP)-1, jun oncogene (Jun), Fas-associated factor-1, Fas binding factor-1, Fas apoptotic inhibitory molecule (Faim)-3 (see Table 1 for the data on gene expression). To confirm Cd effects on cell death and survival pathway, mRNA levels of the apoptosis regulator Bax (Bcl2-associated X protein) was examined in liver tissues by qRT-PCR (Fig. 5A). The result showed that mRNA level of Bax was increased by Cd (Cont, 1.4 ± 0.4 pM; Cd, 2.6 ± 0.3 pM) supporting gene array data of elevated Bax gene expression by Cd. Consistent with this finding, gene array result of Akt oncogene involved in cell survival and proliferation pathway showed that Cd suppressed Akt gene expression significantly (Fig. 5B). We further examined Cd effect on cell death/survival using hepatocytes HepG2 cells. Because activation of JNK is a key marker of cell death signaling, HepG2 hepatocytes treated with lowdose Cd were examined for JNK phosphorylation as a measure of JNK activation. Cd at low dose (< 0.1 μ M) stimulated phosphorylation of JNK (Fig. 5C top) while basal level of Akt phosphorylation was decreased (Fig. 5C bottom), suggesting that Cd stimulates hepatocyte cell death signaling. Se, an essential




FIG. 5. Low-level Cd stimulated cell death pathway. Message levels of bax in liver tissues from control and Cd mice were quantified by qRT-PCR (A). Akt gene expression level was calculated from Affymetrics gene array data (see methods) shown as % control (B). HepG2 hepatocytes treated with indicated amounts of Cd for 2 h were lysed and analyzed for phosphorylation of JNK and Akt by Western blotting (C). The bands on the Western blot corresponding to phospho-JNK (p-JNK) and phosphor-Akt (p-Akt) were shown after probing blots with antibodies specific to phosphorylated form of JNK1/2 and phosphorylated form of Akt (Ser 473), respectively. Subsets of HepG2 cells were pretreated with Se for 1 h prior to Cd exposure at 0.1 μ M for 2 h (D). Intensities of p-JNK bands were quantified by the method described in the previous study [D, (Go *et al.*, 2013b)]. The data are shown as % of control (0.1 μ M Cd without Se) \pm SE; n = 3. *P < .05 versus control group (0.1 μ M Cd without Se).

nutritional metal in mammalian species, plays an important role in maintaining selenoenzyme function and has a protective role against Cd-induced toxicity. Cd-induced phosphorylation of JNK (250% \pm 24%, without Se) was significantly inhibited by Se in a dose-dependent manner (0.05 μ M Se, 270 \pm 28%; 0.1 μ M Se, 170% \pm 25%, 0.5 μ M Se, 130% \pm 18%, Fig. 5D). Together, mouse and cell data show that low-dose Cd has a stimulatory role in cell death signaling pathways.

Low-Dose Cd Exposure Disrupts the Mitochondrial Oxidative Phosphorylation Pathway

Our previous study showed that mitochondrial proteins and metabolites were targets modified by Cd exposure (Go *et al.*, 2014). MetaCore pathway analysis of Cd-increased mRNA showed that mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation was the most significant pathway affected by low dose Cd (P = 1.4e-3). Cd increased mRNA for 9 genes in the electron transport system (Fig. 6) including complex I (NADH dehydrogenase [ubiquinone]; NDUFB3, NDUB5, NDUFA1, NDUFS1), complex II (succinate dehydrogenase; SDHC-c, SDHAF2), complex IV (cytochrome c oxidase subunit; COX 6c, COX 7A2), and complex V [ATP synthase ATP5D]. The detailed information of Cd effects on these genes is provided in Table 1. Together with ER stress, disruption in oxidative phosphorylation has been previously identified (Caraceni *et al.*, 2004; Wei *et al.*, 2008) as a key factor contributing to NAFLD (Fig. 1).

DISCUSSION

In this study, we used mouse liver tissue to analyze genes, metabolites and associated metabolic pathways that were significantly affected by low-dose Cd exposure. The results demonstrated that in this mouse model for environmental lowlevel Cd exposure, several measures of liver function were altered, eg, fatty acid biosynthesis/oxidation, cell survival/death signaling, and mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation. These metabolic alterations in liver were associated with increased lipids measured by metabolomics, a trend toward fat accumulation by ORO staining, and changes in gene expression indicative of inflammation, cell cycle interruption, and further hepatocellular damage. These abnormal characteristics are the same as found in humans with NAFLD and therefore indicate that lowlevel Cd causes fatty liver disease in this mouse model. Importantly, these studies were performed in male mice. Female humans accumulate Cd to a greater extent than males, so these results emphasize the importance of comparable studies in female mice to test whether females are more susceptible to low-dose Cd.

Body weight or food consumption during this study was not characterized; however, Thijssen *et al.* (2007b) reported no significant changes to body weight in a matching exposure model (sustained exposure via drinking water for up to 6 months) at doses of $CdCl_2 < 100 \text{ mg/l}$, 10-fold higher than the dose used in study described here. Food consumption was also monitored in the Thijssen study, and no significant changes were reported. Furthermore, Cd treatment did not affect water consumption. Therefore we would not expect changes in our present study to be due to Cd-induced perturbations of eating and drinking. Our measurements showed that plasma glucose was not significantly different between groups (cont, $7.6 \pm 1.0 \text{ g/l}$; Cd, $7.2 \pm 1.7 \text{ g/l}$), but more specific studies using a homeostasis




FIG. 6. Cd-elevated genes associated with mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation pathway that occurs in electron transport system. Lists of liver genes increased by Cd (1.2-fold or greater than control, P < .05) and composed of complex I (NDUFB3, NDUFB3, NDUFA1, NDUFS1), II (SDHC-c, SDHAF2), IV (COX VIIa-2, COX Vic), and V (ATP5D) are indicated. The detailed information of these genes is provided in Table 1.

model assessment of IR are needed to address possible effects on IR. Also, there is a need to systematically address potential effects of age, high-fat diet and adiposity.

Gene expression array data (Supplementary Material S1) showed that 595 genes were increased (1.2-fold or greater, P < .05) and 1217 genes were decreased (1.2-fold or greater, P < .05) by Cd compared with control. Pathway analysis performed on Cd-elevated genes identified critical pathways associated with fatty liver disease, eg, oxidative phosphorylation, apoptosis and survival associated with ER stress response and FAS signaling cascades, and immune response associated with IL-1 signaling. The top 10 networks and associated genes (Supplementary Material S2) suggest that low-dose Cd stimulates proinflammatory and pro-cell death pathways that could contribute to more advanced fatty liver disease, NASH. In addition to mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation pathway, ER stress response leading to cell death signaling pathway was also significantly affected by Cd involving increased levels of genes related to protein degradation (P = 1.7e-3, Supplementary Material S2). As indicated previously (Chen et al., 2015; Du et al., 2014; Muthukumar and Nachiappan, 2013), Cd-stimulated ERstress-dependent dysregulation of lipid metabolism leading to dyslipidemia, IR, and increased oxidative stress could contribute to NAFLD (Fig. 1). Pathway analysis on Cd-decreased 1217 genes identified diverse pathways associated with neuronal function, development, cytoskeleton remodeling, immune response, and proteolysis (Supplementary Material S3), suggesting that low-level Cd could impact multiple other biological metabolic processes and functions.

In a previous study of high dose (20–50 μ M) Cd-induced renal epithelial toxicity (Liu *et al.*, 2007), pretreatment with Se (10–30 μ M) significantly prevented cell death by inhibiting Cd-stimulated JNK phosphorylation. Although this was a cytotoxic condition induced by high dose Cd, a protective role of Se in JNK phosphorylation and cell death signaling was similar to the finding of this study of low-dose Cd effects. The protective mechanism of Se against low-dose Cd-induced metabolic disruptions associated with fatty liver needs to be further examined. Because both Cd and Se are bound to cysteine residues, kinetic studies for low level of Cd effects on Se-containing antioxidants such as thioredoxin reductases, glutathione

peroxidases, and methionine sulfoxide reductases will provide critical information to improve our understanding of low-dose Cd-induced alterations in protein redox state, metabolites, and gene expression and potential interactions with Se.

In summary, the present findings show that oral Cd exposure of mice which produces liver concentrations similar to those found in humans from dietary exposures produced histopathological and molecular changes in liver which were consistent with fatty liver disease. As presented in Figure 1 and Table 1 with major common metabolic pathways contributing to NAFLD, it appears that NAFLD is a result from combination of metabolic dysregulation involving (1) fatty acid biosynthesis and lipid metabolism, (2) cell death and survival, and (3) ER stress and oxidative phosphorylation. The relatively large number of genes and lipid metabolites of NAFLD-associated pathways altered by low-level Cd exposure suggest that appropriate strategy in prevention and therapy for NAFLD may require targeting functional networks rather than individual genes, proteins or metabolites.

FUNDING

This work was supported by National Institutes of Health grants ES023485, ES025632, HL113451, AG038746, DK062092, and OD018006, and Veterans Affairs grant BX001746-01.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary data are available online at http://toxsci. oxfordjournals.org/.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Drs. Go and Jones are co-corresponding authors.

REFERENCES

Adams, L. A., Lymp, J. F., St Sauver, J., Sanderson, S. O., Lindor, K. D., Feldstein, A., and Angulo, P. (2005). The natural history of

nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a population-based cohort study. Gastroenterology **129**, 113–121.

- ATSDR. (2012). Toxicological profile for cadmium. In (U. S. D. O. H. A. H. SERVICES, Eds.). ATSDR, Division of Toxicology and Human Health Sciences, Atlanta.
- Baker, J. R., Satarug, S., Urbenjapol, S., Edwards, R. J., Williams, D. J., Moore, M. R., and Reilly, P. E. (2002). Associations between human liver and kidney cadmium content and immunochemically detected CYP4A11 apoprotein. Biochem. Pharmacol. 63, 693–696.
- Basseri, S., and Austin, R. C. (2012). Endoplasmic reticulum stress and lipid metabolism: mechanisms and therapeutic potential. *Biochem. Res. Int.* **2012**, 841362.
- Bertolotti, M., Lonardo, A., Mussi, C., Baldelli, E., Pellegrini, E., Ballestri, S., Romagnoli, D., and Loria, P. (2014). Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and aging: epidemiology to management. World J. Gastroenterol. 20, 14185–14204.
- Caraceni, P., Bianchi, C., Domenicali, M., Maria Pertosa, A., Maiolini, E., Parenti Castelli, G., Nardo, B., Trevisani, F., Lenaz, G., and Bernardi, M. (2004). Impairment of mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation in rat fatty liver exposed to preservation-reperfusion injury. J. Hepatol. 41, 82–88.
- Caudill, S. P., Schleicher, R. L., and Pirkle, J. L. (2008). Multi-rule quality control for the age-related eye disease study. Stat. *Med.* **27**, 4094–4106.
- Cave, M., Deaciuc, I., Mendez, C., Song, Z., Joshi-Barve, S., Barve, S., and McClain, C. (2007). Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: predisposing factors and the role of nutrition. J. Nutr. Biochem. 18, 184–195.
- Chen, C. H., Huang, M. H., Yang, J. C., Nien, C. K., Yang, C. C., Yeh, Y. H., and Yueh, S. K. (2006). Prevalence and risk factors of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in an adult population of taiwan: metabolic significance of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in nonobese adults. J. Clin. Gastroenterol. 40, 745–752.
- Chen, C. Y., Zhang, S. L., Liu, Z. Y., Tian, Y., and Sun, Q. (2015). Cadmium toxicity induces ER stress and apoptosis via impairing energy homeostasis in cardiomyocytes. *Biosci. Rep.* In press. doi: 10.1042/BSR20140170.
- Diboun, I., Wernisch, L., Orengo, C. A., and Koltzenburg, M. (2006). Microarray analysis after RNA amplification can detect pronounced differences in gene expression using limma. BMC Genomics 7, 252.
- Du, K., Takahashi, T., Kuge, S., Naganuma, A., and Hwang, G. W. (2014). FBXO6 attenuates cadmium toxicity in HEK293 cells by inhibiting ER stress and JNK activation. J. Toxicol. Sci. 39, 861–866.
- European Food Safety Authority (2011). Statement on tolerable weekly intake for cadmium. EFSA J. 9, 1975.
- Fischer, A. H., Jacobson, K. A., Rose, J., and Zeller, R. (2008). Hematoxylin and eosin staining of tissue and cell sections. CSH Protoc. **2008**, pdb prot4986.
- Forrest, M. S., Lan, Q., Hubbard, A. E., Zhang, L., Vermeulen, R., Zhao, X., Li, G., Wu, Y. Y., Shen, M., Yin, S., et al. (2005). Discovery of novel biomarkers by microarray analysis of peripheral blood mononuclear cell gene expression in benzene-exposed workers. Environ. Health Perspect. 113, 801–807.
- Go, Y. M., Kim, C. W., Walker, D. I., Kang, D. W., Kumar, S., Orr, M., Uppal, K., Quyyumi, A. A., Jo, H., and Jones, D. P. (2015a). Disturbed flow induces systemic changes in metabolites in mouse plasma: a metabolomics study using ApoE(-)/(-) mice with partial carotid ligation. Am. J. Physiol. Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol. 308, R62–R72.

- Go, Y. M., Orr, M., and Jones, D. P. (2013a). Actin cytoskeleton redox proteome oxidation by cadmium. Am. J. Physiol. Lung Cell. Mol. Physiol. 305, L831–843.
- Go, Y. M., Orr, M., and Jones, D. P. (2013b). Increased nuclear thioredoxin-1 potentiates cadmium-induced cytotoxicity. Toxicol. Sci. 131, 84–94.
- Go, Y. M., Roede, J. R., Orr, M., Liang, Y., and Jones, D. P. (2014). Integrated redox proteomics and metabolomics of mitochondria to identify mechanisms of cd toxicity. Toxicol. Sci. 139, 59–73.
- Go, Y. M., Walker, D. I., Soltow, Q. A., Uppal, K., Wachtman, L. M., Strobel, F. H., Pennell, K., Promislow, D. E., and Jones, D. P. (2015b). Metabolome-wide association study of phenylalanine in plasma of common marmosets. *Amino Acids* 47, 589–601.
- Goyer, R. A. (1997). Toxic and essential metal interactions. Ann. Rev. Nutr. 17, 37–50.
- Hamaguchi, M., Kojima, T., Ohbora, A., Takeda, N., Fukui, M., and Kato, T. (2012). Aging is a risk factor of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in premenopausal women. World J. Gastroenterol. 18, 237–243.
- Hyder, O., Chung, M., Cosgrove, D., Herman, J. M., Li, Z., Firoozmand, A., Gurakar, A., Koteish, A., and Pawlik, T. M. (2013). Cadmium exposure and liver disease among US adults. J. Gastrointest. Surg. 17, 1265–1273.
- Kim, H. J., Lee, K. E., Kim, D. J., Kim, S. K., Ahn, C. W., Lim, S. K., Kim, K. R., Lee, H. C., Huh, K. B., and Cha, B. S. (2004). Metabolic significance of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in nonobese, nondiabetic adults. Arch. Intern. Med. 164, 2169–2175.
- Lazo, M., Hernaez, R., Eberhardt, M. S., Bonekamp, S., Kamel, I., Guallar, E., Koteish, A., Brancati, F. L., and Clark, J. M. (2013). Prevalence of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in the United States: the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1988-1994. Am. J. Epidemiol. 178, 38–45.
- Levene, A. P., Kudo, H., Thursz, M. R., Anstee, Q. M., and Goldin, R. D. (2010). Is oil red-O staining and digital image analysis the gold standard for quantifying steatosis in the liver? *Hepatology*. **51**, 1859; author reply 1859-1860.
- Liu, Y., Zhang, S. P., and Cai, Y. Q. (2007). Cytoprotective effects of selenium on cadmium-induced LLC-PK1 cells apoptosis by activating JNK pathway. Toxicol. in vitro **21**, 677–684.
- Mehlem, A., Hagberg, C. E., Muhl, L., Eriksson, U., and Falkevall, A. (2013). Imaging of neutral lipids by oil red O for analyzing the metabolic status in health and disease. Nat. Protoc. 8, 1149–1154.
- Min, H. K., Kapoor, A., Fuchs, M., Mirshahi, F., Zhou, H., Maher, J., Kellum, J., Warnick, R., Contos, M. J., and Sanyal, A. J. (2012). Increased hepatic synthesis and dysregulation of cholesterol metabolism is associated with the severity of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Cell Metab.* 15, 665–674.
- Muthukumar, K., and Nachiappan, V. (2013). Phosphatidylethanolamine from phosphatidylserine decarboxylase2 is essential for autophagy under cadmium stress in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Cell Biochem. Biophys. 67, 1353–1363.
- Nordberg, G. F., Kjellström, T., and Nordberg, M. (1985). Kinetics and metabolism. CRC Press, Florida.
- Olsson, I. M., Bensryd, I., Lundh, T., Ottosson, H., Skerfving, S., and Oskarsson, A. (2002). Cadmium in blood and urine impact of sex, age, dietary intake, iron status, and former

smoking—association of renal effects. Environ. Health Perspect. **110**, 1185–1190.

- Peters, J. L., Perlstein, T. S., Perry, M. J., McNeely, E., and Weuve, J. (2010). Cadmium exposure in association with history of stroke and heart failure. *Environ. Res.* 110, 199–206.
- Ruhl, C. E., and Everhart, J. E. (2015). Fatty liver indices in the multiethnic United States National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. Aliment. Pharmacol. Ther. 41, 65–76.
- Ruiz, P., Mumtaz, M., Osterloh, J., Fisher, J., and Fowler, B. A. (2010). Interpreting NHANES biomonitoring data, cadmium. Toxicol. Lett. 198, 44–48.
- Thijssen, S., Cuypers, A., Maringwa, J., Smeets, K., Horemans, N., Lambrichts, I., and Van Kerkhove, E. (2007a). Low cadmium exposure triggers a biphasic oxidative stress response in mice kidneys. Toxicology 236, 29–41.
- Thijssen, S., Maringwa, J., Faes, C., Lambrichts, I., and Van Kerkhove, E. (2007b). Chronic exposure of mice to environmentally relevant, low doses of cadmium leads to early renal damage, not predicted by blood or urine cadmium levels. Toxicology 229, 145–156.
- Tiran, B., Karpf, E., and Tiran, A. (1995). Age dependency of selenium and cadmium content in human liver, kidney, and thyroid. Arch. Environ. Health **50**, 242–246.

- Uppal, K., Soltow, Q. A., Strobel, F. H., Pittard, W. S., Gernert, K. M., Yu, T., and Jones, D. P. (2013). xMSanalyzer: automated pipeline for improved feature detection and downstream analysis of large-scale, non-targeted metabolomics data. BMC Bioinformatics 14, 15.
- Wei, Y., Rector, R. S., Thyfault, J. P., and Ibdah, J. A. (2008). Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and mitochondrial dysfunction. World J. Gastroenterol. 14, 193–199.
- Welsh, J. A., Karpen, S., and Vos, M. B. (2013). Increasing prevalence of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease among United States adolescents, 1988-1994 to 2007-2010. J. Pediatr. 162, 496–500 e491.
- Williams, C. D., Stengel, J., Asike, M. I., Torres, D. M., Shaw, J., Contreras, M., Landt, C. L., and Harrison, S. A. (2011). Prevalence of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and nonalcoholic steatohepatitis among a largely middle-aged population utilizing ultrasound and liver biopsy: a prospective study. *Gastroenterology* 140, 124–131.
- Xiao, Y., Hsiao, T. H., Suresh, U., Chen, H. I., Wu, X., Wolf, S. E., and Chen, Y. (2014). A novel significance score for gene selection and ranking. *Bioinformatics* 30, 801–807.
- Yilmaz, O. (2002). Cadmium and lead levels in human liver and kidney samples obtained from Bursa Province. Int. J. Environ. Health Res. **12**, 181–185.